## **Department of Health Care Policy and Financing**

Funding Request for the FY 2024-25 Budget Cycle						
Request Title						
	R-02 Behavioral Health Programs					
Dept. Approval By:	En Daly		Supplemental FY 2023-24			
OSPB Approval By:	Adrian Leiter		Budget Amendment FY 2024-25			
		<b>x</b>	Change Request FY 2024-25			

		FY 2023-24		FY 2024-25		FY 2025-26	
Summary Information	Fund	Initial Appropriation	Supplemental Request	Base Request	Change Request	Continuation	
	Total	\$1,218,483,080	\$0	\$1,218,494,664	(\$22,964,198)	\$87,256,197	
	FTE	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
Total of All Line Items	GF	\$284,702,715	\$0	\$284,767,300	\$7,229,032	\$28,001,388	
Impacted by Change Request	CF	\$91,030,034	\$0	\$91,030,783	\$571,519	\$9,266,628	
	RF	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	
	FF	\$842,750,331	\$0	\$842,696,581	(\$30,764,749)	\$49,988,181	

		FY 2023-24		FY 2024-25		FY 2025-26	
Line Item Information	Fund	Initial Appropriation	Supplemental Request	Base Request	Change Request	Continuation	
	Total	\$1,207,509,714	\$0	\$1,207,509,714	(\$24,375,853)	\$85,507,722	
03. Behavioral Health	FTE	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
Community Programs, (A) Behavioral Health	GF	\$282,270,782	\$0	\$282,331,491	\$6,686,191	\$27,377,617	
Community Programs, (1) Behavioral Health	CF	\$90,368,457	\$0	\$90,368,457	\$498,462	\$9,173,589	
Community Programs -	RF	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	
Behavioral Health Capitation Payments	FF	\$834,870,475	\$0	\$834,809,766	(\$31,560,506)	\$48,956,516	
	Total	\$10,973,366	\$0	\$10,984,950	\$1,411,655	\$1,748,475	
03. Behavioral Health	FTE	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
Community Programs, (A) Behavioral Health	GF	\$2,431,933	\$0	\$2,435,809	\$542,841	\$623,771	
Community Programs, (1) Behavioral Health	CF	\$661,577	\$0	\$662,326	\$73,057	\$93,039	
Community Programs -	RF	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	
Behavioral Health Fee- for-Service Payments	FF	\$7,879,856	\$0	\$7,886,815	\$795,757	\$1,031,665	

		Auxiliary Data	
Requires Legislation?	NO		
Type of Request?	Health Care Policy and Financing Prioritized Request	Interagency Approval or Related Schedule 13s:	No Other Agency Impact



# Department of Health Care Policy and Financing Behavioral Health Community Programs

FY 2023-24 and FY 2024-25 Budget Request

November 2023

## **TABLE OF CONTENTS**

BEHAVIORAL HEALTH COMMUNITY PROGRAMS	2
History and Background Information	
Program Administration	
Medicaid Anti-Psychotic Pharmaceuticals	4
Behavioral Health Capitation Payments and Medicaid Behavioral Health Fee-for-Service Payments	
Exhibit A - Calculation of Current Total Long Bill Group Impact	7
Exhibit B - Calculation of Fund Splits	8
Exhibit C - Behavioral Health Community Programs Summary	
Exhibit D - Behavioral Health Caseload, Per Capita, and Expenditure History	11
Exhibit E - Estimate and Request by Eligibility Category	12
Incurred-but-not-Reported Estimates	13
Exhibit F - Behavioral Health RAE Enrollment Adjustment	14
Exhibit G - Behavioral Health Capitation Rate Trends and Forecasts	
Exhibit H - Forecast Model Comparisons	15
Capitation Trend Models	15
Exhibit I - Reconciliations	16
Exhibit J - Alternative financing populations	16
Exhibit K - Medicaid Behavioral Health Fee-for-Service Payments	19
Exhibit L - Global Reasonableness Test for Behavioral Health Capitation Payments	20

#### BEHAVIORAL HEALTH COMMUNITY PROGRAMS

The following is a description of the budget projection for the Behavioral Health Community Programs.

