

COLORADO

Department of Health Care Policy & Financing

Fiscal Year 2022–2023 Compliance Review Report for Colorado Access Region 5

March 2023

This report was produced by Health Services Advisory Group, Inc., for the Colorado Department of Health Care Policy & Financing.





Table of Contents

1. E	Executive Summary	-1
Iı	ntroduction1	-1
	Summary of Compliance Results1	
S	standard I—Coverage and Authorization of Services1	-3
	Evidence of Compliance and Strengths1	
	Opportunities for Improvement and Recommendations1	-4
	Required Actions1	
S	standard II—Adequate Capacity and Availability of Services1	
	Evidence of Compliance and Strengths1	
	Opportunities for Improvement and Recommendations1	
	Required Actions1	
S	tandard VI—Grievance and Appeal Systems1	
	Evidence of Compliance and Strengths1	
	Opportunities for Improvement and Recommendations1	
	Required Actions	-7
S	tandard XII—Enrollment and Disenrollment	
	Evidence of Compliance and Strengths	
	Opportunities for Improvement and Recommendations	
	Required Actions1	
2. C	Overview and Background	-1
C	Overview of FY 2022–2023 Compliance Monitoring Activities	-1
	Compliance Monitoring Review Methodology2	
C	Dejective of the Compliance Review2	-2
3. F	Sollow-Up on Prior Year's Corrective Action Plan 3	-1
F	Y 2021–2022 Corrective Action Methodology	-1
	Summary of FY 2021–2022 Required Actions	
	Summary of Corrective Action/Document Review	
S	Summary of Continued Required Actions	-1
Appe	ndix A. Compliance Monitoring ToolA	-1
	ndix B. Record Review Tools B	
	ndix C. Compliance Review ParticipantsC	
Appe	ndix D. Corrective Action Plan Template for FY 2022–2023D	-1
Appe	ndix E. Compliance Monitoring Review Protocol Activities	-1



Introduction

In accordance with its authority under Colorado Revised Statute 25.5-1-101 et seq. and pursuant to Request for Proposal 2017000265, the Department of Healthcare Policy & Financing (the Department) executed contracts with the Regional Accountable Entities (RAEs) for the Accountable Care Collaborative (ACC) program, effective July 1, 2018. The RAEs are responsible for integrating the administration of physical and behavioral healthcare and managing networks of fee-for-service primary care providers and capitated behavioral health providers to ensure access to care for Medicaid members. Per the Code of Federal Regulations, Title 42 (42 CFR)—RAEs qualify as both Primary Care Case Management (PCCM) entities and Prepaid Inpatient Health Plans (PIHPs). 42 CFR requires PCCM entities and PIHPs to comply with specified provisions of 42 CFR §438—managed care regulations—and requires that states conduct a periodic evaluation of their managed care regulations published May 6, 2016. Additional revisions were released in November 2020, with an effective date of December 2020. The Department has elected to complete this requirement for the RAEs by contracting with an external quality review organization (EQRO), Health Services Advisory Group, Inc. (HSAG).

This report documents results of the fiscal year (FY) 2022–2023 compliance review activities for **Colorado Access (COA)**. For each of the four standard areas reviewed this year, this section contains summaries of strengths and findings as evidence of compliance, findings resulting in opportunities for improvement, and required actions. Section 2 describes the background and methodology used for the FY 2022–2023 compliance monitoring review. Section 3 describes follow-up on the corrective actions required as a result of the FY 2021–2022 compliance review activities. Appendix A contains the compliance monitoring tool for the review of the standards. Appendix B contains details of the findings for the denials of authorization of services (denials), grievances, and appeals record review tools. Appendix C lists HSAG, RAE, and Department personnel who participated in some way in the compliance review process. Appendix D describes the corrective action plan (CAP) process that the RAE will be required to complete for FY 2022–2023 and the required template for doing so. Appendix E contains a detailed description of HSAG's compliance review activities consistent with the Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS) External Quality Review (EQR) *Protocol 3. Review of Compliance With Medicaid and CHIP Managed Care Regulations: A Mandatory EQR-Related Activity*, October 2019.¹⁻¹

¹⁻¹ Department of Health and Human Services, Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services. *Protocol 3. Review of Compliance With Medicaid and CHIP Managed Care Regulations: A Mandatory EQR-Related Activity*, October 2019. Available at: <u>https://www.medicaid.gov/medicaid/quality-of-care/downloads/2019-eqr-protocols.pdf</u>. Accessed on: Sep 27, 2021. At the start of FY 2022–2023 compliance review, CMS had not finalized the 2022 CMS EQR Protocol 4; therefore, the 2019 CMS EQR Protocol 3 was used for the period under review.



Summary of Compliance Results

Based on conclusions drawn from the review activities, HSAG assigned each requirement in the compliance monitoring tool a score of *Met*, *Partially Met*, *Not Met*, or *Not Applicable*. HSAG assigned required actions to any requirement receiving a score of *Partially Met* or *Not Met*. HSAG also identified opportunities for improvement with associated recommendations for some elements, regardless of the score.

Table 1-1 presents the scores for **COA RAE 5** for each of the standards. Findings for all requirements are summarized in this section. Details of the findings for each requirement receiving a score of *Partially Met* or *Not Met* follow in Appendix A—Compliance Monitoring Tool.

Standard	# of Elements	# of Applicable Elements	# Met	# Partially Met	# Not Met	# Not Applicable	Score* (% of Met Elements)
I. Coverage and Authorization of Services	32	32	28	4	0	0	88%
II. Adequate Capacity and Availability of Services	14	14	14	0	0	0	100%
VI. Grievance and Appeal Systems	35	35	34	1	0	0	97%
XII. Enrollment and Disenrollment	5	5	5	0	0	0	100%
Totals	86	86	81	5	0	0	94%

Table 1-1—Summary of Scores for Standards

*The overall score is calculated by adding the total number of *Met* elements and dividing by the total number of applicable elements from the standards in the compliance monitoring tool.

Table 1-2 presents the scores for **COA RAE 5** for the denials, grievances, and appeals record reviews. Details of the findings for the record reviews are in Appendix B—Record Review Tools.

Table 1-2—Summary of Scores	for the Record Reviews
-----------------------------	------------------------

Record Reviews	# of Elements	# of Applicable Elements	# Met	# Not Met	# Not Applicable	Score* (% of Met Elements)
Denials	100	71	64	7	29	90%
Grievances	60	56	56	0	4	100%
Appeals	60	53	53	0	7	100%
Totals	220	180	173	7	40	96%

*The overall score is calculated by adding the total number of *Met* elements and dividing by the total number of applicable elements from the record review tools.



Standard I—Coverage and Authorization of Services

Evidence of Compliance and Strengths

COA's policies, procedures, and reporting documents outlined a comprehensive approach to review and authorize covered services using medical necessity and InterQual criteria in compliance with regulatory guidelines. Utilization management (UM) staff members participated in annual interrater reliability testing to ensure criteria are applied consistently. Staff members responsible for denial decisions had appropriate clinical expertise in treating the member's condition or disease. Ad-hoc reviewers were available through National Medical Reviews but were not frequently called upon. UM staff members were divided into specialties, one team of behavioral health and one team of physical health nurses and reviewers.

Denial sample records demonstrated a consistent process for logging and handling authorization requests in the GuidingCare (Altruista Health) system. Software updates completed during the review period included the addition of a provider authorization portal in calendar year (CY) 2022 where providers can make service requests online and documentation is seamlessly and automatically loaded into the GuidingCare system. Staff members also noted the expansion of InterQual UM software to include American Society of Addiction Medicine (ASAM) dimensions, which can now be reviewed inline through the software rather than separately through a manual process.

Case notes within the denial sample records showed that **COA** staff members outreached the requesting provider for more information when needed, typically at least once, and up to three times. In instances where the provider requested a peer-to-peer review, **COA** staff members advised the provider of specific office hours and a direct telephone number with which to reach the psychiatrist. In many denial sample cases, clinical considerations went beyond the black and white nature of InterQual guidelines to consider the individual member's situation and safety. Staff members discussed scheduling regular department meetings, working with the Department of Human Services and the court system, attending twice monthly meetings with care management staff members, and adding ad-hoc reviews as necessary, including reviewing high-needs members with the Department.

Notice of adverse benefit determination (NABD) letters to members denying authorization for substance use disorder (SUD) treatment authorization included the required ASAM dimension, beginning after July 1, 2022, as required. **COA** accurately described continuation of benefits in its submitted policy for the Medicaid line of business and acknowledged that **COA** never reduces, suspends, or terminates previously authorized services.

Policies, procedures, provider manuals, and member information regarding emergency conditions, emergency services, and post-stabilization services all contained required definitions consistent with State and federal definitions. The provider agreement, provider manual, and single case agreement information stated that **COA** does not bill Medicaid members for any charges incurred and that the State prohibits providers from balance billing Medicaid members. Internal procedures and claims systems were described to not require authorization for emergency services, whether in or out of network. Staff



members in charge of claims system oversight described monitoring and updating claims coding on a regular basis to ensure all emergency service claims are paid and any questions are directed to the correct UM staff members. Customer service staff are trained in the overall benefits package and are available to clarify emergency services and post-stabilization services to members, if needed.

Opportunities for Improvement and Recommendations

HSAG recommends that **COA** include the core components of medical necessity as described in the federal definition (42 CFR 438.210[a][5]) as part of **COA**'s definition.

HSAG noted that the NABD letters to members did not include specific references to the clinical criteria (i.e., InterQual) reviewed, other than for SUD NABDs, which included the required ASAM dimensions. Although not a federal requirement, the State RAE NABD template encourages the RAE to include a reference to the health plan's criteria.

Required Actions

Sample denial records contained the following issues:

- COA did not mail an NABD letter to the member in one case.
- COA did not make a denial decision within the required time frame in one case.
- One NABD letter included language that would not be easy for the member to understand.

To come into compliance with federal and State requirements, COA must:

- Update its procedures to further delineate provider claims issues which are separate from memberrelated issues in which a service is denied or partially denied. Policies, procedures, and monitoring must be enhanced to ensure that the member is notified in writing of the denial or partial denial of a service in a timely manner.
- Enhance its monitoring procedures to ensure that members are notified in a timely manner.



Standard II—Adequate Capacity and Availability of Services

Evidence of Compliance and Strengths

Policies, procedures, and documentation submitted by **COA** demonstrated oversight of the provider network to ensure access to covered services for members. In addition to the state-required annual and quarterly reports, staff members described reports to monitor "zero claims paid" providers who had not billed a claim in the previous two years. Staff members described this as a way to begin narrowing down providers who may have retired or closed their panels and use the list for outreach and engagement purposes.

Single case agreements are sought when needed, usually initiated through a provider authorization request. Staff member described these as priorities and completed the agreements either the same day or within four days alongside the UM department, provider relations, and the program director.

The provider manual and website included accurate information regarding time and distance standards, and provider network and quality department staff members also outreached providers to inform them of timely appointment standards prior to conducting monitoring activities such as secret shopper. Corrective action plans for providers who failed to comply with timely appointment standards were individualized based on the type of noncompliance documented and have shifted to an "opportunity" lens since the end of FY 2021–2022. Additional SUD monitoring was added in FY 2021–2022 and performed by Signal Behavioral Health Network.

Cultural competency efforts have been a focus in the organization and staff members reported the addition of a vice president of diversity, equity, and inclusion (DEI) and an expanded team of DEI "consultants." Targeted outreach and engagement programs described during the interview included the following member groups: Latinx, homeless, refugee, and members recently released from prison. These member groups were noted as top priorities during the review period CY 2022. Related to accessibility to alternative languages, **COA**'s human resources department maintained a list of staff members who are bilingual and available to immediately translate for members and their family members as well as a 1-800 telephone line for additional languages. In terms of members with physical disabilities, the **COA** provider agreement appendix served as a data collection tool regarding providers who offered accommodations such as the ability to communicate with individuals who have hearing, vision, speech, or cognitive disabilities; and those who offered accessible office aids such as ramps, extra-wide doors, accessible treatment and examination rooms, etc. This information was also available to members as a searchable filter through the online provider directory.

Opportunities for Improvement and Recommendations

Geoaccess compliance reports, quarterly *Network Reports* and the *Network Adequacy Plan* each included details of strengths and a few gaps in **COA**'s provider network. **COA** has an opportunity to continue working with the Department to identify ways to improve compliance with time and distance standards for SUD treatment practitioners, specifically ASAM levels 3.3, 3.7, and 3.7WM.



Required Actions

HSAG identified no required actions for this standard.

Standard VI—Grievance and Appeal Systems

Evidence of Compliance and Strengths

COA submitted its grievance and appeal policies and procedures, member materials, provider manual, and notice templates that defined key terms and provided information that supported **COA**'s implementation of requirements related to grievance and appeal systems. **COA**'s policies and procedures included information regarding members' rights such as the right to file a grievance at any time. **COA** also had a standard system, Altruista Health's GuidingCare, that tracks all information and data related to grievances and appeals.

Staff members described how they inform members of their rights if a member contacts **COA** to file a grievance and the ways the member or the member's authorized representative can submit a grievance. The member can submit a grievance by phone, e-mail, online, or fax to customer service, care managers, or other staff members, and all staff members are trained to submit grievances to the grievance team. Once the grievance is received, **COA** grievance coordinators will log, investigate, and work to resolve the issue in 15 working days. **COA** also described that if a member contacts the grievance department with a quality-of-care issue, the case will be sent to the Quality Department to be reviewed by a clinical staff member.

When a member files an appeal, in addition to sending a written acknowledgement letter, the **COA** appeals coordinator verbally contacts the member to ensure that the member, or the member's representative, is aware that they have the right to submit documents, records, and other information, and that all comments will be considered by the decision maker without regard to whether such information was submitted or considered in the initial adverse benefit determination. **COA** also listed multiple specialty physicians that are employed with **COA** to make decisions on appeals, and the appeals coordinator is responsible to ensure that the decision maker was not involved in the previous decision of the denial.

COA reported that it rarely terminates, suspends, or reduces a previously authorized service; however, policies and procedures accurately describe continuation of benefits in the rare occurrence this situation would occur.

Staff members reported that translation services are available in English and Spanish to accommodate the member if needed. **COA** also reported the availability of DocuSign, Zoom, and other technology platforms to assist the member in completing forms or other procedural steps related to grievance and appeals.



Grievance and appeal acknowledgement and resolution letters to members were written at or around the sixth-grade reading level. Both grievances and appeals sample records included the required content in the acknowledgement and resolution letters. **COA** consistently met the timeliness requirements for grievance and appeal acknowledgement and resolution notices.

Opportunities for Improvement and Recommendations

HSAG identified no opportunities for improvement or recommendations.

Required Actions

HSAG reviewed the **COA** website and found an old policy that inaccurately states that the member must follow an oral request of an appeal in writing. **COA** must remove the statement that requires the member to follow an oral request by writing to adhere to federal regulations.

