



**COLORADO**

**Department of Health Care  
Policy & Financing**

**FY 2017–2018 Physical Health  
Performance Measure Validation Report  
for Rocky Mountain Health Plans  
Medicaid Prime**

*September 2018*

*This report was produced by Health Services Advisory Group, Inc., for the  
Colorado Department of Health Care Policy and Financing.*



## Table of Contents

<b>Validation of Performance Measures.....</b>	<b>1</b>
Introduction .....	1
Performance Measure List.....	2
Technical Methods of Analysis .....	4
Validation Findings of Audit Process .....	6
Rocky Mountain Health Plans Medicaid Prime’s Compliance With IS Standards .....	6
<b>Appendix A. Information Systems Standards.....</b>	<b>A-1</b>
<b>Appendix B. Audit Results and Rates .....</b>	<b>B-1</b>

### Introduction

The Colorado State Medicaid agency, the Department of Health Care Policy and Financing (the Department) contracts with Health Services Advisory Group, Inc. (HSAG), to perform the three mandatory external quality review (EQR) activities required by the Medicaid managed care regulations released May 6, 2016. Validation of the performance measures calculated and submitted by each managed care organization (MCO) is one of these mandatory activities as articulated in 42 Code of Federal Regulations (CFR) §438.358. The Department has contracted with HSAG, an external quality review organization (EQRO), to conduct the validation of performance measures for **Rocky Mountain Health Plans Medicaid Prime (RMHP Prime)**, an MCO, for fiscal year (FY) 2017–2018.

The Department opted to use selected National Committee for Quality Assurance (NCQA) Healthcare Effectiveness Data and Information Set (HEDIS®)<sup>1</sup> measures as the performance measures and calendar year 2017 as the measurement period for validation. Developed and maintained by NCQA, HEDIS is a set of performance data broadly accepted in the managed care environment as an industry standard. Because **RMHP Prime** is required to calculate and submit HEDIS performance measures and undergo an NCQA HEDIS Compliance Audit™,<sup>2</sup> HSAG validated the results from the audits to meet the requirements articulated in the Medicaid managed care regulations. More specifically, HSAG's role in the validation of performance measures was to ensure that the validation activities were conducted as outlined in the Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS) publication, *EQR Protocol 2: Validation of Performance Measures Reported by the MCO: A Mandatory Protocol for External Quality Review (EQR)*, Version 2.0, September 1, 2012.<sup>3</sup>

The primary objectives of the performance measure validation process were to:

- Evaluate the accuracy of the performance measure data collected by **RMHP Prime**.
- Determine the extent to which the specific performance measures calculated by **RMHP Prime** (or on behalf of **RMHP Prime**) followed the specifications established for each performance measure.

**RMHP Prime** underwent an NCQA HEDIS Compliance Audit through an NCQA-licensed audit organization of its choice and submitted the audited results and audit statement to HSAG. Since the audit was conducted in compliance with NCQA's *2018 HEDIS Compliance Audit: Standards, Policies, and*

---

<sup>1</sup> HEDIS® is a registered trademark of the National Committee for Quality Assurance (NCQA).

<sup>2</sup> NCQA HEDIS Compliance Audit™ is a trademark of NCQA. The purpose of conducting a HEDIS audit is to ensure that rates submitted by **RMHP Prime** are reliable, valid, accurate, and can be compared to one another. For a brief overview of the NCQA HEDIS Compliance Audit, please refer to Appendix A.

<sup>3</sup> Department of Health and Human Services, Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services. *EQR Protocol 2: Validation of Performance Measures Reported by the MCO: A Mandatory Protocol for External Quality Review (EQR)*, Version 2.0, September 2012. Available at: <https://www.medicare.gov/medicaid/quality-of-care/medicaid-managed-care/external-quality-review/index.html>. Accessed on: Aug 27, 2018.

*Procedures, Volume 5* and the NCQA HEDIS Compliance Audit is consistent with the CMS Performance Measure Validation Protocol, the findings and results from the NCQA HEDIS Compliance Audit can be reviewed, validated, and eventually accepted as findings for the validation of performance measures to meet the managed care requirements.

## Performance Measure List

The NCQA-licensed audit organizations validated, at a minimum, a set of performance measures selected by the Department. The measures, which are listed in Table 1, are HEDIS measures that follow the definitions outlined in NCQA’s *HEDIS 2018 Technical Specifications, Volume 2*, and the reporting method required by the Department.

**Table 1—Health First Colorado 2018 Performance Measure Reporting Set<sup>4</sup>**

Performance Measures	Reporting Methodology
<i>Childhood Immunization Status</i>	Administrative
<i>Immunizations for Adolescents</i>	Administrative
<i>Well-Child Visits in the First 15 Months of Life</i>	Administrative
<i>Well-Child Visits in the Third, Fourth, Fifth, and Sixth Years of Life</i>	Administrative
<i>Adolescent Well-Care Visits</i>	Administrative
<i>Weight Assessment and Counseling for Nutrition and Physical Activity for Children/Adolescents</i>	Administrative
<i>Appropriate Testing for Children With Pharyngitis</i>	Administrative
<i>Appropriate Treatment for Children With Upper Respiratory Infection</i>	Administrative
<i>Children’s and Adolescents’ Access to Primary Care Practitioners</i>	Administrative
<i>Prenatal and Postpartum Care</i>	Administrative
<i>Adults’ Access to Preventive/Ambulatory Health Services</i>	Administrative
<i>Comprehensive Diabetes Care (excluding HbA1c &lt;7 indicator)</i>	Administrative
<i>Annual Monitoring for Patients on Persistent Medications</i>	Administrative
<i>Use of Imaging Studies for Low Back Pain</i>	Administrative
<i>Avoidance of Antibiotic Treatment in Adults With Acute Bronchitis</i>	Administrative
<i>Pharmacotherapy Management of Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Disease (COPD) Exacerbation</i>	Administrative

<sup>4</sup> In Colorado, Medicaid is known as Health First Colorado (Colorado’s Medicaid Program).