#### History and Background Information

In 1993, under Section 1915 (b) and Section 1902 (a) of Title XIX of the Social Security Act, the Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services (CMS) granted the State waivers that allowed the State to implement a pilot managed care behavioral health program. The pilot program operated until 1995. In 1995, SB 95-078 directed the Department and the Department of Human Services to implement a statewide capitated mental health managed care program. In 1997, SB 97-005 authorized the Department to provide behavioral health services through a managed care program.

The structure of managed care has changed over time. In 1995, implementation of the Behavioral Health Capitation Program in 51 counties of the State was complete, with the remaining 12 counties added in 1998. A 64th county was added when Broomfield became a county in November 2001. Through a competitive bid process, eight behavioral health assessment and service agencies were awarded contracts to be service providers in the program. Again, through competitive procurement, the Department reduced the number of regions from eight to five and awarded managed care contracts to five behavioral health organizations effective January 1, 2005. The five behavioral health organizations were again procured through a competitive bid process effective July 1, 2009. As a result of the reprocurement, the same five organizations won their respective contract bids, leaving the program unchanged. In July 2014, the Department went through another competitive bid process to reprocure the contractors of the five behavioral health regions. As a result of this reprocurement, four of the five prior behavioral health organizations won their respective rebids. The only change was in the northeast region. Access Behavioral Care Northeast began providing services in this region effective July 1, 2014. The Department implemented the Accountable Care Collaborative Phase II, starting July 1, 2018, through HB 17-1353 "Implement Medicaid Delivery and Payment Initiatives". The program integrated behavioral health services and physical health services under one administrative entity called a regional accountable entity. The Department underwent a competitive bidding process to procure contractors for the seven regional accountable entities to be service providers for physical and behavioral health. These changes are effective July 1, 2018.

Each regional accountable entity is responsible for providing or arranging medically necessary behavioral health services to Medicaid-eligible adults 65 and older, individuals with disabilities through 64, MAGI parents and caretakers, MAGI adults, eligible children, foster care children, and breast and cervical cancer program adults enrolled with a behavioral health organization. Services provided by those organizations include, but are not limited to: inpatient hospitalization, psychiatric care, rehabilitation, and outpatient care; clinic services, case management, medication management,

physician care, substance use disorder; and non-hospital residential care as it pertains to behavioral health. The capitation program also includes alternatives to institutionalization. The Department is required to make monthly capitation payments to contracted regional accountable entities for services for each eligible Medicaid recipient. Payments vary across each regional accountable entity, as well as each eligibility category.

Since the inception of the Behavioral Health Community Programs, the Department has been responsible for oversight and contracting with the managed care organizations. The budget projections, day-to-day operations, and administration of the program were the responsibility of the Department of Human Services. In 2004, the administration and programmatic duties were transferred from the Department of Human Services to the Department. These duties include budget projections and accounting for the program, site reviews of the institutions, and contract negotiations. The transfer resulted in a new Long Bill group for the Department in the FY 2004-05 Long Bill (HB 04-1422). Subsequently, SB 05-112 transferred: (1) the Mental Health Administration appropriation for Personal Services, Operating Expenses, and External Quality Review Organization Mental Health from Behavioral Health Community Programs - Program Administration to the Executive Director's Office Long Bill group; (2) Single Entry Point case management services from Medicaid Mental Health Fee-for-Service Payments to Medical Services Premiums; and (3) services for the developmentally disabled from the Colorado Department of Human Services for People with Disabilities - Community Services and Regional Centers to Non-Emergency Medical Transportation, Medical Services Premiums, and Mental Health Fee-for-Service appropriations within the Department. As a result, only the Behavioral Health Community Programs expenditures are addressed in this section.