Standard XII—Enrollment and Disenrollment

Evidence of Compliance and Strengths

COA submitted its *Nondiscrimination* and *Member Enrollment and Disenrollment* policies that described a process and procedure to receive daily enrollment files from the State, electronically, and in the order in which they are received. Staff members described that upon receipt of the 834 files from the State, **COA** staff members have a process internally to check for errors. Staff members reported that in the past month less than 1 percent of manual updates were needed for minor data issues.

COA describes a process to ensure that it does not discriminate against members. **COA** staff members reported that if a member had a complaint related to discrimination, **COA** would assist the member to file a grievance with the grievance team and work with the member to resolve the situation to the member's satisfaction. **COA** also described a process to run quarterly reports to ensure that grievances and disenrollments are not due to quality-of-care issues.

COA reported that it rarely disenrolls members due to extreme disruptive behavior and would work with the member in every possible way including, but not limited to, developing a communication plan to redirect the member toward appropriate behaviors and sometimes a new provider, establish boundaries, and get the member back on track with treatment goals. **COA** staff members reported that if they did request disenrollment of a member, the decision would be in conjunction with the **COA** chief executive officer and the Department. In that rare instance, **COA** would ensure there would be a warm handoff to the new health plan.



Opportunities for Improvement and Recommendations

HSAG identified no opportunities for improvement or recommendations.

Required Actions

HSAG identified no required actions for this standard.



2. Overview and Background

Overview of FY 2022–2023 Compliance Monitoring Activities

For the FY 2022–2023 compliance review process, the Department requested a review of four areas of performance. HSAG developed a review strategy and monitoring tools consisting of four standards for reviewing the performance areas chosen. The standards chosen were Standard I—Coverage and Authorization of Services, Standard II—Adequate Capacity and Availability of Services, Standard VI—Grievance and Appeal Systems, and Standard XII—Enrollment and Disenrollment. Compliance with applicable federal managed care regulations and related managed care contract requirements was evaluated through review of the four standards.

Compliance Monitoring Review Methodology

In developing the data collection tools and in reviewing documentation related to the four standards, HSAG used the RAE's contract requirements and regulations specified by the federal Medicaid managed care regulations published May 6, 2016. Additional revisions were released in November 2020, with an effective date of December 2020. HSAG assigned each requirement in the compliance monitoring tool a score of *Met*, *Partially Met*, *Not Met*, or *Not Applicable*. The Department determined that the review period was January 1, 2022, through December 31, 2022. HSAG conducted a desk review of materials submitted prior to the compliance review activities; a review of records, documents, and materials requested during the compliance review; and interviews of key RAE personnel to determine compliance with applicable federal managed care regulations and contract requirements. Documents submitted for the desk review and compliance review consisted of policies and procedures, staff training materials, reports, minutes of key committee meetings, member and provider informational materials, and administrative records related to denials of authorization, grievances, and appeals.

HSAG reviewed a sample of the RAE's administrative records related to denials, grievances, and appeals to evaluate implementation of federal and State healthcare regulations. Reviewers used standardized monitoring tools to review records and document findings. HSAG used a sample of 10 records with an oversample of five records (to the extent that a sufficient number existed) for each of the denials, grievances, and appeals. Using a random sampling technique, HSAG selected the samples from all RAE denial, grievance, and appeal records that occurred between January 1, 2022, and December 31, 2022. For the record review, the RAE received a score of *Met (M)*, *Not Met (NM)*, or *Not Applicable (NA)* for each required element. Results of record reviews were considered in the review of applicable requirements in Standard I—Coverage and Authorization of Services and Standard VI—Grievance and Appeal Systems. HSAG separately calculated a record review score for each record review requirement and an overall record review score.



The compliance review processes were consistent with the CMS EQR *Protocol 3. Review of Compliance With Medicaid and CHIP Managed Care Regulations: A Mandatory EQR-Related Activity*, October 2019. Appendix E contains a detailed description of HSAG's compliance review activities consistent with those outlined in the CMS EQR protocol. The four standards chosen for the FY 2022– 2023 compliance reviews represent a portion of the managed care requirements. The following standards will be reviewed in subsequent years: Standard III—Coordination and Continuity of Care, Standard IV—Member Rights, Protections, and Confidentiality, Standard V—Member Information Requirements, Standard VII—Provider Selection and Program Integrity, Standard VIII—Credentialing and Recredentialing, Standard IX—Subcontractual Relationships and Delegation, Standard X—Quality Assessment and Performance Improvement, and Standard XI—Early and Periodic Screening, Diagnostic, and Treatment Services (EPSDT).

Objective of the Compliance Review

The objective of the compliance review was to provide meaningful information to the Department and the RAE regarding:

- The RAE's compliance with federal healthcare regulations and managed care contract requirements in the four areas selected for review.
- Strengths, opportunities for improvement, and actions required to bring the RAE into compliance with federal healthcare regulations and contract requirements in the standard areas reviewed.
- The quality and timeliness of, and access to, services furnished by the RAE, as assessed by the specific areas reviewed.
- Possible interventions recommended to improve the quality of the RAE's services related to the standard areas reviewed.



3. Follow-Up on Prior Year's Corrective Action Plan

FY 2021–2022 Corrective Action Methodology

As a follow-up to the FY 2021–2022 compliance review, each RAE that received one or more *Partially Met* or *Not Met* scores was required to submit a CAP to the Department addressing those requirements found not to be fully compliant. If applicable, the RAE was required to describe planned interventions designed to achieve compliance with these requirements, anticipated training and follow-up activities, the timelines associated with the activities, and documents to be sent following completion of the planned interventions. HSAG reviewed the CAP and associated documents submitted by the RAE and determined whether it successfully completed each of the required actions. HSAG and the Department continued to work with **COA** until it completed each of the required actions from the FY 2021–2022 compliance monitoring review.

Summary of FY 2021–2022 Required Actions

For FY 2021–2022, HSAG reviewed Standard III—Coordination and Continuity of Care, Standard IV— Member Rights, Protections, and Confidentiality, Standard V—Member Information Requirements, and Standard XI—EPSDT.

Related to Standard V—Member Information Requirements, **COA** was required to complete one corrective action, which was to update policies and procedures to include the language, "or 30 days prior to the effective date of the termination," related to informing members about a provider termination.

Summary of Corrective Action/Document Review

COA submitted a proposed CAP in March 2022. HSAG and the Department reviewed and approved the proposed plan and responded to **COA**. **COA** submitted a draft policy and desktop procedure in July 2022, and the final policy and desktop procedure and the CAP were completed in July 2022.

Summary of Continued Required Actions

COA successfully completed the FY 2021–2022 CAP, resulting in no continued corrective actions.



Standard I—Coverage and Authorization of Services		
Requirement	Evidence as Submitted by the Health Plan	Score
 The Contractor ensures that all services are sufficient in amount, duration, and scope to reasonably achieve the purpose for which the services are furnished. 42 CFR 438.210(a)(3)(i) 	Both R3 and R5: • Utilization Management Program Description • Philosophy Section • Program Framework Section	 Met Partially Met Not Met Not Applicable
Contract: Exhibit B-8—14.6.2	R3-specific:	
	• NA	
	R5-specific:	
	• NA	
 The Contractor does not arbitrarily deny or reduce the amount, duration, or scope of a required service solely because of diagnosis, type of illness, or condition of the member. 42 CFR 438.210(a)(3)(ii) 	 Both R3 and R5: UM 102 Utilization Review Determinations Policy Statement Bullet 6 	 Met Partially Met Not Met Not Applicable
Contract: Exhibit B-8—14.6.4	R3-specific:	
	• NA	
	R5-specific:	
	• NA	
 3. The Contractor may place appropriate limits on services— On the basis of criteria applied under the Medicaid State plan (such as medical necessity). 	Both R3 and R5:UM 102 Utilization Review Determinations	 ☑ Met □ Partially Met □ Not Met
 For the purpose of utilization control, provided that the services furnished can reasonably achieve their purpose. 	 Policy Section A-C 	\Box Not Met



Standard I—Coverage and Authorization of Services		
Requirement	Evidence as Submitted by the Health Plan	Score
 For Utilization Management, provided family planning services are provided in a manner that protects and enables the member's freedom to choose the method of family planning to be used. Note: The Contractor shall not deny or reduce the amount, duration, and scope of services provided under EPSDT as long as the service is supporting a member to maintain stability or level of functioning or making treatment progress. 42 CFR 438.210(a)(4) 	 UM104 Early and Periodic Screening Diagnostic and Treatment Services Section 2.E R3-specific: NA R5-specific: NA 	
 <u>Contract: Exhibit B-8—14.6.2.1, 14.6.5, 14.6.5. 2, and 14.6.5.2.3</u> 4. The Contractor may place appropriate limits on services for utilization control, provided that any financial requirement or treatment limitation applied to mental health or substance use disorder (SUD) benefits in any classification is no more restrictive than the predominant financial requirement or treatment limitation of that type applied to substantially all medical/surgical benefits in the same classification furnished to members (whether or not the benefits are furnished by the same Contractor). The Contractor may only apply a Non-Quantitative Treatment Limitation (NQTL) for mental health or substance use disorder benefits, in any classification, in a manner comparable to, and no more stringently than, the processes, strategies, evidentiary standards, or other factors applied to the same NQTL in the same benefit classification of the members' medical/surgical 	Both R3 and R5: • UM102 Utilization Review Determinations • Policy Section D R3-specific: • NA R5-specific: • NA	 ☑ Met □ Partially Met □ Not Met □ Not Applicable
benefits. 42 CFR 438.905		



Standard I—Coverage and Authorization of Services		
Requirement	Evidence as Submitted by the Health Plan	Score
<i>HB19-1269: Section 3–10-16-104(3)(B)</i> Contract: Exhibit B-8—14.6.5.2.1, 14.6.5.2.2		
5. The Contractor covers all medically necessary covered treatments for covered behavioral health (BH) diagnoses, regardless of any co-occurring conditions. The Contractor must ensure that the diagnosis of an intellectual or developmental disability, a neurological or neurocognitive disorder, or a traumatic brain injury does not preclude an individual from receiving a covered BH service. HB19-1269: Section 12–25.5-5-402(3)(h-i)	 Both R3 and R5: UM101 Criteria for Utilization Review Policy Section, paragraph 3 R3-specific: NA 	 Met Partially Met Not Met Not Applicable
	R5-specific: NA	
 6. The Contractor definition of "medically necessary": Is no more restrictive than that used in Colorado's Medicaid program, including quantitative and non-quantitative treatment limits, as indicated in Colorado statutes and regulations, the Health First Colorado plan, and other Colorado policies and procedures; and Addresses the extent to which the RAE is responsible for covering services that address: 	 Both R3 and R5: UM 101 Utilization Management Criteria Definitions Section Policy Section UM104 Early and Periodic Screening Diagnostic and Treatment Services Section 2.A 	 Met Partially Met Not Met Not Applicable
 The prevention, diagnosis, and treatment of a member's disease, condition, and/or disorder that results in health impairments and/or disability. The ability for a member to achieve age-appropriate growth and development. The ability for a member to attain, maintain, or regain function capacity. 	R3-specific: • NA R5-specific: • NA	



Standard I—Coverage and Authorization of Services		
Requirement	Evidence as Submitted by the Health Plan	Score
 The opportunity for a member receiving long-term services and supports to have access to the benefits of community living, to achieve person-centered goals, and to live and work in the setting of their choice. 		
Note: For the purposes of EPSDT, medical necessity includes a good or service that will, or is reasonably expected to, assist the client to achieve or maintain maximum functional capacity in performing one or more Activities of Daily Living; and meets the criteria set forth at Section 8.076.1.8.b-g.		
The Contractor shall determine medical necessity under EPSDT based on an individualized clinical review of a member's medical status and in consideration that the requested treatment can correct or ameliorate a diagnosed health condition.		
Note: The Contractor shall utilize the American Society of Addiction Medicine (ASAM) criteria to determine medical necessity for residential and inpatient substance use disorder treatment services.		
42 CFR 438.210(a)(5)		
Contract: Exhibit B-8—14.6.5.1.1 10 CCR 2505-10 8.280.4.E.2 10 CCR 2505-10 8.205.10.B.4.a		
 The Contractor and its subcontractors have in place and follow written policies and procedures that address the processing of requests for initial and continuing authorization of services. 	Both R3 and R5:UM 102 Utilization Review Determinations	 ☑ Met □ Partially Met □ Not Met □ Not Applicable
42 CFR 438.210(b)(1)		
Contract: Exhibit B-8—14.8.2		



Standard I—Coverage and Authorization of Services		
Requirement	Evidence as Submitted by the Health Plan	Score
	R3-specific: • NA R5-specific: • NA	
 8. The Contractor and its subcontractors have mechanisms in place and to ensure consistent application of review criteria for authorization decisions. 42 CFR 438.210(b)(2)(i) Contract: Exhibit B-8—14.8.2.6 	 Both R3 and R5: UM 101 Criteria for Utilization Review 2021 Inter-Rater Reliability Report R3-specific: NA R5-specific: NA 	 ☑ Met □ Partially Met □ Not Met □ Not Applicable
 9. The Contractor and its subcontractors have in place mechanisms to consult with the requesting provider for medical services when appropriate. 42 CFR 438.210(b)(2)(ii) Contract: Exhibit B-8—14.8.2.5 	 Both R3 and R5: UM 102 Utilization Review Determinations Policy bullet 4 UM 105 Peer Review Process R3-Specific NA 	 ☑ Met □ Partially Met □ Not Met □ Not Applicable



Requirement	Evidence as Submitted by the Health Plan	Score
	R5-Specific • NA	
 10. The Contractor ensures that any decision to deny a service authorization request or to authorize a service in an amount, duration, or scope that is less than requested, be made by an individual who has appropriate expertise in addressing the member's medical or BH needs. The Contractor's utilization management program includes identification of the type of personnel responsible for each level of utilization management decision-making. <i>42 CFR 438.210(b)(3)</i> Contract: Exhibit B-8—14.6.6, 14.8.2.4 	Both R3 and R5: • UM 100 Qualifications for Staff Engaged in Utilization Management Activities R3-specific: • NA R5-specific: • NA	 ☑ Met □ Partially Met □ Not Met □ Not Applicable
 11. The Contractor notifies the requesting provider and gives the member written notice of any decision by the Contractor to deny a service authorization request or to authorize a service in an amount, duration, or scope that is less than requested. <i>Note: Notice to the provider may be oral or in writing.</i> 42 CFR 438.210(c) 	 Both R3 and R5: UM 102 Utilization Review Determinations Section 7.C ACC MH Denial Letter (redacted) ACC SUD Denial Letter (redacted) R3-specific: 	 □ Met ⊠ Partially Met □ Not Met □ Not Applicable
Contract: Exhibit B-8—8.6.1 10 CCR 2505-10 8.209.4.A.1	• NA	