Performance Measures	Reporting Methodology
<i>Asthma Medication Ratio</i>	Administrative
<i>Medication Management for People With Asthma</i>	Administrative
<i>Use of Spirometry Testing in the Assessment and Diagnosis of COPD</i>	Administrative
<i>Disease-Modifying Anti-Rheumatic Drug Therapy for Rheumatoid Arthritis</i>	Administrative
<i>Chlamydia Screening in Women</i>	Administrative
<i>Breast Cancer Screening</i>	Administrative
<i>Cervical Cancer Screening</i>	Administrative
<i>Non-Recommended Cervical Cancer Screening in Adolescent Females</i>	Administrative
<i>Adult Body Mass Index (BMI) Assessment</i>	Administrative
<i>Statin Therapy for Patients with Diabetes</i>	Administrative
<i>Persistence of Beta-Blocker Treatment After Heart Attack</i>	Administrative
<i>Statin Therapy for Patients with Cardiovascular Disease</i>	Administrative
<i>Anti-depressant Medication Management</i>	Administrative
<i>Follow-Up Care for Children Prescribed Attention Deficit Hyperactivity Disorder (ADHD) Medication</i>	Administrative
<i>Metabolic Monitoring for Children and Adolescents on Antipsychotics</i>	Administrative
<i>Use of Multiple Concurrent Antipsychotics in Children and Adolescents</i>	Administrative
<i>Ambulatory Care: Emergency Department Visits and Outpatient Visits</i>	Administrative
<i>Inpatient Utilization—General Hospital/Acute Care</i>	Administrative
<i>Antibiotic Utilization</i>	Administrative
<i>Frequency of Selected Procedures</i>	Administrative
<i>Plan All-Cause Readmissions</i>	Administrative
<i>Use of Opioids at High Dosage</i>	Administrative
<i>Use of Opioids for Multiple Providers</i>	Administrative

## Technical Methods of Analysis

The CMS Performance Measure Validation Protocol identifies key types of data that should be reviewed. As part of the validation process, HSAG aggregated several sources of HEDIS-related data to determine if the licensed organizations’ (LOs’) audit process met CMS requirements.

This performance measure validation report uses two primary sources—NCQA’s Interactive Data Submission System (IDSS) data output reports and the final audit reports—to tabulate overall HEDIS reporting capabilities and functions for **RMHP Prime**. The IDSS contained the final HEDIS rates that were verified, reviewed, and locked by the LOs. The auditor-locking mechanism in the IDSS tool ensured that no information could be changed without the consent of NCQA and the auditor. The IDSS review process allowed the LOs to assess the reasonability of the rates submitted by **RMHP Prime**.

The following is a table identifying the key audit steps required by NCQA for the LO to conduct NCQA HEDIS Compliance Audits. The table also lists HSAG’s approach in validating the LO’s audit.

**Table 2—Description of Data Sources Reviewed**

Key Steps According to NCQA’s HEDIS Compliance Audit	HSAG’s Approach on Validating the LO’s Audit Results
<p><b>Pre-on-site Visit/Meeting</b>—The initial conference call or meeting between the LOs and <b>RMHP Prime</b> staff.</p>	<p>HSAG verified that key HEDIS topics such as timelines and on-site review dates were addressed by the LOs.</p>
<p><b>Roadmap Review</b>—This review provided the LOs with background information on policies, processes, and data in preparation for on-site validation activities. <b>RMHP Prime</b> was required to complete the Roadmap to provide the audit team with the necessary information to begin review activities.</p>	<p>HSAG looked for evidence in the final report that the LOs conducted a thorough review of all components of the Roadmap.</p>
<p><b>Source Code Review</b>—Source code review is used to determine compliance with the performance measure definitions, including accurate numerator and denominator identification, sampling, and algorithmic compliance (to determine if rate calculations were performed correctly, medical record and administrative data were combined appropriately, and numerator events were counted accurately). This process is not necessary if <b>RMHP Prime</b> uses a vendor who participates in NCQA’s measure certification process.</p>	<p>If the MCO used a software vendor to produce HEDIS rates, HSAG used the final audit report (FAR) and measure certification letter to assess whether or not the software vendor achieved full measure certification status by NCQA for the reported HEDIS measures. HSAG ensured that the LOs reviewed the programming language for calculating the HEDIS measures if such a vendor was not used.</p>