The recent history of the Behavioral Health Community Programs is summarized as follows:

- HB 17-1353, "Implement Medicaid Delivery & Payment Initiatives", authorized the Department to implement performance-based payments for medical providers. The payments are designed to incentivize BHOs to achieve performance-based goals regarding improving health outcomes, coordinating care, and containing costs. The bill also implemented the integration of behavioral health and physical health services under the new Regional Accountability Entity (RAE). Effective July 1, 2018, the Department began working with the new RAEs instead of the BHOs. Although care will be integrated between behavioral health and physical health services under one entity, the Department still pays actuarially sound capitation rates for behavioral health services. Therefore, there will be no changes to the forecasting methodology.
- HB 18-1136, "Substance Use Disorder Treatment", authorized the Department to add residential and inpatient substance use disorder services, and medical detoxification service to the behavioral health program. The Department anticipates that residential and inpatient substance use disorder service and medical detoxification services will begin

January 1, 2021 after the Department seeks and receives federal authorization to secure federal financial participation in the program. The Department currently is forecasting the cost of the program based on a report produced by Colorado Health Institute called "Options for Residential and Inpatient Treatment of Substance Use Disorder<sup>1</sup>", which was authorized under HB 17-1351 "Study Inpatient Substance Use Disorder Treatment" but assuming that the program will not reach full capacity until FY 2021-22. The Department will incorporate the costs of the new benefits through the Department's rate setting process for the RAEs and will make corresponding adjustments to estimated expenditure through the regular budget process.

#### **Program Administration**

In FY 2005-06, SB 05-112 transferred all Behavioral Health Community Programs - Program Administration expenditures into the Executive Director's Office Long Bill group and they are reflected in the lines for Personal Services, Operating Expenses, and Behavioral Health External Quality Review Organization. The current year and out-year requests for Program Administration are included in the Executive Director's Office Long Bill group.

## Medicaid Anti-Psychotic Pharmaceuticals

Prior to FY 2008-09, as part of the Long Bill, estimated expenditures for anti-psychotic pharmaceuticals were appropriated to this Long Bill group as Cash Funds Exempt. This was an informational-only line item; the costs for these drugs were and are paid in the Department's Medical Services Premiums Long Bill group, and no actual transfer took place. Because there was no corresponding decrease to the Medical Services Premiums Long Bill group, this double counted the funding for these drugs.

In its November 1, 2007 Budget Request, the Department officially requested the removal of the Medicaid Anti-Psychotic Pharmaceuticals line item and subsequently received approval. The Department continues to report expenditure for antipsychotics in Exhibit F of the R-1 Medical Services Premiums request.

Page R-2.4

 $<sup>^1\</sup> https://www.coloradohealthinstitute.org/research/options-residential-and-inpatient-treatment-substance-use-disorder$ 

### Significant Changes between FY 2023-24 R-2 and Spending Authority

#### FY 2023-24

For FY 2023-24, the Department requests a decrease of \$53,162,938 in total expenditure compared to the Spending Authority, including a reduction of \$6,608,720 General Fund, a decrease of \$1,370,302 cash funds, and a decrease of \$45,183,916 federal funds. This is primarily due to the end of the Public Health Emergency and the resulting decrease in caseload.

#### FY 2024-25

For FY 2024-25, the Department forecasts a decrease of \$22,964,198 in total expenditure compared to the Spending Authority, including an increase of \$7,229,032 General Fund, an increase of \$571,519 cash funds, and a decrease of \$30,764,749 federal funds. This is primarily due to the end of the Public Health Emergency and the resulting decrease in caseload.

#### Behavioral Health Capitation Payments and Medicaid Behavioral Health Fee-for-Service Payments

The Behavioral Health Capitation Payments line item reflects the appropriation that funds behavioral health services throughout Colorado through managed care providers contracted by the Department. As a result of competitive procurement, five behavioral health organizations were awarded contracts with updated capitation rates and services effective January 1, 2005. Payments for Mental Health Institute Rate Refinance Adjustment, Alternatives to Inpatient Hospitalization at the Mental Health Institute at Pueblo, Alternatives to Inpatient Hospitalization at the Mental Health Institute at Fort Logan, and Alternatives to the Fort Logan Aftercare Program were separate payments prior to FY 2005-06 and incorporated into the Behavioral Health Capitation Payments line item in FY 2005-06. Effective July 1, 2009, the five behavioral health organizations were reprocured through a competitive bid process. As a result of the reprocurement, the same five organizations won their respective contract bids, leaving the program unchanged. Effective July 1, 2014, the behavioral health services contracts were up for reprocurement through a competitive bid process. Four of the five BHOs from the previous rebid won their respective regions, with the exception of the northeast region. That region is now managed by Access Behavioral Health - Northeast. The Department underwent a competitive bidding process to procure contractors for the seven regional accountable entities to be service providers for physical and behavioral health. These changes were effective July 1, 2018.