Standard I—Coverage and Authorization of Services		
Requirement	Evidence as Submitted by the Health Plan	Score
	R5-specific:NA	
Findings: In denial file #8, the member was not mailed an NABD letter. Required Actions:		
COA must update its procedures to further delineate provider claims issues partially denied. Policies, procedures, and monitoring must be enhanced to service.	A	
 12. The Contractor adheres to the following time frames for making standard and expedited authorization decisions: For standard authorization decisions—as expeditiously as the member's condition requires and not to exceed 10 calendar days following the receipt of the request for service. If the provider indicates, or the Contractor determines, that following the standard time frames could seriously jeopardize the member's life or health, or ability to attain, maintain, or regain maximum function, the Contractor makes an expedited authorization determination and provides notice as expeditiously as the member's condition requires and no later than 72 hours after receipt of the request for service. 	Both R3 and R5: • UM 102 Utilization Review Determinations • Section 3.A • Section 4.A • Section 5.A R3-specific: • NA R5-specific: • NA	 □ Met □ Partially Met □ Not Met □ Not Applicable
10 CCR 2505-10 8.209.4.A.3(c)		



Standard I—Coverage and Authorization of Services		
Requirement	Evidence as Submitted by the Health Plan	Score
Findings:		
In denial file #8, COA did not make a denial decision within the required	time frame.	
Required Actions:		
COA must enhance its monitoring procedures to ensure that all authorization	ion decisions are made within required time frames.	
 13. The Contractor may extend the time frame for making standard or expedited authorization decisions by up to 14 additional calendar days if: The member or the provider requests an extension, or The Contractor justifies (to the Department, upon request) a need for additional information and how the extension is in the member's interest. 42 CFR 438.210(d)(1)(i-ii) and (d)(2)(ii) Contract: Exhibit B-8—8.6.6.1, 8.6.8.1 	 Both R3 and R5: UM 102 Utilization Review Determinations Section 3.E.2 Section 4.D.4 CHP and ACC Member Extension Letter (redacted) CHP and ACC Provider Extension Letter (redacted) R3-specific: NA R5-specific: NA 	 ☑ Met □ Partially Met □ Not Met □ Not Applicable



Standard I—Coverage and Authorization of Services		
Requirement	Evidence as Submitted by the Health Plan	Score
 14. The notice of adverse benefit determination must be written in language easy to understand, available in State-established prevalent non-English languages in the region, and available in alternative formats for persons with special needs. 42 CFR 438.404(a) 	 Both R3 and R5: UM 102 Utilization Review Determinations Section 7.D R3-specific: 	 □ Met ⊠ Partially Met □ Not Met □ Not Applicable
Contract: Exhibit B-8—8.6.1–8.6.1.4 10 CCR 2505-10 8.209.4.A.1	• NA R5-specific:	
	• NA	
Findings: In denial file #5, the NABD letter included an abbreviation (i.e., PHP) that clinical terminology that may not be easy for the member to understand an Required Actions:		BD letters included
COA must enhance its NABD template and monitoring procedures to ensu in plain language (e.g., partial hospitalization program [PHP], a part-time times a week).		
 15. The notice of adverse benefit determination must explain the following: The adverse benefit determination the Contractor has made or intends to make. The reasons for the adverse benefit determination, including the right of the member to be provided upon request (and free of charge), reasonable access to and copies of all documents and records relevant to the adverse benefit determination (includes 	 Both R3 and R5: UM 102 Utilization Review Determinations Section 7.C ACC MH Denial Letter (redacted) ACC SUD Denial Letter (redacted) R3-specific:	 ☑ Met □ Partially Met □ Not Met □ Not Applicable



Standard I—Coverage and Authorization of Services		
Requirement	Evidence as Submitted by the Health Plan	Score
 medical necessity criteria and strategies, evidentiary standards, or processes used in setting coverage limits). The member's right (or member's designated representative) to request one level of appeal with the Contractor and the procedures for doing so. The date the appeal is due. The member's right to request a State fair hearing after receiving an appeal resolution notice from the Contractor that the adverse benefit determination is upheld. The procedures for exercising the right to request a State fair hearing. The circumstances under which an appeal process can be expedited and how to make this request. The member's rights to have benefits/services continue (if applicable) pending the resolution of the appeal, how to request that benefits continue, and the circumstances (consistent with State policy) under which the member may be required to pay the cost of these services. How each dimension of the most recent edition of ASAM criteria was considered when determining medical necessity for any adverse determination concerning residential or inpatient substance use disorder services. Contract: Exhibit B-8—8.6.1.5–8.6.1.13 	 NA R5-specific: NA 	Score



 For termination, suspension, or reduction of previously authorized Medicaid-covered services, as defined in 42 CFR 431.211, 431.213 and 431.214 (see below). For denial of payment, at the time of any denial affecting the claim. For standard service authorization decisions that deny or limit 	 oth R3 and R5: UM 102 Utilization Review Determination Section 7 3-specific: NA 	 □ Met ⊠ Partially Met □ Not Met □ Not Applicable
 For expedited service authorization decisions, within 72 hours after receipt of the request for service. For extended service authorization decisions, no later than the date the extension expires. For service authorization decisions not reached within the required time frames, on the date the time frames expire. 42 CFR 438.404(c) 42 CFR 438.210(d) Contract: Exhibit B-8—8.6.3.1, 8.6.5–8.6.8 10 CCR 2505-10 8.209.4.A.3 	5-specific: • NA	

COA must update its procedures to further delineate provider claims issues which are separate from member-related issues in which a service is denied or partially denied. Policies, procedures, and monitoring must be enhanced to ensure that the member is notified in writing of the denial or partial denial of a service.



Standard I—Coverage and Authorization of Services		
Requirement	Evidence as Submitted by the Health Plan	Score
 17. For reduction, suspension, or termination of a previously authorized Medicaid-covered service, the Contractor gives notice at least 10 days before the intended effective date of the proposed adverse benefit determination except: 	 Both R3 and R5: UM 102 Utilization Review Determination Section 7.B 	 ☑ Met □ Partially Met □ Not Met □ Not Applicable
 The Contractor gives notice on or before the intended effective of the proposed adverse benefit determination if: The Contractor has factual information confirming the 	R3-specific: • NA	
death of a member.	R5-specific:	
 The Contractor receives a clear written statement signed by the member that the member no longer wishes services or gives information that requires termination or reduction of services and indicates that the member understands that this must be the result of supplying that information. 	• NA	
 The member has been admitted to an institution where the member is ineligible under the plan for further services. 		
 The member's whereabouts are unknown, and the post office returns Contractor mail directed to the member indicating no forwarding address. 		
 The Contractor establishes that the member has been accepted for Medicaid services by another local jurisdiction, state, territory, or commonwealth. 		
 A change in the level of medical care is prescribed by the member's physician. 		
 The notice involves an adverse benefit determination made with regard to the preadmission screening requirements. 		



Standard I—Coverage and Authorization of Services		
Requirement	Evidence as Submitted by the Health Plan	Score
 If probable member fraud has been verified, the Contractor gives notice five calendar days before the intended effective date of the proposed adverse benefit determination. 42 CFR 438.404(c) 42 CFR 431.211 42 CFR 431.213 42 CFR 431.214 		
Contract: Exhibit B-8—8.6.3.1–8.6.3.2, 8.6.4.1–8.6.4.8 10 CCR 2505-10 8.209.4.A.3(a) 18. If the Contractor extends the time frame for standard authorization decisions, it must give the member written notice of the reason for the extension and inform the member of the right to file a grievance if the member disagrees with that decision. <i>42 CFR 438.404(c)(4)</i> Contract: Exhibit B-8—8.6.6.2 10 CCR 2505-10 8.209.4.A.3(c)(1)	Both R3 and R5: • UM 102 Utilization Review Determinations • Section 3.E.3 • Section 4.D.2 R3-specific: • NA R5-specific: • NA	 ☑ Met □ Partially Met □ Not Met □ Not Applicable



Standard I—Coverage and Authorization of Services		
Requirement	Evidence as Submitted by the Health Plan	Score
 19. The Contractor provides that compensation to individuals or entities that conduct utilization management activities is not structured so as to provide incentives for the individual to deny, limit, or discontinue medically necessary services to any member. 42 CFR 438.210(e) 	 Both R3 and R5: UM 100 Qualifications for Staff Engaged in Utilization Management Activities Section 1.A R3-specific: 	 ☑ Met □ Partially Met □ Not Met □ Not Applicable
Contract: Exhibit B-8—14.8.7	 NA R5-specific: NA 	
 20. The Contractor defines emergency medical condition as a condition manifesting itself by acute symptoms of sufficient severity (including severe pain) that a prudent layperson who possesses an average knowledge of health and medicine could reasonably expect the absence of immediate medical attention to result in the following: Placing the health of the individual (or with respect to a pregnant woman, the health of the woman or her unborn child) in serious jeopardy; Serious impairment to bodily functions; or Serious dysfunction of any bodily organ or part. 	Both R3 and R5: • UM 103 Emergency and Post-Stabilization Care • Definitions Section R3-specific: • NA R5-specific: • NA	 ☑ Met □ Partially Met □ Not Met □ Not Applicable
42 CFR 438.114(a)		
Contract: Exhibit B-8—2.1.36; 7.3.8.1.6.1		



Standard I—Coverage and Authorization of Services		
Requirement	Evidence as Submitted by the Health Plan	Score
 21. The Contractor defines emergency services as covered inpatient or outpatient services furnished by a provider that is qualified to deliver these services and are needed to evaluate or stabilize an emergency medical condition. 42 CFR 438.114(a) Contract: Exhibit B-8—2.1.37 	 Both R3 and R5: UM 103 Emergency and Post-Stabilization Care Definitions Section R3-specific: NA R5-specific: NA 	 ☑ Met □ Partially Met □ Not Met □ Not Applicable
22. The Contractor defines poststabilization care services as covered services related to an emergency medical condition that are provided after a member is stabilized in order to maintain the stabilized condition, or provided to improve or resolve the member's condition.	 Both R3 and R5: UM 103 Emergency and Post- Stabilization Care Definitions Section 	 ☑ Met □ Partially Met □ Not Met □ Not Applicable
42 CFR 438.114(a) Contract: Exhibit B-8—2.1.82	R3-specific: • NA R5-specific: • NA	



Standard I—Coverage and Authorization of Services		
Requirement	Evidence as Submitted by the Health Plan	Score
 23. The Contractor covers and pays for emergency services regardless of whether the provider that furnishes the services has a contract with the Contractor. 42 CFR 438.114(c)(1)(i) 	 Both R3 and R5: UM 103 Emergency and Post-Stabilization Care Section 1.C 	 ☑ Met □ Partially Met □ Not Met □ Not Applicable
Contract: Exhibit B-8—14.5.6.2.2	R3-specific:	
	• NA	
	R5-specific:NA	
 24. The Contractor may not deny payment for treatment obtained under either of the following circumstances: A member had an emergency medical condition, including cases in which the absence of immediate medical attention would <i>not</i> have had the following outcomes: 	 Both R3 and R5: UM 103 Emergency and Post-Stabilization Care Definitions Section Section 1.D 	 ☑ Met □ Partially Met □ Not Met □ Not Applicable
 Placing the health of the individual (or with respect to a pregnant woman, the health of the woman or her unborn child) in serious jeopardy; Serious impairment to bodily functions; or 	R3-specific: • NA	
 Serious impairment to bodily functions; or Serious dysfunction of any bodily organ or part. (Note: The Contractor bases its coverage decisions for emergency services on the severity of the symptoms at the time of presentation and covers emergency services when the presenting symptoms are of sufficient severity to constitute an emergency medical condition in the judgment of a prudent layperson. 42 CFR 438.114—Preamble) 	R5-specific: • NA	



Standard I—Coverage and Authorization of Services		
Requirement	Evidence as Submitted by the Health Plan	Score
• A representative of the Contractor's organization instructed the member to seek emergency services.		
42 CFR 438.114(c)(1)(ii)		
Contract: Exhibit B-8—14.5.6.2.6		
25. The Contractor does not:	Both R3 and R5:	⊠ Met
• Limit what constitutes an emergency medical condition based on a list of diagnoses or symptoms.	 UM 103 Emergency and Post-Stabilization Care Section 1.E 	 Partially Met Not Met
• Refuse to cover emergency services based on the emergency room provider, hospital, or fiscal agent failing to notify the member's primary care provider or the Contractor of the member's screening and treatment within 10 calendar days of	R3-specific:	□ Not Applicable
presentation for emergency services. 42 CFR 438.114(d)(1)	R5-specific:	
Contract: Exhibit B-8—14.5.6.2.8	• NA	
 26. The Contractor does not hold a member who has an emergency medical condition liable for payment of subsequent screening and treatment needed to diagnose the specific condition or stabilize the patient. 42 CFR 438.114(d)(2) Contract: Exhibit B-8—14.5.6.2.9 	 Both R3 and R5: UM 103 Emergency and Post-Stabilization Care Section 1.G R3-specific: 	 ☑ Met □ Partially Met □ Not Met □ Not Applicable
	• NA	



Standard I—Coverage and Authorization of Services		
Requirement	Evidence as Submitted by the Health Plan	Score
	R5-specific: • NA	
 27. The Contractor allows the attending emergency physician, or the provider actually treating the member, to be responsible for determining when the member is sufficiently stabilized for transfer or discharge, and that determination is binding on the Contractor who is responsible for coverage and payment. 42 CFR 438.114(d)(3) Contract: Exhibit B-8—14.5.6.2.10 	 Both R3 and R5: UM 103 Emergency and Post-Stabilization Care Section 1.F R3-specific: NA R5-specific: NA 	 ☑ Met □ Partially Met □ Not Met □ Not Applicable
 28. The Contractor is financially responsible for poststabilization care services that are prior authorized by an in-network provider or the Contractor's representative, regardless of whether they are provided within or outside the Contractor's network of providers. 42 CFR 438.114(e) 42 CFR 422.113(c)(2)(i) Contract: Exhibit B-8—14.5.6.2.11 	 Both R3 and R5: UM 103 Emergency and Post-Stabilization Care Section 2.B.1 R3-specific: NA R5-specific: NA 	 ☑ Met □ Partially Met □ Not Met □ Not Applicable