Key Steps According to NCQA’s HEDIS Compliance Audit	HSAG’s Approach on Validating the LO’s Audit Results
<p><b>Consumer Assessment of Healthcare Providers and Systems (CAHPS®)<sup>5</sup> Survey Vendor and Sample Frame Validation</b>—A certified survey vendor must be used if <b>RMHP Prime</b> performed a CAHPS survey as part of HEDIS reporting.</p>	<p>HSAG verified that the LO performed detailed validations on the CAHPS Sample Frame if <b>RMHP Prime</b> performed a CAHPS survey as part of HEDIS reporting. If <b>RMHP Prime</b> used a survey vendor to perform the CAHPS surveys, HSAG verified that an NCQA-Certified survey vendor was used.</p>
<p><b>Supplemental Data Validation</b>—If <b>RMHP Prime</b> used any supplemental data for reporting, the LO was to validate the supplemental data according to NCQA’s guideline.</p>	<p>HSAG verified whether the LO was following the NCQA-required approach while validating the supplemental databases.</p>
<p><b>Convenience Sample Validation</b>—The auditor reviews a small number of processed medical records to uncover potential problems in the process that may require corrective action early in the medical record review (MRR) process. A convenience sample must be prepared unless the auditor determines that a health plan is exempt. NCQA allows organizations to be exempt from the convenience sample if they participated in a HEDIS audit the previous year and passed MRR validation, and if the current MRR process has not changed significantly from the previous year and the organization does not report hybrid measures that the auditor determines to be at risk of inaccurate reporting.</p>	<p>HSAG did not review this step since the State requires administrative rates only.</p>
<p><b>Medical Record Review Validation</b>—The LOs are required to perform a more extensive validation of medical records reviewed, which is conducted late in the abstraction process. This validation ensures that the review process was executed as planned and that the results are accurate.</p>	<p>HSAG did not review this step since the State requires administrative rates only.</p>
<p><b>IDSS Review</b>—<b>RMHP Prime</b> is required to complete NCQA’s IDSS for the submission of audited rates to NCQA. The auditor finalizes the IDSS by completing the audit review and entering an audit result. This process verifies that the auditor validated all activities that culminated in a rate by <b>RMHP Prime</b>. The auditor locks the IDSS so that no information can be changed.</p>	<p>HSAG verified that the LOs completed the IDSS review process.</p>

<sup>5</sup> CAHPS® is a registered trademark of the Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality (AHRQ).

## Validation Findings of Audit Process

Table 3 identifies the key elements used by **RMHP Prime**'s LO while conducting its 2018 NCQA HEDIS Compliance Audit. These key elements were reviewed by HSAG during validation activities. As presented in Table 3, a checkmark indicates that the LO reviewed the HEDIS activities, which confirmed that HEDIS methodology was being followed. Some activities are identified as being compliant by inserting the name of the company **RMHP Prime** contracted with to perform the required tasks.

**Table 3—Validation Activities for RMHP Prime**

Licensed Organization	DTS Group
Pre-on-site Visit Call/Meeting	✓
Roadmap Review	✓
Software Vendor	Inovalon, Inc.
Source Code/Certified Measure Review	✓
Survey Vendor	DSS Research
CAHPS Sample Frame Validation	✓
Supplemental Data Validation	✓
Medical Record Review	✓
IDSS Review	✓

Table 3 indicates that the audit conducted for **RMHP Prime** included all of the listed validation activities. HSAG also determined that the data collected and reported for the Department-selected measures followed NCQA HEDIS methodology. Therefore, any rates and audit results are determined to be valid, reliable, and accurate.

## Rocky Mountain Health Plans Medicaid Prime's Compliance With IS Standards

In addition to ensuring that data were captured, reported, and presented in a uniform manner, HSAG evaluated **RMHP Prime**'s information system (IS) capabilities for accurate HEDIS reporting. HSAG reviewed **RMHP Prime**'s final audit report for its LO's assessments of IS capabilities, specifically focused on those aspects of **RMHP Prime**'s systems that could have impacted the HEDIS Medicaid reporting set.

For the purpose of HEDIS compliance auditing, the terms "information system" and "IS" are used broadly to include the computer and software environment, data collection procedures, and abstraction of medical records for hybrid measures. The IS evaluation includes a review of any manual processes



that may have been used for HEDIS reporting as well. The LO determined if **RMHP Prime** had the automated systems, information management practices, processing environment, and control procedures to capture, access, translate, analyze, and report each HEDIS measure.

In accordance with NCQA’s 2018 HEDIS Compliance Audit: Standards, Policies, and Procedures, Volume 5, the LO evaluated IS compliance with NCQA’s IS standards. These standards detail the minimum requirements **RMHP Prime**’s IS systems should meet, as well as criteria that any manual processes used to report HEDIS information must meet. For circumstances in which a particular IS standard was not met, the LO rated the impact on HEDIS reporting capabilities and, particularly, any measure that could be impacted. **RMHP Prime** may not be fully compliant with many of the IS standards but may still be able to report the selected measures.

For the current reporting period, **RMHP Prime**’s information systems and processes were found adequate to meet NCQA’s IS standards and the HEDIS determination reporting requirements. The section that follows provides a summary of **RMHP Prime**’s key findings for each IS standard as noted in its final audit report. A more in-depth explanation of NCQA’s IS standards is provided in Appendix A of this report.

**Table 4—Summary of RMHP Prime’s Compliance With IS Standards**

NCQA’s IS Standards	IS Standards Compliance Findings Based on HSAG’s Review of the HEDIS 2018 Final Audit Report
<p><b>IS 1.0—Medical Services Data—Sound Coding Methods and Data Capture, Transfer, and Entry</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Industry standard codes are required and captured.</li> <li>• Primary and secondary diagnosis codes are identified.</li> <li>• Nonstandard codes (if used) are mapped to industry standard codes.</li> <li>• Standard submission forms are used.</li> <li>• Timely and accurate data entry processes and sufficient edit checks are used.</li> <li>• Data completeness is continually assessed and all contracted vendors involved in medical claims processing are monitored.</li> </ul>	<p><b>RMHP Prime</b> was compliant with IS Standard 1.0 for medical services data capture and processing.</p> <p>The auditor determined that <b>RMHP Prime</b> only accepted industry standard codes on industry standard forms.</p> <p>All data elements required for HEDIS reporting were adequately captured.</p> <p><b>RMHP Prime</b> continued to receive pharmacy data from Express Scripts, <b>RMHP Prime</b>’s pharmacy benefits manager.</p>
<p><b>IS 2.0—Enrollment Data—Data Capture, Transfer, and Entry</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• All HEDIS-relevant information for data entry or electronic transmissions of enrollment data is accurate and complete.</li> <li>• Manual entry of enrollment data is timely and accurate, and sufficient edit checks are in place.</li> <li>• The health plans continually assess data</li> </ul>	<p><b>RMHP Prime</b> was compliant with IS Standard 2.0 for enrollment data capture and processing.</p> <p>The auditor determined that all current policies and procedures maintained by <b>RMHP Prime</b> met HEDIS requirements. Data elements required for reporting were captured. Adequate validation processes were in place, ensuring data accuracy.</p>