The regional accountable entities are responsible for providing or arranging all medically necessary behavioral health services to Medicaid-eligible members within a specified geographic location for a pre-determined capitation rate. The Department pays actuarially certified rates to each regional accountable entity for each Medicaid client in each Medicaid eligibility category. Retroactive eligibility is covered. Payments vary across regional accountable entities, as well as eligibility categories.

The Medicaid populations that are eligible for behavioral health services covered by capitation rates are combined into eight categories, as indicated below. Partial dual-eligible members and non-citizens are ineligible for behavioral health services.

The eligible behavioral health populations are:

- Adults 65 and Older
- Individuals with Disabilities
- Low Income Adults
- Expansion Parents & Caretakers
- MAGI Adults
- Eligible Children
- Foster Care
- Breast and Cervical Cancer Prevention and Treatment Program

## Analysis of Historical Expenditure Allocations across Eligibility Categories

At the beginning of a contract cycle, behavioral health organization capitation rates were entered in the Medicaid Management Information System (MMIS). Monthly payments were paid based on eligibility categories. The MMIS provided detailed expenditures by behavioral health organization and eligibility category but did not include offline transactions and accounting adjustments. The only source that included all actual expenditure activity was the Colorado Financial Reporting System (COFRS). The drawback was the COFRS provided total expenditures, but not by eligibility category. The exception was the Breast and Cervical Cancer Treatment Program eligibility category, which was reported separately in the COFRS. Since an allocation had to be calculated to determine the amount of actual expenditures across the other eligibility categories, a ratio was calculated for each eligibility category by dividing the MMIS eligibility category expenditures (less the Breast and Cervical Cancer Treatment Program eligibility category) by the total MMIS expenditures

(less the Breast and Cervical Cancer Treatment Program eligibility category). The ratio for each category was multiplied by the total expenditures (less the Breast and Cervical Cancer Treatment Program eligibility category) from the COFRS. This calculation estimated actual COFRS expenditures across each eligibility category. Beginning July 1, 2014, the Department is using a new financial reporting tool. The Colorado Operations Resource Engine (CORE) is used in place of COFRS and the same overlay methodology is used between CORE and the MMIS.

#### Description of Methodology

The Department utilizes a capitation trend forecast model. In short, the methodology examines the trend in capitation rates across each eligibility category and applies that trend to the average per-claim, incurred expense rate. By examining the capitation rate trends directly, rather than through a per-capita methodology, future expenditures are forecasted directly through the primary cost drivers: the actuarially agreed-upon capitation rate and caseload. By tying forecasts directly to capitation rates, the methodology may provide more accurate estimates of expenditures by eligibility category, rather than simply in aggregate, as well as provide an additional window of transparency into the forecasting process by presenting a clear link between total expenditure and the rates being paid to regional accountable entities.

Additionally, the forecast utilizes an incurred but not reported methodology similar to other portions of this Request submitted by the Department (e.g., Nursing Facilities; see Section E, Exhibit H). The Department adjusts its request to capture the reality that some behavioral health claims incurred in any one fiscal year may not be paid during that same fiscal year. Similarly, some portion of expenditure in any fiscal year will be payments on claims incurred in prior fiscal years.

The following narrative describes in greater detail the assumptions and calculations used in developing the current year and out-year requests for Behavioral Health Community Programs. It should be noted that the data and values in many of the exhibits are contained and calculated in one or more other exhibits which may come before or after the exhibit being described. When this occurs, the source exhibit will be noted.

## EXHIBIT A - CALCULATION OF CURRENT TOTAL LONG BILL GROUP IMPACT

Effective with the November 2, 2009 Budget Request, in this exhibit the Department sums the total spending authority by fund source, including the Long Bill and any special bills which have appropriations that affect the Department. The total spending authority is compared to the total projected estimated current year expenditures from Exhibit B. The difference between the two figures is the Department's Supplemental Request for the current fiscal year.

Exhibit A now presents a concise summary of spending authority affecting the Behavioral Health Community Programs. In previous budget requests, the Department presented historical expenditure and caseload figures in graphical form. This information can be found in table form in Exhibit D (see below).