Requirement	Evidence as Submitted by the Health Plan	Score
 29. The Contractor is financially responsible for poststabilization care services obtained within or outside the network that are not preapproved by a plan provider or other organization representative, but are administered to maintain the member's stabilized condition within one hour of a request to the organization for pre-approval of further poststabilization care services. 42 CFR 438.114(e) 42 CFR 422.113(c)(2)(ii) Contract: Exhibit B-8—14.5.6.2.12 	 Both R3 and R5: UM 103 Emergency and Post-Stabilization Care Section 2.B.2 R3-specific: NA R5-specific: NA 	 ☑ Met □ Partially Met □ Not Met □ Not Applicable
 30. The Contractor is financially responsible for poststabilization care services obtained within or outside the network that are not preapproved by a plan provider or other organization representative, but are administered to maintain, improve, or resolve the member's stabilized condition if: The organization does not respond to a request for pre-approval within one hour. The organization cannot be contacted. The organization's representative and the treating physician cannot reach an agreement concerning the member's care and a plan physician is not available for consultation. In this situation, the organization must give the treating physician the opportunity to consult with a plan physician, and the treating provider may continue with care of the patient until a plan provider is reached or one of the criteria in 422.113(c)(2)(iii) is met. 	 Both R3 and R5: UM 103 Emergency and Post-Stabilization Care Section 2.B.3 R3-specific: NA R5-specific: NA 	 ☑ Met □ Partially Met □ Not Met □ Not Applicable



Standard I—Coverage and Authorization of Services		
Requirement	Evidence as Submitted by the Health Plan	Score
42 CFR 438.114(e) 42 CFR 422.113(c)(2)(iii)		
Contract: Exhibit B-8—14.5.6.2.12		
 31. The Contractor's financial responsibility for poststabilization care services it has not pre-approved ends when: A plan physician with privileges at the treating hospital assumes responsibility for the member's care, A plan physician assumes responsibility for the member's care through transfer, A plan representative and the treating physician reach an agreement concerning the member's care, or The member is discharged. 	 Both R3 and R5: UM 103 Emergency and Post-Stabilization Care Section 2.C R3-specific: NA R5-specific: NA 	⊠ Met □ Partially Met □ Not Met □ Not Applicable
 32. If the member receives poststabilization care services from a provider outside the Contractor's network, the Contractor does not charge the member more than they would be charged if the member had obtained the services through an in-network provider. 42 CFR 438.114(e) 42 CFR 422.113(c)(2)(iv) Contract: Exhibit B-8—14.5.6.2.13 	 Both R3 and R5: Not applicable for RAE members. Members are not charged a copay for any behavioral health services. Additionally, HCPF regulations prohibit any provider from billing members directly for Medicaid covered services 	 ☑ Met □ Partially Met □ Not Met □ Not Applicable



Standard I—Coverage and Authorization of Services				
Requirement	Evidence as Submitted by the Health Plan Score			
	R3-specific:			
	• NA			
	R5-specific:			
	• NA			

Results for Standard I—Coverage and Authorization of Services—RAE 5							
Total	Met	=	<u>28</u>	Х	1.00	=	<u>28</u>
	Partially Met	=	<u>4</u>	Х	.00	=	<u>0</u>
	Not Met	=	<u>0</u>	Х	.00	=	<u>0</u>
	Not Applicable	=	<u>0</u>	Х	NA	=	NA
Total Appli	cable	=	<u>32</u>	Tota	l Score	=	<u>28</u>
Total Score ÷ Total Applicable=88%						<u>88%</u>	



Standard II—Adequate Capacity and Availability of Services			
Requirement	Evidence as Submitted by the Health Plan	Score	
 The Contractor maintains and monitors a PCMP and BH network of providers that is supported by written agreements and is sufficient to provide adequate access to all covered services to all members, including those with limited English proficiency or physical or mental disabilities. The provider networks include the following provider types and areas of expertise: primary care (adult and pediatric), OB/GYN providers, mental health providers (adult and pediatric), SUD providers, psychiatrists (adult, child, and prescribers), and family planning providers. <i>42 CFR 438.206(b)(1)</i> Contract: Exhibit B-8—9.3.1, 9.5.1.1, 9.5.1.3 	Both R3 and R5: • PNS202 Selection and Retention of Providers • PNS217 Single Case Agreement Policy • COA-Provider-Appendix R3-specific: • R3_NetworkRpt_Q1FY22-23 R5-specific: • R5_NetworkRpt_Q1FY22-23	⊠ Met □ Partially Met □ Not Met □ Not Applicable	
 2. The Contractor ensures that its PCMP provider network complies with time and distance standards as follows: Adult primary care providers: Urban counties—30 miles or 30 minutes Rural counties—45 miles or 45 minutes Frontier counties—60 miles or 60 minutes Pediatric primary care providers: Urban counties—30 miles or 30 minutes Rural counties—30 miles or 30 minutes Frontier counties—60 miles or 60 minutes Pediatric primary care providers: Urban counties—30 miles or 30 minutes Rural counties—45 miles or 45 minutes Frontier counties—60 miles or 60 minutes Obstetrics or gynecology: Urban counties—30 miles or 30 minutes Rural counties—30 miles or 30 minutes 	Both R3 and R5: • PNS202 Selection and Retention of Providers R3-specific: • CO2022- 23_Q1_GeoaccessCompliance_RAE_3 R5-specific: • CO2022- 23_Q1_GeoaccessCompliance_RAE_5	 ☑ Met □ Partially Met □ Not Met □ Not Applicable 	



Requirement	Evidence as Submitted by the Health Plan	Score
 Frontier counties—60 miles or 60 minutes 		
42 CFR 438.206(a); 438.68(b)		
Contract: Exhibit B-8—9.4.7		
 3. The Contractor ensures that its BH provider network complies with time and distance standards as follows: Acute care hospitals: Urban counties—20 miles or 20 minutes Rural counties—30 miles or 30 minutes Frontier counties—60 miles or 60 minutes Psychiatrists and psychiatric prescribers for both adults and children: Urban counties—30 miles or 30 minutes Rural counties—60 miles or 60 minutes Psychiatrists and psychiatric prescribers for both adults and children: Urban counties—30 miles or 30 minutes Rural counties—60 miles or 90 minutes Mental health providers for both adults and children: Urban counties—30 miles or 30 minutes Rural counties—60 miles or 60 minutes SUD providers for both adults and children: Urban counties—30 miles or 30 minutes Rural counties—60 miles or 60 minutes Frontier counties—60 miles or 60 minutes Rural counties—60 miles or 60 minutes Frontier counties—60 miles or 90 minutes 	Both R3 and R5: • PNS202 Selection and Retention of Providers R3-specific: • CO2022- 23_Q1_GeoaccessCompliance_RAE_3 R5-specific: • CO2022- 23_Q1_GeoaccessCompliance_RAE_5	 ☑ Met □ Partially Met □ Not Met □ Not Applicable



Requirement	Evidence as Submitted by the Health Plan	Score	
Note: If there are no BH providers that meet the BH provider standards within the defined area for a specific member, then the Contractor shall not be bound by the time and distance requirements. (Exhibit B-8–9.4.10.1) 42 CFR 438.206(a)			
Contract: Exhibit B-8—9.4.9			
 4. The Contractor provides female members with direct access to a women's health care specialist within the network for covered care necessary to provide women's routine and preventive health care services. This is in addition to the member's designated source of primary care if that source is not a women's health care specialist. 42 CFR 438.206(b)(2) Contract: Exhibit B-8—9.2.7 	 Both R3 and R5: This requirement is only partially applicable to the RAE. The RAE does not pay any physical health care claims rendered by providers and therefore does not build a physical health care network beyond contracting with PCMPs. PCMPs may be women's health care specialists. Colorado Access relies on the Department's provider network for members who wish to seek care from women's' health care specialists that are not the member's PCMP. We assist members if they contact COA directly and we also make the State's provider network directory available on our website. R3-specific: NA R5-specific: NA 	 ☑ Met □ Partially Met □ Not Met □ Not Applicable 	



Standard II—Adequate Capacity and Availability of Services		
Requirement	Evidence as Submitted by the Health Plan	Score
 5. The Contractor provides for a second opinion from a network provider or arranges for the member to obtain one outside the network (if there is no qualified provider within the network), at no cost to the member. 42 CFR 438.206(b)(3) Contract: Exhibit B-8—9.4.17 	 Both R3 and R5: PNS219 Access to Primary and Secondary Care COA Provider Manual Section 4 Provider Responsibilities Page 4-3 Second Opinion R3-specific: NA R5-specific: NA 	 ☑ Met □ Partially Met □ Not Met □ Not Applicable
 6. If the provider network is unable to provide necessary covered BH services to a particular member in network, the Contractor must cover the services (in accordance with the access to care standards) out of network for as long as the Contractor is unable to provide them. 42 CFR 438.206(b)(4) Contract: Exhibit B-8—14.6.1 	 Both R3 and R5: PNS217 Single Case Agreement Policy PNS219 Access to Primary and Secondary Care Single Case Agreement Template R3-specific: NA 	⊠ Met □ Partially Met □ Not Met □ Not Applicable



Standard II—Adequate Capacity and Availability of Services		
Requirement	Evidence as Submitted by the Health Plan	Score
7. The Contractor requires out-of-network providers to coordinate with	R5-specific: • NA Both R3 and R5:	⊠ Met
 The confluctor requires out of network providers to coordinate with the Contractor for payment and ensures that the cost to the member is no greater that it would be if the services were furnished within the network. 42 CFR 438.206(b)(5) Contract: Exhibit B-8—14.6.11.1 	 PNS217 Single Case Agreement Policy Single Case Agreement Template Not applicable for RAE members. Members are not charged a copay for any behavioral health services. Additionally, HCPF regulations prohibit any provider from billing members directly for Medicaid covered services. 	 Partially Met Not Met Not Applicable
	R3-specific: • NA R5-specific: • NA	



The Contractor must meet and require its mouidant to meet the	Evidence as Submitted by the Health Plan	Score
 The Contractor must meet, and require its providers to meet, the State standards for timely access to care and services, taking into account the urgency of the need for services. The Contractor ensures that services are available as follows: Emergency BH care: By phone within 15 minutes of the initial contact. In-person within 1 hour of contact in urban and suburban areas. In-person within 2 hours of contact in rural and frontier areas. Urgent care within 24 hours from the initial identification of need. Non-urgent symptomatic care visit within 7 days after member request. Well-care visit within 1 month after member request. Outpatient follow-up appointments within 7 days after discharge from hospitalization. Members may not be placed on waiting lists for initial routine BH services. 	Both R3 and R5: • COA Website • Member Services Quality https://www.coaccess.com/members/s ervices/quality • COA Provider Manual • Section 3 Quality Management • Pages 3-3 to 3-5 Accessibility and Availability of Services R3-specific: • NA R5-specific: • NA	 ☑ Met □ Partially Met □ Not Met □ Not Applicable



Standard II—Adequate Capacity and Availability of Services		
Requirement	Evidence as Submitted by the Health Plan	Score
 9. The Contractor and its providers offer hours of operation that are no less than the hours of operation offered to commercial members or comparable to Medicaid fee-for-service. The Contractors network provides: Minimum hours of provider operation from 8:00 a.m. to 5:00 p.m. Mountain Time, Monday through Friday. Extended hours on evenings and weekends, including access to clinical staff, not just an answering service or referral service. Alternatives for emergency department visits for after-hours urgent care. Contract: Exhibit B-8—9.4.3–9.4.4 	 Both R3 and R5: PNS306 Provider Availability COA-Provider-Appendix Provider Manual Sections 4 Provider Responsibilities Page 4-1 Primary Care Providers Pages 4-2 to 4-3 Specialty Care Providers COA Website (can search provider directory for urgent care providers) Find A Provider R3-specific: NA R5-specific: NA 	 ☑ Met □ Partially Met □ Not Met □ Not Applicable
 10. The Contractor shall ensure that its network provides for 24 hours a day availability of information, referral, and treatment of emergency medical conditions. 42 CFR 438.206(c)(1)(iii) 42 CFR 438.3(q)(1) 	 Both R3 and R5: PNS306 Provider Availability Provider Manual Sections 4 Provider Responsibilities Page 4-1 Primary Care Providers 	 Met Partially Met Not Met Not Applicable



Standard II—Adequate Capacity and Availability of Services				
Requirement	ment Evidence as Submitted by the Health Plan			
Contract: Exhibit B-8—9.4.6	 Pages 4-2 to 4-3 Specialty Care Providers 			
	R3-specific:			
	• NA			
	R5-specific:			
	• NA			
 11. The Contractor ensures timely access by: Establishing mechanisms to ensure compliance with access (e.g., appointment) standards by network providers. Monitoring network providers regularly to determine compliance. Taking corrective action if there is failure to comply. 42 CFR 438.206(c)(1)(iv)–(vi) Contract: Exhibit B-8—9.4.14 	 Both R3 and R5: PNS306 Provider Availability PNS DP01Complaints Regarding Access to Care QAPI Program Description_2022 Page 9 Accessibility and Availability of Services R3-specific: R3 QualityRpt_FY21-22 Pages 32-34 Secret Shopper R5-specific: 	 ☑ Met □ Partially Met □ Not Met □ Not Applicable 		
	 R5 QualityRpt_FY21-22 Pages 32-34 Secret Shopper 			



Standard II—Adequate Capacity and Availability of Services		
Requirement	Evidence as Submitted by the Health Plan	Score
 The Contractor participates in the State's efforts to promote the delivery of services in a culturally competent manner to all members, including those with limited English proficiency and diverse cultural and ethnic backgrounds, disabilities, and regardless of gender, sexual orientation, or gender identity. This includes: Making written materials that are critical to obtaining services available in prevalent non-English languages. Providing cultural and disability competency training programs, as needed, to network providers and health plan staff regarding: Health care attitudes, values, customs and beliefs that affect access to and benefit from health care services. Medical risks associated with the member population's racial, ethnic, and socioeconomic conditions. Identifying members whose cultural norms and practices may affect their access to health care. These efforts shall include, but are not limited to, inquiries conducted by the Contractor of the language proficiency of individual members. Providing language assistance services for all Contractor interactions with members. 	 Both R3 and R5: ADM206 Culturally Sensitive Services for Diverse Populations ADM207 Communications for LEP and SI-SI Persons ADM208 Member Materials Staff Training COA DEI Core Curriculum Provider Training COA DEI Core Curriculum Provider Training COA Website: https://www.coaccess.com/providers/r cources/trainings/ Cultural Responsiveness COA Provider Manual Section 2 Colorado Access Policies Page 2-1 Diversity and Cultural Competency Training Program Pages 2-1 to 2-2 Effective Communication and Language Assistance 	 ☑ Met □ Partially Met □ Not Met □ Not Applicable
Contract: Exhibit B-8—7.2.1–7.2.6	R3-specific:	
	• NA R5-specific:	
	• NA	