NCQA's IS Standards	IS Standards Compliance Findings Based on HSAG's Review of the HEDIS 2018 Final Audit Report
<p>completeness and take steps to improve performance.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• The health plans effectively monitor the quality and accuracy of electronic submissions.</li> <li>• The health plans have effective control processes for the transmission of enrollment data.</li> </ul>	
<p><b>IS 3.0—Practitioner Data—Data Capture, Transfer, and Entry</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Provider specialties are fully documented and mapped to HEDIS provider specialties.</li> <li>• Effective procedures for submitting HEDIS-relevant information are in place.</li> <li>• Electronic transmissions of practitioner data are checked to ensure accuracy.</li> <li>• Processes and edit checks ensure accurate and timely entry of data into the transaction files.</li> <li>• Data completeness is assessed and steps are taken to improve performance.</li> <li>• Vendors are regularly monitored against expected performance standards.</li> </ul>	<p><b>RMHP Prime</b> was compliant with IS Standard 3.0 for practitioner data capture and processing.</p> <p>The auditor determined that <b>RMHP Prime</b> continued to use the Facets system to maintain practitioner data. Data elements required for HEDIS reporting were adequately captured.</p> <p>In addition, for accuracy and completeness, <b>RMHP Prime</b> reviewed all provider data received from delegated entities.</p>
<p><b>IS 4.0—Medical Record Review Processes—Training, Sampling, Abstraction, and Oversight</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Forms or tools used for medical record review capture all fields relevant to HEDIS reporting.</li> <li>• Checking procedures are in place to ensure data integrity for electronic transmission of information.</li> <li>• Retrieval and abstraction of data from medical records are accurately performed.</li> <li>• Data entry processes, including edit checks, are timely and accurate.</li> <li>• Data completeness is assessed, including steps to improve performance.</li> <li>• Vendor performance is monitored against expected performance standards.</li> </ul>	<p><b>RMHP Prime</b> was compliant with IS Standard 4.0 for medical record review processes.</p> <p>The auditor determined that the data collection tool used by the MCO was able to capture all data fields necessary for HEDIS reporting. Sufficient validation processes were in place to ensure data accuracy. However, HSAG did not review this step since the State requires administrative rates only.</p>

NCQA's IS Standards	IS Standards Compliance Findings Based on HSAG's Review of the HEDIS 2018 Final Audit Report
<p><b>IS 5.0—Supplemental Data—Capture, Transfer, and Entry</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Nonstandard coding schemes are fully documented and mapped to industry standard codes.</li> <li>• Effective procedures for submitting HEDIS-relevant information are in place.</li> <li>• Electronic transmissions of supplemental data are checked to ensure accuracy.</li> <li>• Data entry processes, including edit checks, are timely and accurate.</li> <li>• Data completeness is assessed, including steps to improve performance.</li> <li>• Vendor performance is monitored against expected performance standards.</li> </ul>	<p><b>RMHP Prime</b> was compliant with IS Standard 5.0 for supplemental data capture and processing.</p> <p>The auditor reviewed the transaction file for the HEDIS repository and observed that it contained all data fields required for HEDIS reporting. In addition, to validate that only accurate data were used for reporting, the auditor performed primary source verification on all supplemental data that were in nonstandard form.</p>
<p><b>IS 7.0—Data Integration—Accurate Reporting, Control Procedures That Support Measure Reporting Integrity</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Nonstandard coding schemes are fully documented and mapped to industry standard codes.</li> <li>• Data transfers to the HEDIS repository from transaction files are accurate.</li> <li>• File consolidations, extracts, and derivations are accurate.</li> <li>• The repository structure and formatting are suitable for HEDIS measures and enable required programming efforts.</li> <li>• Report production is managed effectively and operators perform appropriately.</li> <li>• HEDIS reporting software is managed properly.</li> <li>• Physical control procedures ensure HEDIS data integrity.</li> <li>• The organization regularly monitors vendor performance against expected performance standards.</li> </ul>	<p><b>RMHP Prime</b> was compliant with IS Standard 7.0 for data integration.</p> <p>The auditor indicated that the MCO used an NCQA-certified measure vendor for data production and rate calculation. File consolidation and data extractions were performed by <b>RMHP Prime</b>'s staff members. Data were verified for accuracy at each data merge point. The auditor also found that the MCO had adequate procedures in place to produce valid data for HEDIS reporting.</p>

## Appendix A. Information Systems Standards

### Overview of the NCQA HEDIS Compliance Audit

Developed and maintained by NCQA, HEDIS is a set of performance data broadly accepted in the managed care environment as an industry standard. Organizations seeking NCQA accreditation or wishing to publicly report their HEDIS performance results undergo an NCQA HEDIS Compliance Audit through an NCQA-licensed audit organization. The audits are conducted in compliance with NCQA's *2018 HEDIS Compliance Audit: Standards, Policies, and Procedures, Volume 5*. The purpose of conducting a HEDIS audit is to ensure that rates submitted by the organizations are reliable, valid, accurate, and can be compared to one another.