For the request year, the Department starts with the prior year's appropriation including special bills and adds in any required annualizations. This total is the Base Amount for the Request year. The total Base Amount is compared to the total projected estimated request year expenditure from Exhibit B. The difference between the two figures is the Department's Funding Request in the November Budget Request and the Department's Budget Amendment in the February Supplemental Budget Request.

#### **EXHIBIT B - CALCULATION OF FUND SPLITS**

Exhibit B details fund splits for all Behavioral Health Community Programs budget lines for the current fiscal year supplemental and the out-year Budget Request. For all of the capitation payments for the base traditional members, the state receives the standard Medicaid federal match with the State's share coming from General Fund. In FY 2018-19 and ongoing the federal match is 50.00%. Payments for members in the Breast and Cervical Cancer Program receive an enhanced federal match rate, which in FY 2021-22 and ongoing is 65.00% and is described separately below.

Following the declaration of a public health emergency by the Secretary of Health and Human Services during the COVID-19 pandemic, CMS notified states that an increased FMAP would be available for each calendar quarter occurring during the public health emergency, including retroactively to January 1, 2020. To be eligible to receive the 6.2 percentage point FMAP increase, states were required to adhere to a set of requirements which included, but were not limited to, maintaining eligibility standards, methodologies, and procedures; covering medical costs related to the testing, services, and treatment of COVID-19; and not terminating individuals from Medicaid if such individuals were enrolled in the Medicaid program as of the date of the beginning of the emergency period or during the emergency period. The Department was compliant with all requirements and received the enhanced federal match accordingly. The Consolidated Appropriations Act of 2023 decoupled the continuous coverage requirement and the additional federal match from the public health emergency declaration. The continuous coverage requirement and additional federal match both ended on March 31, 2023. The current 6.2 percent additional match steps down to 5.0 percent from April 2023 through June 2023, 2.5 percent from July through September 2023, and 1.5 percent from October through December 2023, after which there is no more additional match. An estimated 84% of the behavioral health expenditure qualifies for the FMAP bump, resulting in blended FMAPs. FMAP forecasts can be found in Exhibit R of the Department's R-1 Medical Services Premiums request.

Capitation expenditures are split between traditional members and expansion members. Expansion members are funded from Healthcare Affordability and Sustainability Fee funds. Finally, the reconciliation from prior years for behavioral health capitation overpayments, retractions for capitations paid for members later determined to be deceased, and system issues are also presented (see Exhibit I for reconciliation calculations).

The Department also calculates the fund splits for the fee-for-service expenditure in Exhibit BB. The make-up of the fee-for-service population is the same as the capitation program and therefore the same funding mechanisms are used for the same populations mentioned above in the fee-for-service environment (see Exhibit J and Exhibit K for fee-for-service calculations).

Medicaid Behavioral Health Fee-for-Service base traditional members also receive the standard Medicaid federal match with the State's share coming from General Fund. Similar to the populations within the capitation payments line, as of July 1, 2014, the Department is breaking out the fee-for-service expenditure by funding source according to population so that it may claim the correct federal match associated with who is obtaining services. The sum of the capitations and the fee-for-service payments comprise the Department's request.

Behavioral Health Services for Breast and Cervical Cancer Program Adults

SB 01S2-012 created the Breast and Cervical Cancer Prevention and Treatment Program. SB 05-209 and HB 08-1373 incorporated funding for the Breast and Cervical Cancer patients into the appropriation for Behavioral Health Community Programs Capitation Payments, effective with the FY 2005-06 budget. Behavioral health care for members in the Breast and Cervical Cancer Program is managed through the capitation contracts with the regional accountable entities. Therefore, the budget is based on the behavioral health caseload that includes the Breast and Cervical Cancer Program eligibility category. For this reason, they are shown as a separate eligibility category where appropriate.

Annual designations of General Fund contributions to program costs are specified in sections 25.5-5-308(9), C.R.S. (2015). Exhibit B details funds splits for the Behavioral Health Community Programs Capitations line. Excluding the FMAP bump during the emergency period, the funding for the members enrolled in the program is 35.00% cash funds from the Breast and Cervical Cancer Prevention and Treatment Fund and 65.00% federal funds. The program was reauthorized in FY 2014-15 and sunsets at the end of FY 2018-19, with the potential to extend the program through new legislation. Beginning in FY 2016-17, the Breast and Cervical Cancer Prevention and Treatment Program expanded the age of eligibility for women being screened for cervical cancer from age 39 to age 21, which impacts the caseload forecast.