Requirement	Evidence as Submitted by the Health Plan	Score
 13. The Contractor must ensure that network providers provide physical access, reasonable accommodations, and accessible equipment for members with physical and mental disabilities. 42 CFR 438.206(c)(3) Contract: Exhibit B-8—9.1.4.5, 9.1.7.1, 9.5.1.2 	 Both R3 and R5: COA-Provider-Appendix Page 4 COA Website Find A Provider: COA Provider Manual Section 2 Colorado Access Policies Pages 2-1- 2-2 Effective Communication and Language Assistance Page 2-2 Non-Discrimination R3-specific: NA R5-specific: NA 	 ☑ Met □ Partially Met □ Not Met □ Not Applicable
 14. The Contractor submits to the State (in a format specified by the State) documentation to demonstrate that the Contractor offers an appropriate range of preventive, primary care, and specialty services that is sufficient in number, mix, and geographic distribution to meet the needs of the anticipated number of members in the service area. A Network Adequacy Plan is submitted to the State annually. A Network Report is submitted to the State quarterly. 	Both R3 and R5: • NA R3-specific: • R3_NetworkRpt_Q1FY22-23	 ☑ Met □ Partially Met □ Not Met □ Not Applicable



Standard II—Adequate Capacity and Availability of Services				
Requirement	Evidence as Submitted by the Health Plan	Score		
42 CFR 438.207(b) Contract: Exhibit B-8—9.5.1–9.5.4	• R5_NetworkRpt_Q1FY22-23			

Results for Standard II—Adequate Capacity and Availability of Services—RAE 5							
Total	Met	=	<u>14</u>	Х	1.00	=	<u>14</u>
	Partially Met	=	<u>0</u>	Х	.00	=	<u>0</u>
	Not Met	=	<u>0</u>	Х	.00	=	<u>0</u>
	Not Applicable	=	<u>0</u>	Х	NA	=	<u>NA</u>
Total App	licable	=	<u>14</u>	Total	Score	=	<u>14</u>
	Total Score ÷ Total Applicable = <u>100%</u>					<u>100%</u>	



Standard VI—Grievances and Appeal Systems		
Requirement	Evidence as Submitted by the Health Plan	Score
 The Contractor has an established internal grievance and appeal system in place for members, or providers acting on their behalf, or designated member representatives. A grievance and appeals system means the processes the Contractor implements to handle grievances and appeals of an adverse benefit determination, as well as processes to collect and track information about grievances and appeals. 42 CFR 438.400(b) 42 CFR 438.402(a) 	Both R3 and R5: • ADM203 Member Grievance Process • UM106 Member Appeal Process R3-specific: • NA R5-specific:	 ☑ Met □ Partially Met □ Not Met □ Not Applicable
Contract: Exhibit B-8—8.1 10 CCR 2505-10 8.209.1	• NA	
 The Contractor defines adverse benefit determination as: The denial or limited authorization of a requested service, including determinations based on the type or level of service, requirements for medical necessity, appropriateness, setting, or effectiveness of a covered benefit. The reduction, suspension, or termination of a previously authorized service. The denial, in whole, or in part, of payment for a service. The failure to provide services in a timely manner, as defined by the State. 	 Both R3 and R5: UM102 Utilization Review Determinations Definitions section UM106 Member Appeals Process Definition Section R3-specific: NA 	 ☑ Met □ Partially Met □ Not Met □ Not Applicable
 The failure to act within the time frames defined by the State for standard resolution of grievances and appeals. The denial of a member's request to dispute a member financial liability (cost-sharing, copayments, premiums, 	R5-specific: • NA	



Standard VI—Grievances and Appeal Systems			
Requirement	Evidence as Submitted by the Health Plan	Score	
deductibles, coinsurance, or other member financial liabilities).			
42 CFR 438.400(b)			
Contract: Exhibit B-8—2.1.3 10 CCR 2505-10 8.209.2.A			
 3. The Contractor defines an appeal as a review by the Contractor of an adverse benefit determination. 42 CFR 438.400(b) 	 Both R3 and R5: UM106 Member Appeal Process Definition Section 	 ☑ Met □ Partially Met □ Not Met □ Not Applicable 	
Contract: Exhibit B-8—2.1.6 10 CCR 2505-10 8.209.2.B	R3-specific:		
	• NA		
	R5-specific:		
	• NA		



Standard VI—Grievances and Appeal Systems		
Requirement	Evidence as Submitted by the Health Plan	Score
 4. The Contractor defines a grievance as an expression of dissatisfaction about any matter other than an adverse benefit determination. Grievances may include, but are not limited to, the quality of care or services provided, and aspects of interpersonal relationships such as rudeness of a provider or employee, or failure to respect the member's rights regardless of whether remedial action is requested. A grievance includes a member's right to dispute an extension of time proposed by the Contractor to make an authorization decision. Contract: Exhibit B-8—2.1.46, 8.6.6.2 10 CCR 2505 10.8 200.2 D 8 200.4 A 2 c (i) 	Both R3 and R5: • ADM203 Member Grievance Process • Definitions Section R3-specific: • NA R5-specific: • NA	 ☑ Met □ Partially Met □ Not Met □ Not Applicable
10 CCR 2505-10 8.209.2.D, 8.209.4.A.3.c.(i)5. The Contractor has provisions for who may file:	Both R3 and R5:	⊠ Met
 A member may file a grievance or a Contractor-level appeal and may request a State fair hearing. With the member's written consent, a provider or authorized representative may file a grievance or a Contractor-level appeal and may request a State fair hearing on behalf of a member. Note: Throughout this standard, when the term "member" is used it includes providers and authorized representatives acting on behalf of the member (with the exception that providers cannot exercise the member's right to request continuation of benefits under 42 CFR 438.420). 	 ADM203 Member Grievance Process Definitions Section Policy Section UM106 Member Appeal Process Definitions section Definitions section Policy section paragraph 1 R3-specific: NA 	 Partially Met Not Met Not Applicable
42 CFR 438.402(c)		
Contract: Exhibit B-8—8.5.1, 8.7.1, 8.7.15.1, 8.7.5		



Requirement	Evidence as Submitted by the Health Plan	Score
 In handling grievances and appeals, the Contractor must give 	R5-specific: • NA Both R3 and R5:	Score Met
members reasonable assistance in completing any forms and taking other procedural steps related to a grievance or appeal. This includes, but is not limited to, auxiliary aids and services upon request, as well as providing interpreter services and toll-free numbers that have adequate TeleTYpe/Telecommunications Device for the Deaf (TTY/TDD) and interpreter capability. 42 CFR 438.406(a) Contract: Exhibit B-8—8.3 10 CCR 2505-10 8.209.4.C	 ADM203 Member Grievance Process Section 9 ADM207 Effective Communication with LEP and SI-SI Persons UM106 Member Appeal Process Policy section, paragraph 2 COA Website-Member Services 	 Partially Met Not Met Not Applicable
	R3-specific: • NA	
	R5-specific: • NA	



Standard VI—Grievances and Appeal Systems		
Requirement	Evidence as Submitted by the Health Plan	Score
 7. The Contractor ensures that the individuals who make decisions on grievances and appeals are individuals who: Were not involved in any previous level of review or decision-making nor a subordinate of any such individual. Have the appropriate clinical expertise, as determined by the State, in treating the member's condition or disease if deciding any of the following: An appeal of a denial that is based on lack of medical necessity. A grievance regarding the denial of expedited resolution of an appeal. A grievance or appeal that involves clinical issues. Contract: Exhibit B-8—8.5.4, 8.7.4 CCR 2505-10 8.209.5.C, 8.209.4.E 	Both R3 and R5: • ADM203 Member Grievance Process • Section 4 • UM106 Member Appeal Process • Section 2.B R3-specific: • NA R5-specific: • NA	 ☑ Met □ Partially Met □ Not Met □ Not Applicable
 8. The Contractor ensures that the individuals who make decisions on grievances and appeals: Take into account all comments, documents, records, and other information submitted by the member or the member's representative without regard to whether such information was submitted or considered in the initial adverse benefit determination. 42 CFR 438.406(b)(2) Contract: Exhibit B-8—8.6.2 10 CCR 2505-10 8.209.5.C, 8.209.4.E 	 Both R3 and R5: ADM203 Member Grievance Process Section 5 UM106 Member Appeal Process Section 2.D R3-specific: NA 	 ☑ Met □ Partially Met □ Not Met □ Not Applicable



Standard VI—Grievances and Appeal Systems		
Requirement	Evidence as Submitted by the Health Plan	Score
	R5-specific:	
	• NA	
 9. The Contractor accepts grievances orally or in writing. 42 CFR 438.402(c)(3)(i) Contract: Exhibit B-8—8.5.3 10 CCR 2505-10 8.209.5.D 	Both R3 and R5: • ADM203 Member Grievance Process • Section 1 R3-specific: • NA R5-specific: • NA	 ☑ Met □ Partially Met □ Not Met □ Not Applicable
10. Members may file a grievance at any time. <i>42 CFR 438.402(c)(2)(i)</i> Contract: Exhibit B-8—8.5.3 10 CCR 2505-10 8.209.5.A	Both R3 and R5: • ADM203 Member Grievance Process • Section 1 R3-specific: • NA R5-specific: • NA	 ☑ Met □ Partially Met □ Not Met □ Not Applicable



Standard VI—Grievances and Appeal Systems		
Requirement	Evidence as Submitted by the Health Plan	Score
 11. The Contractor sends the member written acknowledgement of each grievance within two working days of receipt. 42 CFR 438.406(b)(1) Contract: Exhibit B-8—8.1 10 CCR 2505-10 8.209.5.B 	 Both R3 and R5: ADM203 Member Grievance Process Section 3 RAE_Ack_Letter R3-specific: NA R5-specific: NA 	 ☑ Met □ Partially Met □ Not Met □ Not Applicable
 12. The Contractor must resolve each grievance and provide notice as expeditiously as the member's health condition requires, and within 15 working days of when the member files the grievance. Notice to the member must be in a format and language that may be easily understood by the member. 42 CFR 438.408(a); (b)(1); and (d)(1) Contract: Exhibit B-8—8.5.5, 7.2.7.3, 7.2.7.5 10 CCR 2505-10 8.209.5.D 	Both R3 and R5: • ADM203 Member Grievance Process • Section 6 • ADM 208 Member Materials • RAE_RES_Letter R3-specific: • NA R5-specific: • NA	 ☑ Met □ Partially Met □ Not Met □ Not Applicable



Standard VI—Grievances and Appeal Systems		
Requirement	Evidence as Submitted by the Health Plan	Score
 13. The written notice of grievance resolution includes: Results of the disposition/resolution process and the date it was completed. 42 CFR 438.408(a) 	 Both R3 and R5: ADM203 Member Grievance Process Section 6 RAE_RES_Letter 	 ☑ Met □ Partially Met □ Not Met □ Not Applicable
Contract: Exhibit B-8—8.5.8 10 CCR 2505-10 8.209.5.G	R3-specific: • NA R5-specific: • NA	
 14. The Contractor may have only one level of appeal for members. 42 CFR 438.402(b) Contract: Exhibit B-8—8.1.1 	 Both R3 and R5: UM106 Member Appeal Process Section 3.C R3-specific: NA R5-specific: NA 	⊠ Met □ Partially Met □ Not Met □ Not Applicable



Standard VI—Grievances and Appeal Systems		
Requirement	Evidence as Submitted by the Health Plan	Score
 15. A member may file an appeal with the Contractor within 60 calendar days from the date on the adverse benefit determination notice. 42 CFR 438.402 (c)(2)(ii) 	 Both R3 and R5: UM106 Member Appeal Process Section 1.B 	 Met Partially Met Not Met Not Applicable
Contract: Exhibit B-8—8.7.5.1 10 CCR 2505-10 8.209.4.B	R3-specific: • NA	
	R5-specific:	
	• NA	
16. The member may file an appeal either orally or in writing, and the Contractor must treat oral appeals in the same manner as appeals received in writing. The Contractor may not require that oral requests for an appeal be followed with a written request.	 Both R3 and R5: UM106 Member Appeal Process Section 1.A 	 ☑ Met □ Partially Met □ Not Met □ Not Applicable
42 CFR 438.402(c)(3)(ii) 42 CFR 438.406 (b)(3)	R3-specific: • NA	
Contract: Exhibit B-8—8.7.6 10 CCR 2505-10 8.209.4.F	R5-specific:	
	• NA	



Standard VI—Grievances and Appeal Systems		
Requirement	Evidence as Submitted by the Health Plan	Score
 17. The Contractor sends written acknowledgement of each appeal within two working days of receipt, unless the member or designated client representative requests an expedited resolution. 42 CFR 438.406(b)(1) Contract: Exhibit B-8—8.1, 8.7.2 10 CCR 2505-10 8.209.4.D 	 Both R3 and R5: UM106 Member Appeal Process Section 1.C CHP and ACC Appeal Acknowledgement Letter (redacted) R3-specific: NA R5-specific: NA 	 ☑ Met □ Partially Met □ Not Met □ Not Applicable
 18. The Contractor's appeal process must provide that included, as parties to the appeal, are: The member and the member's representative, or The legal representative of a deceased member's estate. 42 CFR 438.406(b)(3) and (6) Contract: Exhibit B-8—8.7.6, 8.7.7, 8.7.11 10 CCR 2505-10 8.209.4.I 	 Both R3 and R5: UM106 Member Appeal Process Section 1.A R3-specific: NA R5-specific: NA 	 ☑ Met □ Partially Met □ Not Met □ Not Applicable



Standard VI—Grievances and Appeal Systems		
Requirement	Evidence as Submitted by the Health Plan	Score
 19. The Contractor's appeal process must provide: The member a reasonable opportunity, in person and in writing, to present evidence and testimony and make legal and factual arguments. (The Contractor must inform the member of the limited time available for this sufficiently in advance of the resolution time frame in the case of expedited resolution.) The case file to the member and their representative, including medical records, other documents and records, and any new or additional documents considered, relied upon, or generated by the Contractor in connection with the appeal. This information must be provided free of charge and sufficiently in advance of the appeal resolution time frame. 42 CFR 438.406(b)(4-5) Contract: Exhibit B-8-8.7.8-8.7.10 	 Both R3 and R5: UM106 Member Appeal Process Policy Section, paragraph 4 R3-specific: NA R5-specific: NA 	 ☑ Met □ Partially Met □ Not Met □ Not Applicable
Contract: Exhibit B-8—8.7.8–8.7.10 10 CCR 2505-10 8.209. 4.G, 8.209.4.H		