During the HEDIS audit, data management processes were reviewed using findings from the NCQA HEDIS Record of Administration, Data Management, and Processes (Roadmap) review; interviews with key staff members; and a review of queries and output files. Data extractions from systems used to house production files and generate reports were reviewed, including a review of data included in the samples for the selected measures. Based on validation findings, the LOs produced an initial written report identifying any perceived issues of noncompliance, problematic measures, and recommended opportunities for improvement. The LOs also produced a final report with updated text and findings based on comments on the initial report.

The FAR included information on the organization's information system (IS) capabilities; each measure's reportable results; medical record review validation results; the results of any corrected programming logic, including corrections made to numerators, denominators, or sampling used for final measure calculation; and opportunities and recommendations for improvement of data completeness, data integrity, and health outcomes.

### Information Systems Standards

Listed below are the Information Systems Standards published in NCQA's *2018 HEDIS Compliance Audit: Standards, Policies, and Procedures, Volume 5*.

#### ***IS 1.0—Medical Services Data—Sound Coding Methods and Data Capture, Transfer, and Entry***

IS 1.1 Industry standard codes (e.g., ICD-9/ICD-10, CPT, DRG, HCPCS) are used and all characters are captured.

IS 1.2 Principal codes are identified and secondary codes are captured.

IS 1.3 Nonstandard coding schemes are fully documented and mapped back to industry standard codes.

- IS 1.4 Standard submission forms are used and capture all fields relevant to measure reporting. All proprietary forms capture equivalent data. Electronic transmission procedures conform to industry standards.
- IS 1.5 Data entry processes are timely and accurate and include sufficient edit checks to ensure accurate entry of submitted data in transaction files for measure reporting.
- IS 1.6 The organization continually assesses data completeness and takes steps to improve performance.
- IS 1.7 The organization regularly monitors vendor performance against expected performance standards.

### Rationale

The organization must capture all clinical information pertinent to the delivery of services to provide a basis for calculating measures. The audit process ensures that the organization consistently captures sufficient clinical information. Principal among these practices and critical for computing clinical measures is consistent use of standardized codes to describe medical events, including nationally recognized schemes to capture diagnosis, procedure, DRG, and DSM codes. Standardized coding improves the comparability of measures through common definition of identical clinical events. The organization must cross-reference nonstandard coding schemes at the specific diagnosis and service level to attain equivalent meaning. The integrity of measures requires using standard forms, controlling receipt processes, editing and verifying data entry, and implementing other control procedures that promote completeness and accuracy in receiving and recording medical information. The transfer of information from medical charts to the organization's databases should be subject to the same standards for accuracy and completeness.

### ***IS 2.0—Enrollment Data—Data Capture, Transfer, and Entry***

- IS 2.1 The organization has procedures for submitting measure-relevant information for data entry. Electronic transmissions of membership data have necessary procedures to ensure accuracy.
- IS 2.2 Data entry processes are timely and accurate and include sufficient edit checks to ensure accurate entry of submitted data in transaction files.
- IS 2.3 The organization continually assesses data completeness and takes steps to improve performance.
- IS 2.4 The organization regularly monitors vendor performance against expected performance standards.

### Rationale

Controlling receipt processes, editing and verifying data entry, and implementing other control procedures to promote completeness and accuracy in receiving and recording member information are critical in databases that calculate measures. Specific member information includes age, gender, benefits, product line (commercial, Medicaid, and Medicare), and the dates that define periods of membership so gaps in enrollment can be determined.

### ***IS 3.0—Practitioner Data—Data Capture, Transfer, and Entry***

- IS 3.1 Provider specialties are fully documented and mapped to provider specialties necessary for measure reporting.
- IS 3.2 The organization has effective procedures for submitting measure-relevant information for data entry. Electronic transmissions of practitioner data are checked to ensure accuracy.
- IS 3.3 Data entry processes are timely and accurate and include edit checks to ensure accurate entry of submitted data in transaction files.
- IS 3.4 The organization continually assesses data completeness and takes steps to improve performance.
- IS 3.5 The organization regularly monitors vendor performance against expected performance standards.

#### **Rationale**

Controlling receipt processes, editing and verifying data entry, and implementing other control procedures to promote completeness and accuracy in receiving and recording provider information are critical in databases that calculate measures. Specific provider information includes the provider's specialty, contracts, credentials, populations served, date of inclusion in the network, date of credentialing, board certification status, and information needed to develop medical record abstraction tools.

### ***IS 4.0—Medical Record Review Processes—Training, Sampling, Abstraction, and Oversight***

- IS 4.1 Forms capture all fields relevant to measure reporting. Electronic transmission procedures conform to industry standards and have necessary checking procedures to ensure data accuracy (logs, counts, receipts, hand-off, and sign-off).
- IS 4.2 Retrieval and abstraction of data from medical records are reliably and accurately performed.
- IS 4.3 Data entry processes are timely and accurate and include sufficient edit checks to ensure accurate entry of submitted data in the files for measure reporting.
- IS 4.4 The organization continually assesses data completeness and takes steps to improve performance.
- IS 4.5 The organization regularly monitors vendor performance against expected performance standards.

#### **Rationale**

Medical record review validation ensures that record abstraction performed by or on behalf of the entity meets standards for sound processes and that abstracted data are accurate. Validation includes not only

an over-read of abstracted medical records, but also a review of medical record review tools, policies, and procedures related to data entry and transfer, and training materials developed by or on behalf of the entity.