Behavioral Health Services for Healthcare Affordability and Sustainability Fee Expansion Members

HB 09-1293 established a funding mechanism for a series of expansion members. The first set of expansion members that are funded through the bill was parents with income up to 100% of the Federal Poverty Limit (FPL). Services for these members were funded through the Healthcare Affordability and Sustainability Fee cash fund. Starting in FY 2011-12, additional expansion populations also received funding through the Healthcare Affordability and Sustainability Fee cash fund. These include individuals with disabilities with income limits up to 450% of the federal poverty level and MAGI Adults, both of which received services through the RAEs as part of their benefit package. Individuals with disabilities with income limits up to 450% are assumed to be similar to other members with disabilities, and expenditure for these members is therefore calculated using the same per-capita rate as other members with disabilities (see exhibit J). See exhibits E, G, I, and J for more detailed explanations of these assumptions.

Behavioral Health Services for Expansion Populations in SB 11-008 and SB 11-250

In addition to the FMAP bump during the emergency period, the former CHP+ populations that transferred to Medicaid with SB 11-008 (Eligible Children) and SB 11-250 (Eligible Pregnant Adults) receives the enhanced CHP+ FMAP of approximately 65.00%; the enhanced FMAP is expected to be 67.17% in FY 2021-22 and 65% afterwards per the HEALTHY KIDS Act.

Behavioral Health Services for Expansion populations in SB 13-200

SB 13-200, "Expanding Medicaid Eligibility in Colorado," extends Medicaid eligibility to up to 133% of the FPL parents of Medicaid eligible children and MAGI Adults, effective January 1, 2014. The Department assumes that federal match rates will apply to each new population as follows: Parents from 60% to 68% FPL will receive the standard Medicaid match rate, with the state share coming from Healthcare Affordability and Sustainability Fee cash fund. Parents from 69% - 133% FPL and newly eligible MAGI Adults will receive the expansion federal match rate. And adults up to 60% FPL will continue to receive the standard Medicaid match. The Department also estimates that the non-newly eligible MAGI Adult population is 81.13%; Because some of these members may have been eligible prior to the expansion, the Department is unable to claim the expansion federal match. Therefore, the Department estimates that it can claim the expansion match on 75% percent of the population and the standard match on the other 25%. Beginning January 1, 2017, all expansion populations began a stepdown in federal matching. The current and ongoing match rate is 90.00%.

#### EXHIBIT C - BEHAVIORAL HEALTH COMMUNITY PROGRAMS SUMMARY

Exhibit C presents a summary of behavioral health caseload and capitation expenditures itemized by eligibility category as well as a summary of the rest of the Behavioral Health Community Programs. The net capitation payments include the impacts of actions with perpetual effect, such as caseload driven impacts such as the various reconciliations and retractions for members determined to be ineligible. Exhibit E illustrates the build to the final expenditure estimates presented in this exhibit.

#### EXHIBIT D - BEHAVIORAL HEALTH CASELOAD, PER CAPITA, AND EXPENDITURE HISTORY

Exhibit D contains the caseload, per-capita, and expenditure history for each of the 13 eligibility categories. Each of the tables that comprise Exhibit D is described below.

Behavioral Health Community Programs Caseload

Behavioral Health Community Programs caseload is displayed in this exhibit. The table displays caseload by all behavioral health eligibility categories that make up the eight rate cells. Figures for fiscal years up to the present fiscal year are actual caseloads, while the current fiscal year and the request year caseloads are estimates. The behavioral health caseload excludes the caseload for partial dual eligible members and non-citizens and ties to the caseload presented in the Request for Medical Services Premiums, Section E, Exhibit B. Please see the Medicaid Caseload section of the Medical Services Premiums narrative for further discussion of Medicaid caseload projections. The caseload numbers are used in numerous exhibits throughout the Behavioral Health Community Programs exhibits and narrative.