Standard VI—Grievances and Appeal Systems		
Requirement	Evidence as Submitted by the Health Plan	Score
 20. The Contractor maintains an expedited review process for appeals when the Contractor determines or the provider indicates that taking the time for a standard resolution could seriously jeopardize the member's life, physical or mental health, or ability to attain, maintain, or regain maximum function. The Contractor's expedited review process includes that: The Contractor ensures that punitive action is not taken against a provider who requests an expedited resolution or supports a member's appeal. <i>42 CFR 438.410(a-b)</i> Contract: Exhibit B-8—8.7.14.2.1, 8.7.12 10 CCR 2505-10 8.209.4.Q-R 	Both R3 and R5: • UM106 Member Appeal Process • Policy Section paragraph 3 • Section 4.B R3-specific: • NA R5-specific: • NA	 ☑ Met □ Partially Met □ Not Met □ Not Applicable
 21. If the Contractor denies a request for expedited resolution of an appeal, it must: Transfer the appeal to the time frame for standard resolution. Make reasonable efforts to give the member prompt oral notice of the denial to expedite the resolution and within two calendar days provide the member written notice of the reason for the decision and inform the member of the right to file a grievance if the member disagrees with that decision. <i>42 CFR 438.410(c)</i> Contract: Exhibit B-8—8.7.14.2.2 10 CCR 2505-10 8.209.4.S 	 Both R3 and R5: UM106 Member Appeal Process Section 4.B.1 R3-specific: NA R5-specific: NA 	 ☑ Met □ Partially Met □ Not Met □ Not Applicable



Standard VI—Grievances and Appeal Systems		
Requirement	Evidence as Submitted by the Health Plan	Score
 22. The Contractor must resolve each appeal and provide written notice of the disposition, as expeditiously as the member's health condition requires, but not to exceed the following time frames: For standard resolution of appeals, within 10 working days from the day the Contractor receives the appeal. Written notice of appeal resolution must be in a format and language that may be easily understood by the member. 42 CFR 438.408(b)(2) 42 CFR 438.408(b)(2) 42 CFR 438.408(d)(2)(i) 42 CFR 438.10 Contract: Exhibit B-8—8.7.14.1. 7.2.7.3, 7.2.7.5 10 CCR 2505-10 8.209.4.J.1 	Both R3 and R5: • UM106 Member Appeal Process • Section 3.A • Section 4.A R3-specific: • NA R5-specific: • NA	 ☑ Met □ Partially Met □ Not Met □ Not Applicable
 23. For expedited appeal, the Contractor must resolve the appeal and provide written notice of disposition to affected parties within 72 hours after the Contractor receives the appeal. For notice of an expedited resolution, the Contractor must also make reasonable efforts to provide oral notice of resolution. <i>42 CFR 438.408(b)(3) and (d)(2)(ii)</i> Contract: Exhibit B-8—8.7.14.2.3, 8.7.14.2.6 10 CCR 2505-10 8.209.4.J.2, 8.209.4.L 	Both R3 and R5: • UM106 Member Appeal Process • Section 4.B R3-specific: • NA R5-specific: • NA	 ☑ Met □ Partially Met □ Not Met □ Not Applicable



Requirement	Evidence as Submitted by the Health Plan	Score
 24. The Contractor may extend the time frames for resolution of grievances or appeals (both expedited and standard) by up to 14 calendar days if: The member requests the extension; or The Contractor shows (to the satisfaction of the Department, upon request) that there is need for additional information and how the delay is in the member's interest. <i>42 CFR 438.408(c)(1)</i> Contract: Exhibit B-8—8.7.14.2, 8.7.14.2.4, 8.5.6 10 CCR 2505-10 8.209.4.K, 8.209.5.E 	Both R3 and R5: • ADM203 Member Grievance Process • Section 7 • UM106 Member Appeal Process • Section 4.C • GA DP07 Grievance Workflow • Section 10 • CHP and ACC Appeal Extension Letter (redacted) • RAE_EXT_Letter R3-specific: • NA R5-specific: • NA	 ☑ Met □ Partially Met □ Not Met □ Not Applicable
 25. If the Contractor extends the time frames for a grievance or appeal, it must—for any extension not requested by the member: Make reasonable efforts to give the member prompt oral notice of the delay. Within two calendar days, give the member written notice of the reason for the delay and inform the member of the right to file a grievance if the member disagrees with that decision. Resolve the appeal as expeditiously as the member's health condition requires and no later than the date the extension 	 Both R3 and R5: ADM203 Member Grievance Process Section 7 UM106 Member Appeal Process Section 4.C GA DP07 Grievance Workflow Section 10 RAE_EXT_Letter R3-specific:	⊠ Met □ Partially Met □ Not Met □ Not Applicable



Standard VI—Grievances and Appeal Systems		
Requirement	Evidence as Submitted by the Health Plan	Score
expires (14 days following the expiration of the original grievance or appeal resolution time frame). 42 CFR 438.408(c)(2) Contract: Exhibit B-8—8.5.7, 8.7.14.1, 8.7.14.2.1, 8.7.14.2.5-6 10 CCR 2505-10 8.209.4.L, 8.209.4.K, 8.209.4.A. 3.C(ii), 8.209.5.E	 NA R5-specific: NA 	
 26. The written notice of appeal resolution must include: The results of the resolution process and the date it was completed. For appeals not resolved wholly in favor of the member: The right to request a State fair hearing, and how to do so. The right to request that benefits/services continue* while the hearing is pending, and how to make the request. That the member may be held liable for the cost of these benefits if the hearing decision upholds the Contractor's adverse benefit determination. *Continuation of benefits applies only to previously authorized services for which the Contractor provides 10-day advance notice to terminate, suspend, or reduce. Contract: Exhibit B-8—8.7.14.3, 8.7.14.4 10 CCR 2505-10 8.209.4.M 	Both R3 and R5: • UM106 Member Appeal Process • Section 3.A.1-3 • CHP and ACC Appeal Upheld Letter (redacted) • CHP and ACC Appeal Overturned Letter (redacted) R3-specific: • NA R5-specific: • NA	 ☑ Met □ Partially Met □ Not Met □ Not Applicable



Standard VI—Grievances and Appeal Systems		
Requirement	Evidence as Submitted by the Health Plan	Score
 27. The member may request a State fair hearing after receiving notice that the Contractor is upholding the adverse benefit determination. The member may request a State fair hearing within 120 calendar days from the date of the notice of appeal resolution. If the Contractor does not adhere to the notice and timing requirements regarding a member's appeal, the member is deemed to have exhausted the appeal process and may request a State fair hearing. <i>42 CFR 438.408(f)(1-2)</i> Contract: Exhibit B-8—8.7.15.1–8.7.15.2 10 CCR 2505-10 8.209.4.N and O 	 Both R3 and R5: UM106 Member Appeal Process Section 6.A R3-specific: NA R5-specific: NA 	 ☑ Met □ Partially Met □ Not Met □ Not Applicable
 28. The parties to the State fair hearing include the Contractor as well as the member and their representative or the representative of a deceased member's estate. 42 CFR 438.408(f)(3) Contract: Exhibit B-8—8.7.15.3 	Both R3 and R5: • UM106 Member Appeal Process • Section 6.B R3-specific: • NA R5-specific: • NA	 ☑ Met □ Partially Met □ Not Met □ Not Applicable



Standard VI—Grievances and Appeal Systems		
Requirement	Evidence as Submitted by the Health Plan	Score
 29. The Contractor provides for continuation of benefits/services (when requested by the member) while the Contractor-level appeal and the State fair hearing are pending if: The member files in a timely manner* for continuation of benefits—defined as on or before the later of the following: Within 10 days of the Contractor mailing the notice of adverse benefit determination. The intended effective date of the proposed adverse benefit determination. The appeal involves the termination, suspension, or reduction of a previously authorized course of treatment. The services were ordered by an authorized provider. The original period covered by the original authorization has not expired. The member requests an appeal in accordance with required time frames. * This definition of timely filing only applies for this scenario—i.e., when the member requests continuation of benefits for previously authorized services proposed to be terminated, suspended, or reduced. (Note: The provider may not request continuation of benefits for behalf of the member.) 42 CFR 438.420(a) and (b)	Both R3 and R5: • UM106 Member Appeal Process • Section 5 • Section 7 R3-specific: • NA R5-specific: • NA	⊠ Met □ Partially Met □ Not Met □ Not Applicable
10 CCR 2505-10 8.209.4.T		



Standard VI—Grievances and Appeal Systems		
Requirement	Evidence as Submitted by the Health Plan	Score
 30. If, at the member's request, the Contractor continues or reinstates the benefits while the appeal or State fair hearing is pending, the benefits must be continued until one of the following occurs: The member withdraws the appeal or request for a State fair hearing. The member fails to request a State fair hearing and continuation of benefits within 10 calendar days after the Contractor sends the notice of an adverse resolution to the member's appeal. A State fair hearing officer issues a hearing decision adverse to the member. 42 CFR 438.420(c) Contract: Exhibit B-8—8.7.13.2 	Both R3 and R5: • UM106 Member Appeal Process • Section 5 • Section 7 R3-specific: • NA R5-specific: • NA	 ☑ Met □ Partially Met □ Not Met □ Not Applicable
10 CCR 2505-10 8.209.4.U		
 31. Member responsibility for continued services: If the final resolution of the appeal is adverse to the member, that is, upholds the Contractor's adverse benefit determination, the Contractor may recover the cost of the services furnished to the member while the appeal is pending, to the extent that they were furnished solely because of the requirements of this section. 	Both R3 and R5: • UM106 Member Appeal Process • Section 5 • Section 7 R3-specific:	 ☑ Met □ Partially Met □ Not Met □ Not Applicable
42 CFR 438.420(d) Contract: Exhibit B-8—8.7.13.3 10 CCR 2505-10 8.209.4.V	 NA R5-specific: NA 	



Standard VI—Grievances and Appeal Systems		
Requirement	Evidence as Submitted by the Health Plan	Score
32. If the Contractor or the State fair hearing officer reverses a decision to deny, limit, or delay services that were not furnished while the appeal was pending, the Contractor must authorize or provide the disputed services as promptly and as expeditiously as the member's health condition requires but no later than 72 hours from the date it receives notice reversing the determination.	 Both R3 and R5: UM106 Member Appeal Process Section 3.D Section 6.D 	 ☑ Met □ Partially Met □ Not Met □ Not Applicable
42 CFR 438.424(a)	R3-specific:	
Contract: Exhibit B-8—8.7.13.4 10 CCR 2505-10 8.209.4.W	• NA	
	R5-specific:	
	• NA	
 33. If the Contractor or the State fair hearing officer reverses a decision to deny authorization of services, and the member received the disputed services while the appeal was pending, the Contractor must pay for those services. 42 CFR 438.424(b) 	Both R3 and R5: • UM106 Member Appeal Process • Section 3.E • Section 6.D	 ☑ Met □ Partially Met □ Not Met □ Not Applicable
Contract: Exhibit B-8—8.7.13.5 10 CCR 2505-10 8.209.4.X	R3-specific:	
	• NA	
	R5-specific:	
	• NA	



Standard VI—Grievances and Appeal Systems		
Requirement	Evidence as Submitted by the Health Plan	Score
 34. The Contractor maintains records of all grievances and appeals. The records must be accurately maintained in a manner accessible to the State and available on request to CMS. The record of each grievance and appeal must contain, at a minimum, all of the following information: A general description of the reason for the grievance or appeal. The date received. The date of each review or, if applicable, review meeting. Resolution at each level of the appeal or grievance. Date of resolution at each level, if applicable. Name of the person for whom the appeal or grievance was filed. The Contractor quarterly submits to the Department a Grievance and Appeals report including this information. 	 Both R3 and R5: ADM203 Member Grievance Process Section 10 UM106 Member Appeal Process Section 8 GA DP07 Grievance Workflow Section 7 R3-specific: R3_GireveAppeal_Q1FY22-23 R3_GrieveAppealRpt_Q1FY22-23 Part II R5-specific: R5_GireveAppealRpt_Q1FY22-23 Part II 	 ☑ Met □ Partially Met □ Not Met □ Not Applicable



Requirement	Evidence as Submitted by the Health Plan	Score
 35. The Contractor provides the information about the grievance, appeal, and State fair hearing system to all providers and subcontractors at the time they enter into a contract. The information includes: The member's right to file grievances and appeals. The requirements and time frames for filing grievances and appeals. The right to a State fair hearing after the Contractor has made a decision on an appeal which is adverse to the member. The fact that, when requested by the member: Services that the Contractor seeks to reduce or terminate will continue if the appeal or request for State fair hearing is filed within the time frames specified for filing. The member may be required to pay the cost of services furnished while the appeal or State fair hearing is pending, if the final decision is adverse to the member. <i>42 CFR 438.414</i> 	Both R3 and R5: • COA Website • Appeals and State Fair Hearing • https://www.coaccess.com/providers /resources/um/ • Grievances: • https://www.coaccess.com/providers /forms/ • Provider Manual • Section 2 Colorado Access Policies • Pages 2-6 to 2-7 Member Grievances and Appeals R3-specific: • NA R5-specific: • NA	 ☐ Met ⊠ Partially Met ☐ Not Met ☐ Not Applicable

COA provided an electronic provider manual through its website that points to an old policy, which inaccurately states that members must follow an oral request for an appeal in writing. This required step was removed from the federal regulations in December 2020.

Required Actions:

COA must remove the inaccurate statement in its Member Appeal Process policy that states that a member must follow an oral request for an appeal in writing.