### ***IS 5.0—Supplemental Data—Capture, Transfer, and Entry***

- IS 5.1 Nonstandard coding schemes are fully documented and mapped to industry standard codes.
- IS 5.2 The organization has effective procedures for submitting measure-relevant information for data entry. Electronic transmissions of data have checking procedures to ensure accuracy.
- IS 5.3 Data entry processes are timely and accurate and include edit checks to ensure accurate entry of submitted data in transaction files.
- IS 5.4 The organization continually assesses data completeness and takes steps to improve performance.
- IS 5.5 The organization regularly monitors vendor performance against expected performance standards.

#### **Rationale**

Organizations may use a supplemental database to collect and store data, which is then used to augment rates. These databases must be scrutinized closely since they can be standard, nonstandard, or member-reported. The auditor must determine whether sufficient control processes are in place related to data collection, validation of data entry into the database, and use of these data. Mapping documents and file layouts may be reviewed as well, to determine compliance with this standard. Beginning with HEDIS 2014, NCQA provided new validation requirements for auditing supplemental data to ensure that all data included for reporting are complete and have required supporting documentation.

### ***IS 7.0—Data Integration—Accurate Reporting, Control Procedures That Support Measure Reporting Integrity***

- IS 7.1 Nonstandard coding schemes are fully documented and mapped to industry standard codes.
- IS 7.2 Data transfers to repository from transaction files are accurate.
- IS 7.3 File consolidations, extracts, and derivations are accurate.
- IS 7.4 The repository structure and formatting are suitable for measures and enable required programming efforts.
- IS 7.5 Report production is managed effectively and operators perform appropriately.
- IS 7.6 Measure reporting software is managed properly with regard to development, methodology, documentation, revision control, and testing.
- IS 7.7 Physical control procedures ensure measure data integrity such as physical security, data access authorization, disaster recovery facilities, and fire protection.

IS 7.8 The organization regularly monitors vendor performance against expected performance standards.

### **Rationale**

Calculating rates requires data from multiple sources. The systems used to assemble the data and to make the required calculations should be carefully constructed and tested. The organization's quality assurance practices and backup procedures serve as an organizational infrastructure supporting all information systems. The practices and procedures promote accurate and timely information processing and data protection in the event of a disaster. Data needed to calculate measures are produced by the organization's information systems and may be directly or indirectly affected by IS practices and procedures.



## Appendix B. Audit Results and Rates

This appendix presents the audited rates in the IDSS as submitted by **RMHP Prime**. According to the Department’s required data collection methodology, the rates displayed in Table B-2 reflect administrative data-only rates and for some of the measures were not the final, reported, hybrid rates in **RMHP Prime**’s IDSS. In addition, for measures with multiple indicators (e.g., *Annual Monitoring for Patients on Persistent Medications*), more than one rate is required for reporting. It is possible that **RMHP Prime** may have received an “NA” designation for an indicator due to a small denominator within the measure but still have received an “R” designation for the total population.

**Table B-1—HEDIS Audit Results**

Audit Finding	Description	Audit Result
<b>For HEDIS Measures</b>		
The rate or numeric result for a HEDIS measure is reportable. The measure was fully or substantially compliant with HEDIS specifications or had only minor deviations that did not significantly bias the reported rate.	Reportable	<b>R</b>
HEDIS specifications were followed but the denominator was too small to report a valid rate.	Denominator <30	<b>NA</b>
The health plan did not offer the health benefits required by the measure.	No Benefit (Benefit Not Offered)	<b>NB</b>
The health plan chose not to report the measure.	Not Reported	<b>NR</b>
The health plan was not required to report the measure.	Not Required	<b>NQ</b>
The rate calculated by the health plan was materially biased.	Biased Rate	<b>BR</b>
The health plan chose to report a measure that is not required to be audited. This result applies only to a limited set of measures (e.g., measures collected using electronic clinical data systems).	Unaudited	<b>UN</b>

**Table B-2—RMHP Prime’s Rates and Audit Results**

HEDIS Measure	2018 HEDIS Rate	Audit Result
<b><i>Childhood Immunization Status</i></b>		
<i>Combination #2</i>	NA	NA
<i>Combination #3</i>	NA	NA
<i>Combination #4</i>	NA	NA
<i>Combination #5</i>	NA	NA
<i>Combination #6</i>	NA	NA
<i>Combination #7</i>	NA	NA
<i>Combination #8</i>	NA	NA
<i>Combination #9</i>	NA	NA
<i>Combination #10</i>	NA	NA
<b><i>Immunizations for Adolescents</i></b>		
<i>Combination 1</i>	NA	NA
<i>Combination 2</i>	NA	NA
<b><i>Well-Child Visits in the First 15 Months of Life</i></b>		
<i>0 Visits</i>	NA	NA
<i>6+ Visits</i>	NA	NA
<b><i>Well-Child Visits in the Third, Fourth, Fifth, and Sixth Years of Life</i></b>	58.21%	<b>R</b>
<b><i>Adolescent Well-Care Visits</i></b>	15.68%	<b>R</b>
<b><i>Weight Assessment and Counseling for Nutrition and Physical Activity for Children/Adolescents</i></b>		
<i>BMI Percentile (Total)</i>	3.18%	<b>R</b>
<i>Counseling for Nutrition (Total)</i>	15.55%	<b>R</b>
<i>Counseling for Physical Activity (Total)</i>	0.71%	<b>R</b>
<b><i>Appropriate Testing for Children With Pharyngitis</i></b>	NA	NA
<b><i>Appropriate Treatment for Children With Upper Respiratory Infection</i></b>	NA	NA
<b><i>Children’s and Adolescents’ Access to Primary Care Practitioners</i></b>		
<i>12–24 Months</i>	NA	NA
<i>25 Months–6 Years</i>	NA	NA
<i>7–11 Years</i>	90.36%	<b>R</b>
<i>12–19 Years</i>	91.12%	<b>R</b>
<b><i>Prenatal and Postpartum Care</i></b>		
<i>Timeliness of Prenatal Care</i>	22.65%	<b>R</b>
<i>Postpartum Care</i>	27.15%	<b>R</b>
<b><i>Adults’ Access to Preventive/Ambulatory Health Services</i></b>		
<i>20-44 Years</i>	65.96%	<b>R</b>
<i>45-64 Years</i>	76.58%	<b>R</b>
<i>65+ Years</i>	93.50%	<b>R</b>