Results f	Results for Standard VI—Grievances and Appeal Systems—RAE 5							
Total	Met	=	<u>34</u>	Х	1.00	=	<u>34</u>	
	Partially Met	=	<u>1</u>	Х	.00	=	<u>0</u>	
	Not Met	=	<u>0</u>	Х	.00	=	<u>0</u>	
	Not Applicable	=	<u>0</u>	Х	NA	=	<u>NA</u>	
Total Ap	plicable	=	<u>35</u>	Total	Score	=	<u>34</u>	
	Total Score ÷ Total Applicable = <u>97%</u>							



Standard XII—Enrollment and Disenrollment		
Requirement	Evidence as Submitted by the Health Plan	Score
 The Contractor agrees to accept individuals eligible for enrollment into its RAE in the order in which they apply without restriction (unless authorized by CMS) up to the limits set under that contract. 42 CFR 438.3(d)(1) Contract: Exhibit B-8—6.6 	 Both R3 and R5: MA 100 Member Enrollment and Disenrollment Policy Section Paragraph 1 Colorado Access Website https://www.coaccess.com/members/care/ R3-specific: NA R5-specific: 	 ☑ Met □ Partially Met □ Not Met □ Not Applicable
	• NA	
2. The Contractor does not discriminate against individuals eligible to enroll or use any policy or practice that has the effect of discriminating against individuals, based upon health status or need for health care services, race, color, ethnic or national origin, ancestry, age, sex, gender, sexual orientation, gender identity and expression, religion, creed, political beliefs, or disability.	 Both R3 and R5: ADM 205 Nondiscrimination Colorado Access Website https://www.coaccess.com/nondiscrimination/ R3-specific: 	 ☑ Met □ Partially Met □ Not Met □ Not Applicable
42 CFR 438.3(d)(3-4)	• NA	
Contract: Exhibit B-8—6.5		



Standard XII—Enrollment and Disenrollment			
Requirement	Evidence as Submitted by the Health Plan	Score	
	R5-specific: • NA		
 3. The Contractor may not request disenrollment of a member because of an adverse change in the member's health status or because the member's: Utilization of medical services Diminished mental capacity Uncooperative or disruptive behavior resulting from his or her special needs (except when his or her continued enrollment seriously impairs the Contractor's ability to furnish services to the member or to other members) 42 CFR 438.56(b)(2) Contract: Exhibit B-8—None 	Both R3 and R5: • MA 100 Member Enrollment and Disenrollment • Policy Statement paragraph 1 R3-specific: • NA R5-specific: • NA	 ☑ Met □ Partially Met □ Not Met □ Not Applicable 	
 4. To initiate disenrollment of a member's participation with the RAE, the Contractor must provide the Department with documentation justifying the proposed disenrollment. 42 CFR 438.56(b)(3) Contract: Exhibit B-8—None 	Both R3 and R5: • MA 100 Member Enrollment and Disenrollment • Section 4 R3-specific: • NA R5-specific: • NA	 ☑ Met □ Partially Met □ Not Met □ Not Applicable 	



Standard XII—Enrollment and Disenrollment		
Requirement	Evidence as Submitted by the Health Plan	Score
 5. The member may request disenrollment as follows: For cause at any time, including: The member has moved out of the Contractor's service area The Contractor does not (due to moral or religious objections) cover the service the member seeks The member needs related services to be performed at the same time, not all related services are available from the Contractor's plan, and the member's primary care provider (or another provider) determines that receiving the services separately would subject the member to unnecessary risk Poor quality of care Lack of access, or lack of access to providers experienced with dealing with the members specific needs Without cause at the following times: During the 90 days following the date of the member's initial enrollment At least once every 12 months thereafter Upon automatic re-enrollment if temporary loss of eligibility has caused the member to miss the annual disenrollment opportunity When the Department has imposed sanctions on the RAE (consistent with 42 CFR 438.702(a)(4) Contract: Exhibit B-8—6.10 	Both R3 and R5: • MA 100 Member Enrollment and Disenrollment • Section 2.A R3-specific: • NA R5-specific: • NA	 ☑ Met □ Partially Met □ Not Met □ Not Applicable



Results f	Results for Standard XII—Enrollment and Disenrollment—RAE 5							
Total	Met	=	<u>5</u>	Х	1.00 =	<u>5</u>		
	Partially Met	=	<u>0</u>	Х	.00 =	<u>0</u>		
	Not Met	=	<u>0</u>	Х	.00 =	<u>0</u>		
	Not Applicable	=	<u>0</u>	Х	NA =	<u>NA</u>		
Total Ap	plicable	=	<u>5</u>	Tota	I Score =	<u>5</u>		
	Total Score ÷ Total Applicable=100%							



Appendix B. Colorado Department of Health Care Policy & Financing FY 2022–2023 External Quality Review **Denials Record Review** for Colorado Access RAE 5

Review Period:		022–Decembe	er 31, 2022												
Date of Review:	January 4, 2023														
Reviewer:	Sarah Lambie, MA, CPHQ Lindsay Cowee, Lisa Stellar, and Georgia Silver														
Participating MCE Staff Member(s):	Lindsay Cowe	ee, Lisa Stellar	r, and Georgia	a Silver											
Requirement	File 1	File 2	File 3	File 4	File 5	File 6	File 7	File 8	File 9	File 10	File OS1	File OS2	File OS3	File OS4	File OS5
Member ID #	****	****	****	****	****	****	****	****	****	****					
Date of Initial Request [XX/XX/XXXX]	1/17/2022	1/31/2022	3/21/2022	5/11/2022	6/3/2022	6/16/2022	7/26/2022	6/28/2022	9/13/2022	10/13/2022					
Type of Denial:	1/1//2022	1/51/2022	5/21/2022	5/11/2022	0/3/2022	0/10/2022	772072022	0/20/2022	9/13/2022	10/13/2022					
Termination (T), New Request (NR), Claim (CL)	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR					
Type of Request: Standard (S), Expedited (E), Retrospective (R), SUD Inpatient/Residential (SUD), or SUD Inpatient/Residential Special Connections (SUD SC)	SUD	SUD	E	E	E	E	E	SUD	SUD	E					
Date of Decision for Adverse Benefit Determination [XX/XX/XXXX]	1/17/2022	2/1/2022	3/22/2022	5/12/2022	6/3/2022	6/17/2022	7/28/2022	8/29/2022	9/13/2022	10/14/2022					
Date Notice of Adverse Benefit Determination (NABD) Sent [XX/XX/XXXX]	1/18/2022	2/2/2022	3/22/2022	5/12/2022	6/3/2022	6/17/2022	7/28/2022	8/29/2022	9/14/2022	10/14/2022					
Notice Sent to Provider and Member? [I.11]	Met	Met	Met	Met	Met	Met	Met	Not Met	Met	Met					
Number of Hours or Days for Decision (H/D)	0 D	1 D	1 D	1 D	0 D	1 D	2 D	62 D	0 D	1 D					
Number of Hours or Days for Notice (H/D)	1 D	2 D	1 D	1 D	0 D	1 D	2 D	62 D	1 D	1 D					
Adverse Benefit Determination Decision Made Within Required															
Time Frame? [I.12] Standard: 10 calendar days Expedited: 72 hours SUD: 72 hours (calendar) or 24 hours (calendar) for special connections	Met	Met	Met	Met	Met	Met	Met	Not Met	Met	Met					
Notice Sent Within Required Time Frame? [I.17] Standard: 10 calendar days Expedited: 72 hours SUD: 72 hours (calendar) or 24 hours (calendar) for special connections Termination: 10 calendar days before the date of action	Met	Met	Met	Met	Met	Met	Met	Not Met	Met	Met					
Was Authorization Decision Timeline Extended? Yes or No	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	No					
If Extended, Extension Notification Sent to Member? [I.19]	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA					
If Extended, Extension Notification Includes Required Content? [I.19]	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA					
NABD Includes Required Content [I.15-16]	Met	Met	Met	Met	Met	Met	Met	Not Met	Met	Met					
Authorization Decision Made by Qualified Clinician? [I.10]	Met	Met	Met	Met	Met	Met	Met	Met	Met	Met					
If Denied for Lack of Information, Was the Requesting Provider Contacted for Additional Information or Consulted (if applicable)? [I.8]	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	Not Met	NA	NA					
Was the Decision Based on Established Authorization Criteria (i.e., not arbitrary)? [I.2]	Met	Met	Met	Met	Met	Met	Met	Met	Met	Met					
Was Correspondence With the Member Easy to Understand? [I.14]	Met	Met	Met	Met	Not Met	Met	Met	Not Met	Met	Met					
Scoring	File 1	File 2	File 3	File 4	File 5	File 6	File 7	File 8	File 9	File 10	File OS1	File OS2	File OS3	File OS4	File OS5
Applicable Elements	7	7	7	7	7	7	7	8	7	7					
Compliant (Met) Elements	7	7	7	7	6	7	7	2	7	7					
Percent Compliant	100%	100%	100%	100%	86%	100%	100%	25%	100%	100%					
Overall Total Applicable Elements	71		,				,								
Overall Total Compliant Elements	64														
Overall Total Percent Compliant	90%														
Comments: File 5: NABD includes an acronym that would not be easy for the men	nber to unders		rvices you ne	ed can be pro	ovided at a lo	wer level of ca	are, such as P	HP").							

File 8: ASAM 3.5 Level of Care (LOC) request. No notes between 6/28 and 8/29. No NABD in file.

Yes and No = not scored—for informational purposes only

**** = Redacted Member ID



Appendix B. Colorado Department of Health Care Policy & Financing FY 2022–2023 External Quality Review **Grievances Record Review** for Colorado Access RAE 5

Review Period:	January 1, 2022–December 31, 2022
Date of Review:	January 10–11, 2023
Reviewer:	Crystal Brown,CCMA
Participating MCE Staff Member(s):	Reyna Garcia

Requirement	File 1	File 2	File 3	File 4	File 5	File 6	File 7	File 8	File 9
Member ID #	****	****	****	****	****	****	****	****	*****
Date Grievance Received [XX/XX/XXXX]	1/26/2022	2/24/2022	3/29/2022	4/25/2022	5/4/2022	6/16/2022	7/7/2022	8/18/2022	9/20/202
Date of Acknowledgement Letter [XX/XX/XXXX]	1/26/2022	2/25/2022	3/30/2022	4/25/2022	5/4/2022	6/16/2022	7/8/2022	8/19/2022	9/21/202
Days From Grievance Received to Acknowledgement	0	1	1	0	0	0	1	1	1
Acknowledgement Letter Sent in 2 Working Days [VI.11]	Met	Met	Met	Met	Met	Met	Met	Met	Met
Date of Written Notice [XX/XX/XXXX]	2/11/2022	3/15/2022	4/5/2022	5/6/2022	5/6/2022	6/21/2022	7/22/2022	9/8/2022	9/23/202
# of Days to Notice	12	13	4	9	2	2	11	14	3
Resolved and Notice Sent in Time Frame* [VI.12,24] Standard: 15 working days Extension: 15 working days + 14 calendar days	Met	Met	Met	Met	Met	Met	Met	Met	Met
Decision-Maker Not Involved in Grievance [VI.7]	Met	Met	Met	Met	Met	Met	Met	Met	Met
Appropriate Level of Expertise (If Clinical) [VI.7]	Met	Met	NA	Met	Met	Met	Met	NA	NA
Resolution Letter Includes Required Content** [VI.13]	Met	Met	Met	Met	Met	Met	Met	Met	Met
Resolution Letter Easy to Understand [VI.12]	Met	Met	Met	Met	Met	Met	Met	Met	Met
Scoring	File 1	File 2	File 3	File 4	File 5	File 6	File 7	File 8	File 9
Applicable Elements	6	6	5	6	6	6	6	5	5
Compliant (Met) Elements	6	6	5	6	6	6	6	5	5
Percent Compliant	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Overall Total Applicable Elements	56								
Overall Total Compliant Elements	56								
Overall Total Percent Compliant	100%								
Comments:									

Comments:

* Grievance timeline for resolution and notice sent is 15 working days (unless extended, then up to 14 calendar days).

**Grievance resolution letter required content includes (1) results of the disposition/resolution process and (2) the date the disposition/resolution process was completed.

**** = Redacted Member ID

)	File 10	File OS1	File OS2	File OS3	File OS4	File OS5
*	****					
)22	10/14/2022					
)22	10/14/2022					
	0					
	Met					
)22	11/2/2022					
	13					
	Met					
	Met					
	NA					
	Met					
	Met					
)	File 10	File OS1	File OS2	File OS3	File OS4	File OS5
	5					
	5					
b	100%					



Appendix B. Colorado Department of Health Care Policy & Financing FY 2022–2023 External Quality Review **Appeals Record Review** for Colorado Access RAE 5

Review Period:	January 1, 2022–December 31, 2022
Date of Review:	January 10–11, 2023
Reviewer:	Crystal Brown, CCMA
Participating MCE Staff Member(s):	Jenine Fountain

Requirement	File 1	File 2	File 3	File 4	File 5	File 6	File 7	File 8	File 9	File 10	File OS1	File OS2	File OS3	File OS4	File OS5
Member ID #	****	****	****	****	****	****	****	****	****	****					
Date Appeal Received [xx/xx/xxxx]	1/28/2022	3/25/2022	4/18/2022	5/13/2022	6/3/2022	7/11/2022	7/15/2022	7/28/2022	11/9/2022	8/19/2022					
Date of Acknowledgement [XX/XX/XXXX]	None	None	4/18/2022	None	6/6/2022	7/11/2022	None	None	None	None					
Days From Appeal Received to Acknowledgement			0		1	0									
Acknowledgement Sent Within 2 Working Days? [VI.17]	NA	NA	Met	NA	Met	Met	NA	NA	NA	NA					
Decision-Maker Not Previous Level [VI.7]	Met	Met	Met	Met	Met	Met	Met	Met	Met	Met					
Decision-Maker—Clinical Expertise [VI.7]	Met	Met	Met	Met	Met	Met	Met	Met	Met	Met					
Expedited Appeal: Yes or No	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	No	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes					
Time Frame Extended: Yes or No	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	No					
Date Resolution Notice Sent [xx/xx/xxxx]	1/28/2022	3/25/2022	4/21/2022	5/13/2022	6/6/2022	7/11/2022	7/15/2022	7/29/2022	11/10/2022	8/19/2022					
Hours or Days From Appeal Filed to Resolution Notice Sent	0 D	0 D	3 D	0 D	1 D	0 D	0 D	1 D	1 D	0 D					
Notice Sent Within Time Frame*? [VI.22-25] Standard Resolution: 10 working days Expedited Resolution: 72 hours Time Frame Extended: +14 calendar days	Met	Met	Met	Met	Met	Met	Met	Met	Met	Met					
Resolution Letter Includes Required Content** [VI.26]	Met	Met	Met	Met	Met	Met	Met	Met	Met	Met					
Resolution Letter Easy to Understand [VI.22]	Met	Met	Met	Met	Met	Met	Met	Met	Met	Met					
Scoring	File 1	File 2	File 3	File 4	File 5	File 6	File 7	File 8	File 9	File 10	File OS1	File OS2	File OS3	File OS4	File OS5
Applicable Elements	5	5	6	5	6	6	5	5	5	5					
Compliant (Met) Elements	5	5	6	5	6	6	5	5	5	5					
Percent Compliant	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%					
Overall Total Applicable Elements	53														
Overall Total Compliant Elements	53														
Overall Total Percent Compliant	100%														

*Appeal resolution letter time frame does not exceed 10 working days from the day the MCE receives the appeal (unless expedited—72 hours; or unless extended—+14 calendar days).

** Appeal resolution letter required content includes (1) the result of the resolution process; (2) the date the resolution was completed; (3) if the appeal is not resolved wholly in favor of the member, the right to request a State fair hearing and how to do so; (4) if the appeal is not resolved wholly in favor of the member, the right to request that benefits/services continue while the hearing is pending, and how to make that request (does not apply to CHP+).

**** = Redacted Member ID



Appendix C. Compliance Review Participants

Table C-1 lists the participants in the FY 2022–2023 compliance review of COA.

HSAG Review Team	Title					
Sarah Lambie	Senior Project Manager					
Crystal Brown	Project Manager I					
Lauren Gomez	Project Manager I					
Heidi Oliver	Senior Project Manager					
COA Participants	Title					
Amanda Fitzsimons	Compliance Program Manager					
Lydia Brogren	Senior Compliance Auditor					
Jess Kelly	Compliance Specialist					
Ward Peterson	Director of Enrollment and Child Health Plan (CHP)					
Taylor Mitchell	CHP Program Manager					
Lisa Hug	Director of Program Operations—Program Deliverable Operations					
Cassidy Smith	Senior Program Director—Program Deliverable Operations					
Gretchen McGinnis	Senior Vice President of Healthcare Systems and Accountable Care					
Reyna Garcia	Senior Director of Customer Service					
Elizabeth Foster	Manager of Customer Service					
Lindsay Cowee	Senior Director of Behavioral Health Network Performance					
Lisa Stellar	Manager of Behavioral Health Utilization Management					
Thomas Mayo	Utilization Management Program Manager					
Georgia Silver	Manager of Physical Health and Pharmacy Utilization Management					
Jenine Fountain	Clinical Appeals Coordinator					
Beth Coleman	Director of Provider Contracting					
Anne Taylor	Provider Recruitment Program Manager					
Marissa Kaesemeyer	Director of Provider Affairs					
Jeni Sargent	Director of Member and Provider Data Integrity					
Stacy Garza	Supervisor of Member Data Integrity					

Table C-1—HSAG Reviewers and COA and Department Participants



Department Observers	Title
Russell Kennedy	Quality Program Manager
Sandra Wetenkamp	Health Network Accountability Specialist
Matthew Pfeifer	Accountable Care Collaborative (ACC) Program Specialist
Jeff Helm	Program Manager
Amy Ryan	CHP+ Program Supervisor



Appendix D. Corrective Action Plan Template for FY 2022–2023

If applicable, the MCE is required to submit a CAP to the Department for all elements within each standard scored as *Partially Met* or *Not Met*. The CAP must be submitted within 30 days of receipt of the final report. For each required action, the MCE must identify the planned interventions, training, monitoring and follow-up activities, and proposed documents in order to complete the attached CAP template. Supporting documents should not be submitted and will not be considered until the CAP has been approved by the Department. Following Department approval, the MCE must submit documents based on the approved timeline.

Table D-1—Corrective Action Plan Process

Step	Action							
Step 1	Corrective action plans are submitted							
the fina	If applicable, the MCE will submit a CAP to HSAG and the Department within 30 calendar days of receipt of the final compliance review report via email or through the file transfer protocol (FTP) site, with an email notification to HSAG and the Department. The MCE must submit the CAP using the template provided.							
to achie anticipa	For each element receiving a score of <i>Partially Met</i> or <i>Not Met</i> , the CAP must describe interventions designed to achieve compliance with the specified requirements, the timelines associated with these activities, anticipated training, monitoring and follow-up activities, and final evidence to be submitted following the completion of the planned interventions.							
Step 2	Prior approval for timelines exceeding 30 days							
	If the MCE is unable to submit the CAP proposal (i.e., the outline of the plan to come into compliance) within 30 calendar days following receipt of the final report, it must obtain prior approval from the Department in writing.							
Step 3	Department approval							
Followi	ng review of the CAP, the Department and HSAG will:							
• Revi	ew and approve the planned interventions and instruct the MCE to proceed with implementation, or							
	uct the MCE to revise specific planned interventions, training, monitoring and follow-up activities, or documents to be submitted as evidence of completion and also to proceed with resubmission.							
Step 4	Documentation substantiating implementation							
Once the MCE has received Department approval of the CAP, the MCE will have a time frame of 90 days (three months) to complete proposed actions and submit documents. The MCE will submit documents as evidence of completion one time only on or before the 90-day deadline for all required actions in the CAP. If any revisions to the planned interventions are deemed necessary by the MCE during the 90 days, the MCE should notify the Department and HSAG. If the MCE is unable to submit documents of completion for any required action on or before the three-month deadline, it must obtain approval in advance from the Department to extend the deadline.								



Step	Action						
Step 5	Technical assistance						
calls/we determin	At the MCE's request or at the recommendation of the Department and HSAG, technical assistance (TA) calls/webinars are available. The session may be scheduled at the MCE's discretion at any time the MCE determines would be most beneficial. HSAG will not document results of the verbal consultation in the CAP document.						
Step 6	Review and completion						
MCE as	Following a review of the CAP and all supporting documentation, the Department or HSAG will inform the MCE as to whether or not the documentation is sufficient to demonstrate completion of all required actions and compliance with the related contract requirements.						
Any documentation that is considered unsatisfactory to complete the CAP requirements at the three-month deadline will result in a continued corrective action with a new date for resubmission established by the Department.							
HSAG	SAG will continue to work with the MCE until all required actions are satisfactorily completed.						

The CAP template follows on the next page.



Table D-2—FY 2022–2023 Corrective Action Plan for COA RAE 5

Standard I—Coverage and Authorization of Services
□ Plan(s) of Action Complete
\Box Plan(s) of Action on Track for Completion
□ Plan(s) of Action Not on Track for Completion
Requirement
11. The Contractor notifies the requesting provider and gives the member written notice of any decision by the Contractor to deny a service authorization request or to authorize a service in an amount, duration, or scope that is less than requested.
Note: Notice to the provider may be oral or in writing.
42 CFR 438.210(c)
Contract: Exhibit B-8—8.6.1 10 CCR 2505-10 8.209.4.A.1
Findings
In denial file #8, the member was not mailed an NABD letter.
Required Actions
COA must update its procedures to further delineate provider claims issues which are separate from member-related issues in which a service is denied or partially denied. Policies, procedures, and monitoring must be enhanced to ensure that the member is notified in writing of the denial or partial denial of a service.
Planned Interventions:
Person(s)/Committee(s) Responsible:



Training Required:

Monitoring and Follow-Up Activities Planned:

Documents to Be Submitted as Evidence of Completion:

HSAG Initial Review:

Documents Included in Final Submission:

Date of Final Evidence:



 \Box Plan(s) of Action Complete

 \Box Plan(s) of Action on Track for Completion

 \Box Plan(s) of Action Not on Track for Completion

Requirement

12. The Contractor adheres to the following time frames for making standard and expedited authorization decisions:

- For standard authorization decisions—as expeditiously as the member's condition requires and not to exceed 10 calendar days following the receipt of the request for service.
- If the provider indicates, or the Contractor determines, that following the standard time frames could seriously jeopardize the member's life or health, or ability to attain, maintain, or regain maximum function, the Contractor makes an expedited authorization determination and provides notice as expeditiously as the member's condition requires and no later than 72 hours after receipt of the request for service.

42 CFR 438.210(d)(1–2) Memo: HCPF FFS UM Policy Requirements for SUD Benefit—August 4, 2020

Contract: Exhibit B-8—8.6.6, 8.6.8 10 CCR 2505-10 8.209.4.A.3(c)

Findings

In denial file #8, COA did not make a denial decision within the required time frame.

Required Actions

COA must enhance its monitoring procedures to ensure that all authorization decisions are made within required time frames.

Planned Interventions:

Person(s)/Committee(s) Responsible:



Training Required:

Monitoring and Follow-Up Activities Planned:

Documents to Be Submitted as Evidence of Completion:

HSAG Initial Review:

Documents Included in Final Submission:

Date of Final Evidence:



 \Box Plan(s) of Action Complete

 \Box Plan(s) of Action on Track for Completion

 \Box Plan(s) of Action Not on Track for Completion

Requirement

14. The notice of adverse benefit determination must be written in language easy to understand, available in State-established prevalent non-English languages in the region, and available in alternative formats for persons with special needs.

42 CFR 438.404(a)

Contract: Exhibit B-8-8.6.1-8.6.1.4

10 CCR 2505-10 8.209.4.A.1

Findings

In denial file #5, the NABD letter included an abbreviation (i.e., PHP) that would not be easy for the member to understand. Other NABD letters included clinical terminology that may not be easy for the member to understand and is above the sixth-grade reading level.

Required Actions

COA must enhance its NABD template and monitoring procedures to ensure clinical language, including abbreviations, are clearly defined and explained in plain language (e.g., partial hospitalization program [PHP], a part-time treatment for addiction where members do not live on-site but may visit several times a week).

Planned Interventions:

Person(s)/Committee(s) Responsible:

Training Required:



APPENDIX D. CORRECTIVE ACTION PLAN TEMPLATE FOR FY 2022–2023

Standard I—Coverage and Authorization of Services

Monitoring and Follow-Up Activities Planned:

Documents to Be Submitted as Evidence of Completion:

HSAG Initial Review:

Documents Included in Final Submission:

Date of Final Evidence:



 \Box Plan(s) of Action Complete

 \Box Plan(s) of Action on Track for Completion

 \Box Plan(s) of Action Not on Track for Completion

Requirement

16. The Contractor mails the notice of adverse benefit determination within the following time frames:

- For termination, suspension, or reduction of previously authorized Medicaid-covered services, as defined in 42 CFR 431.211, 431.213 and 431.214 (see below).
- For denial of payment, at the time of any denial affecting the claim.
- For standard service authorization decisions that deny or limit services, within 10 calendar days following the receipt of the request for service.
- For expedited service authorization decisions, within 72 hours after receipt of the request for service.
- For extended service authorization decisions, no later than the date the extension expires.
- For service authorization decisions not reached within the required time frames, on the date the time frames expire.

42 CFR 438.404(c) 42 CFR 438.210(d)

Contract: Exhibit B-8—8.6.3.1, 8.6.5–8.6.8 10 CCR 2505-10 8.209.4.A.3

Findings

In denial file #8, COA did not mail the member an NABD letter.

Required Actions

COA must update its procedures to further delineate provider claims issues which are separate from member-related issues in which a service is denied or partially denied. Policies, procedures, and monitoring must be enhanced to ensure that the member is notified in writing of the denial or partial denial of a service.



Standard I—Coverage and Authorization of Services Planned Interventions: Person(s)/Committee(s) Responsible: Training Required: Monitoring and Follow-Up Activities Planned: Documents to Be Submitted as Evidence of Completion: HSAG Initial Review: Documents Included in Final Submission: Date of Final Evidence:



Standard VI—Grievance and Appeal Systems

- \Box Plan(s) of Action Complete
- \Box Plan(s) of Action on Track for Completion
- \Box Plan(s) of Action Not on Track for Completion

Requirement

- 35. The Contractor provides the information about the grievance, appeal, and State fair hearing system to all providers and subcontractors at the time they enter into a contract. The information includes:
 - The member's right to file grievances and appeals.
 - The requirements and time frames for filing grievances and appeals.
 - The right to a State fair hearing after the Contractor has made a decision on an appeal which is adverse to the member.
 - The availability of assistance in the filing processes.
 - The fact that, when requested by the member:
 - Services that the Contractor seeks to reduce or terminate will continue if the appeal or request for State fair hearing is filed within the time frames specified for filing.
 - The member may be required to pay the cost of services furnished while the appeal or State fair hearing is pending, if the final decision is adverse to the member.

42 CFR 438.414

Contract: Exhibit B-8—8.4 10 CCR 2505-10 8.209.3.B

Findings

COA provided an electronic provider manual through its website that points to an old policy, which inaccurately states that members must follow an oral request for an appeal in writing. This required step was removed from the federal regulations in December 2020.

Required Actions

COA must remove the inaccurate statement in its *Member Appeal Process* policy that states that a member must follow an oral request for an appeal in writing.



APPENDIX D. CORRECTIVE ACTION PLAN TEMPLATE FOR FY 2022–2023

Standard VI—Grievance and Appeal Systems
Planned Interventions:
Person(s)/Committee(s) Responsible:
Training Required:
Monitoring and Follow-Up Activities Planned:
Documents to Be Submitted as Evidence of Completion:
HSAG Initial Review:
Documents Included in Final Submission:
Date of Final Evidence:



Appendix E. Compliance Monitoring Review Protocol Activities

The following table describes the activities performed throughout the compliance monitoring process. The activities listed below are consistent with the CMS EQR *Protocol 3. Review of Compliance With Medicaid and CHIP Managed Care Regulations: A Mandatory EQR-Related Activity*, October 2019.

For this step,	HSAG completed the following activities:
Activity 1:	Establish Compliance Thresholds
	Before the review to assess compliance with federal managed care regulations and Department contract requirements:
	• HSAG and the Department participated in meetings and held teleconferences to determine the timing and scope of the reviews, as well as scoring strategies.
	• HSAG collaborated with the Department to develop desk request forms, compliance monitoring tools, record review tools, report templates, agendas; and set review dates.
	• HSAG submitted all materials to the Department for review and approval.
	• HSAG conducted training for all reviewers to ensure consistency in scoring across MCEs.
Activity 2:	Perform Preliminary Review
	• HSAG attended the Department's Integrated Quality Improvement Committee (IQuIC) meetings and provided MCEs with proposed review dates, group technical assistance, and training, as needed.
	• HSAG confirmed a primary MCE contact person for the review and assigned HSAG reviewers to participate in the review.
	• Sixty days prior to the scheduled date of the review, HSAG notified the MCE in writing of the request for desk review documents via email delivery of the desk review form, the compliance monitoring tool, and review agenda. The desk review request included instructions for organizing and preparing the documents related to the review of the four standards and the review activities. Thirty days prior to the review, the MCE provided documentation for the desk review, as requested.
	• Documents submitted for the review consisted of the completed desk review form, the compliance monitoring tool with the MCE's section completed, policies and procedures, staff training materials, administrative records, reports, minutes of key committee meetings, and member and provider informational materials.
	• The MCEs also submitted lists denials, grievances, and appeals that occurred between January 1, 2022, and December 31, 2022 (to the extent available at the time of the review). MCEs submitted the lists to HSAG 10 days following receipt of the desk review request. HSAG used a random sampling technique to select records for the review. HSAG notified the MCE five days following receipt of the lists of records regarding the sample records selected.



For this step,	HSAG completed the following activities:
	• The HSAG review team reviewed all documentation submitted prior to the review and prepared a request for further documentation and an interview guide to use during the review.
Activity 3:	Conduct the Review
	• During the review, HSAG met with groups of the MCE's key staff members to obtain a complete picture of the MCE's compliance with federal healthcare regulations and contract requirements, explore any issues not fully addressed in the documents, and increase overall understanding of the MCE's performance.
	• HSAG requested, collected, and reviewed additional documents as needed.
	• At the close of the review, HSAG provided MCE staff and Department personnel an overview of preliminary findings.
Activity 4:	Compile and Analyze Findings
	• HSAG used the FY 2022–2023 Department-approved Compliance Review Report template to compile the findings and incorporate information from the pre-review and review activities.
	• HSAG analyzed the findings and calculated final scores based on Department- approved scoring strategies.
	• HSAG determined opportunities for improvement, recommendations, and required actions based on the review findings.
Activity 5:	Report Results to the Department
	• HSAG populated the Department-approved report template.
	• HSAG submitted the draft Compliance Review Report to the MCE and the Department for review and comment.
	• HSAG incorporated the MCE and Department comments, as applicable, and finalized the report.
	• HSAG included a pre-populated CAP template in the final report for all elements determined to be out of compliance with managed care regulations.
	• HSAG distributed the final report to the MCE and the Department.