HEDIS Measure	2018 HEDIS Rate	Audit Result
<i>Total</i>	70.93%	<b>R</b>
<b><i>Comprehensive Diabetes Care (excluding HbA1c &lt;7 indicator)</i></b>		
<i>HbA1c Testing</i>	83.94%	<b>R</b>
<i>HbA1c Poor Control (&gt;9.0%)</i>	27.92%	<b>R</b>
<i>HbA1c Control (&lt;8.0%)</i>	25.19%	<b>R</b>
<i>Eye Exam</i>	7.47%	<b>R</b>
<i>Medical Attention for Nephropathy</i>	82.98%	<b>R</b>
<i>Blood Pressure Control &lt;140/90 mm Hg</i>	0.00%	<b>R</b>
<b><i>Annual Monitoring for Patients on Persistent Medications</i></b>		
<i>ACE Inhibitors or ARBs</i>	84.52%	<b>R</b>
<i>Diuretics</i>	85.80%	<b>R</b>
<i>Total</i>	85.03%	<b>R</b>
<b><i>Statin Therapy for Patients With Diabetes</i></b>		
<i>Received Statin Therapy</i>	43.37%	<b>R</b>
<i>Statin Adherence 80%</i>	57.33%	<b>R</b>
<b><i>Statin Therapy for Patients With Cardiovascular Disease</i></b>		
<i>Received Statin Therapy—Males—Ages 21 to 75 Years</i>	74.78%	<b>R</b>
<i>Received Statin Therapy—Females—Ages 40 to 75 Years</i>	67.57%	<b>R</b>
<i>Received Statin Therapy—Total</i>	71.96%	<b>R</b>
<i>Statin Adherence 80%—Males—Ages 21 to 75 Years</i>	69.77%	<b>R</b>
<i>Statin Adherence 80%—Females—Ages 40 to 75 Years</i>	66.00%	<b>R</b>
<i>Statin Adherence 80%—Total</i>	68.38%	<b>R</b>
<b><i>Use of Imaging Studies for Low Back Pain</i></b>	72.70%	<b>R</b>
<b><i>Avoidance of Antibiotic Treatment in Adults With Acute Bronchitis</i></b>	40.89%	<b>R</b>
<b><i>Pharmacotherapy Management of COPD Exacerbation</i></b>		
<i>Systemic corticosteroid</i>	44.50%	<b>R</b>
<i>Bronchodilator</i>	54.13%	<b>R</b>
<b><i>Asthma Medication Ratio</i></b>		
<i>Total</i>	52.07%	<b>R</b>
<b><i>Medication Management for People With Asthma</i></b>		
<b><i>Medication Compliance 50%</i></b>		
<i>Total</i>	63.25%	<b>R</b>
<b><i>Medication Compliance 75%</i></b>		
<i>Total</i>	38.89%	<b>R</b>
<b><i>Use of Spirometry Testing in the Assessment and Diagnosis of COPD</i></b>	34.87%	<b>R</b>
<b><i>Disease-Modifying Anti-Rheumatic Drug Therapy in Rheumatoid Arthritis</i></b>	74.77%	<b>R</b>
<b><i>Chlamydia Screening in Women</i></b>		
<i>Total</i>	49.26%	<b>R</b>

HEDIS Measure	2018 HEDIS Rate	Audit Result
<b>Breast Cancer Screening</b>	50.44%	<b>R</b>
<b>Cervical Cancer Screening</b>	43.21%	<b>R</b>
<b>Non-Recommended Cervical Cancer Screening in Adolescent Females</b>	2.12%	<b>R</b>
<b>Adult BMI Assessment</b>	17.25%	<b>R</b>
<b>Antidepressant Medication Management</b>		
<i>Effective Acute Phase Treatment</i>	52.34%	<b>R</b>
<i>Effective Continuation Phase Treatment</i>	34.46%	<b>R</b>
<b>Follow-up Care for Children Prescribed ADHD Medication</b>		
<i>Initiation Phase</i>	NA	NA
<i>Continuation and Maintenance Phase</i>	NA	NA
<b>Metabolic Monitoring for Children and Adolescents on Antipsychotics</b>		
<i>Ages 1 to 5 Years</i>	NA	NA
<i>Ages 6 to 11 Years</i>	NA	NA
<i>Ages 12 to 17 Years</i>	NA	NA
<i>Total</i>	21.95%	<b>R</b>
<b>Use of Multiple Concurrent Antipsychotics in Children and Adolescents</b>		
<i>Ages 1 to 5 Years</i>	NA	NA
<i>Ages 6 to 11 Years</i>	NA	NA
<i>Ages 12 to 17 Years</i>	NA	NA
<i>Total</i>	2.70%	<b>R</b>
<b>Persistence of Beta-Blocker Treatment After a Heart Attack</b>	NA	NA
<b>Ambulatory Care: Emergency Department Visits and Outpatient Visits</b>		
<i>Outpatient Visits per 1,000 MM</i>	317.25	<b>R</b>
<i>ED Visits per 1,000 MM</i>	62.98	<b>R</b>
<b>Inpatient Utilization—General Hospital/Acute Care</b>		
<i>Discharges per 1,000 MM (Total Inpatient)</i>	9.01	<b>R</b>
<i>Days per 1,000 MM (Total Inpatient)</i>	32.59	<b>R</b>
<i>Average Length of Stay (Total Inpatient)</i>	3.62	<b>R</b>
<i>Discharges per 1,000 MM (Medicine)</i>	4.20	<b>R</b>
<i>Days per 1,000 MM (Medicine)</i>	15.52	<b>R</b>
<i>Average Length of Stay (Medicine)</i>	3.70	<b>R</b>
<i>Discharges per 1,000 MM (Surgery)</i>	2.12	<b>R</b>
<i>Days per 1,000 MM (Surgery)</i>	11.43	<b>R</b>
<i>Average Length of Stay (Surgery)</i>	5.39	<b>R</b>
<i>Discharges per 1,000 MM (Maternity)</i>	2.83	<b>R</b>
<i>Days per 1,000 MM (Maternity)</i>	5.93	<b>R</b>
<i>Average Length of Stay (Maternity)</i>	2.10	<b>R</b>

HEDIS Measure	2018 HEDIS Rate	Audit Result
<b>Antibiotic Utilization</b>		
<i>Average Scrips for PMPY for Antibiotics (All Ages)</i>	0.70	<b>R</b>
<i>Averages Days Supplied per Antibiotic Scrip (All Ages)</i>	9.32	<b>R</b>
<i>Average Scrips PMPY for Antibiotics of Concern (All Ages)</i>	0.28	<b>R</b>
<i>Percentage of Antibiotics of Concern of All Antibiotic Scrips (All Ages)</i>	39.55%	<b>R</b>
<b>Frequency of Selected Procedures (Procedures per 1,000 MM)</b>		
<i>Bariatric Weight Loss Surgery (0–19 Male)</i>	0.00	<b>R</b>
<i>Bariatric Weight Loss Surgery (0–19 Female)</i>	0.00	<b>R</b>
<i>Bariatric Weight Loss Surgery (20–44 Male)</i>	0.01	<b>R</b>
<i>Bariatric Weight Loss Surgery (20–44 Female)</i>	0.12	<b>R</b>
<i>Bariatric Weight Loss Surgery (45–64 Male)</i>	0.02	<b>R</b>
<i>Bariatric Weight Loss Surgery (45–64 Female)</i>	0.17	<b>R</b>
<i>Tonsillectomy (0–9 Male &amp; Female)</i>	1.27	<b>R</b>
<i>Tonsillectomy (10–19 Male &amp; Female)</i>	0.42	<b>R</b>
<i>Hysterectomy, Abdominal (15–44 Female)</i>	0.08	<b>R</b>
<i>Hysterectomy, Abdominal (45–64 Female)</i>	0.17	<b>R</b>
<i>Hysterectomy, Vaginal (15–44 Female)</i>	0.34	<b>R</b>
<i>Hysterectomy, Vaginal (45–64 Female)</i>	0.31	<b>R</b>
<i>Cholecystectomy, Open (30–64 Male)</i>	0.01	<b>R</b>
<i>Cholecystectomy, Open (15–44 Female)</i>	0.01	<b>R</b>
<i>Cholecystectomy, Open (45–64 Female)</i>	0.01	<b>R</b>
<i>Cholecystectomy (Laparoscopic) (30–64 Male)</i>	0.32	<b>R</b>
<i>Cholecystectomy (Laparoscopic) (15–44 Female)</i>	0.84	<b>R</b>
<i>Cholecystectomy (Laparoscopic) (45–64 Female)</i>	0.71	<b>R</b>
<i>Back Surgery (20–44 Male)</i>	0.10	<b>R</b>
<i>Back Surgery (20–44 Female)</i>	0.23	<b>R</b>
<i>Back Surgery (45–64 Male)</i>	0.72	<b>R</b>
<i>Back Surgery (45–64 Female)</i>	0.62	<b>R</b>
<i>Mastectomy (15–44 Female)</i>	0.05	<b>R</b>
<i>Mastectomy (45–64 Female)</i>	0.10	<b>R</b>
<i>Lumpectomy (15–44 Female)</i>	0.08	<b>R</b>
<i>Lumpectomy (45–64 Female)</i>	0.33	<b>R</b>
<b>Plan All-Cause Readmissions</b>		
<i>Total</i>		
<i>18 to 44 Years</i>	10.71%	<b>R</b>
<i>45 to 54 Years</i>	9.81%	<b>R</b>
<i>55 to 64 Years</i>	7.50%	<b>R</b>
<i>Total</i>	9.33%	<b>R</b>



HEDIS Measure	2018 HEDIS Rate	Audit Result
<i>Observed-to-Expected Ratio—Total</i>	0.56	<b>R</b>
<i>Use of Opioids at High Dosage</i>	41.26	<b>R</b>
<i>Use of Opioids from Multiple Providers</i>		
<i>Multiple Prescribers</i>	338.13	<b>R</b>
<i>Multiple Pharmacies</i>	91.83	<b>R</b>
<i>Multiple Prescribers and Multiple Pharmacies</i>	62.63	<b>R</b>