Behavioral Health Community Programs Per-Capita Historical Summary

Behavioral Health Community Programs per-capita is displayed in this exhibit. The table displays per-capita for all behavioral health eligibility categories. Figures for fiscal years up to the present fiscal year are actual per-capita, while the current fiscal year and the request year per-capita are estimates.

Behavioral Health Community Programs Expenditures Historical Summary

The history of expenditures includes combined category and expanded category tables as well as total expenditures for both capitation and fee-for-service expenditures. For fee-for-service expenditure, service categories are listed separately.

Actual expenditures are only available from the Colorado Operations Resource Engine (CORE). Expenditures by eligibility category are not available from the CORE. The Medicaid Management Information System (MMIS) does provide expenditures by eligibility category but does not include offline transactions and accounting adjustments. The two systems typically have minor discrepancies in reported expenditure, often due to accounting adjustments made to the CORE as fiscal periods close. Because the variance is minor, data from the MMIS can be used to distribute total expenditures from the CORE across eligibility categories.

A ratio is calculated for each eligibility category by dividing the MMIS eligibility category expenditures by the total MMIS expenditures. The ratio is multiplied by the total expenditures from the CORE. This calculation estimates actual CORE expenditures across each eligibility category. Once the overall expenditures by eligibility category are determined, they may be divided by the actual average monthly caseload for each eligibility category to determine the actual per capita for each eligibility category.

#### EXHIBIT E - ESTIMATE AND REQUEST BY ELIGIBILITY CATEGORY

Exhibit E provides capitation expenditure calculations for the current fiscal year and the request year.

The Department has adopted a methodology based on forecasting a capitation rate, multiplying that rate by monthly caseload, multiplying again by the number of months that the forecasted rate will be in effect, and then adjusting for incurred claims that will be paid in subsequent years as well as for claims from former years that will be paid in the year of the request. The methodology is a zero-based budget tool that allows the Department to examine projected expenditures each year without building in inappropriate assumptions, estimates, or calculations from preceding years.

The forecasted capitation rate is derived from Exhibits F through H and will be presented in more detail below. The caseload is the same as presented in the Department's budget request for Medical Services Premiums Exhibit B (excluding partial dual eligible members and non-citizens, as discussed above).

In order to adjust the calculations for cash accounting, the Department makes two adjustments to the calculation: first, the Department subtracts the incurred amount estimated to be paid in subsequent periods; then, the Department adds the claims incurred in prior periods expected to be paid in the forecast period. These adjustments transform the estimated incurred expenditure to a cash-based figure. The basis for these adjustments is described in this narrative below and is shown in Exhibit E.

After calculating total expenditure, the anticipated date-of-death retractions for each fiscal year are estimated and added to total expenditure. The Department began an aggressive retraction of payments for deceased members in FY 2009-10; this activity resulted in the retraction of payments originally made between FY 2004-05 and FY 2008-09 and reduced prior period dates of service expenditure. The Department is continuing to identify these claims and retracts payments twice a year. For the current year and the request year, the retractions are projected to be consistent with retractions in FY 2022-23.

#### Incurred-but-not-Reported Estimates

In order to estimate the necessary adjustments to convert the projection to a cash basis, the Department estimates monthly incurred-but-not-reported (IBNR) adjustments based on historical data. Monthly adjustments are required because, for example, claims incurred in July of the current fiscal year have 11 more months of the fiscal year in which the claims can be paid; however, claims incurred in June of the fiscal year only have the remainder of that month in which to be paid before the payment becomes part of the next fiscal year's expenditure.

The Department examined historical data from the last five fiscal years and determined the prior fiscal years would provide a representative model for the likelihood of claims being paid in the year in which they are incurred. This exhibit presents the percentage of claims paid in a six-month period that come from that same period and those which come from previous periods. The previous four years of expenditure experience were examined, and the average was applied to the forecast.

In Exhibit E, the Department calculates the estimated outstanding expenditure from claims remaining from previous period by aid category. The sums are then carried forward to the calculations in this exhibit.

#### Actuarially Certified Capitation Rates

Capitated rates for the regional accountable entities are required to be actuarially certified and approved by CMS. Thus, actuarially certified rate increases could reasonably be expected to be good predictors of future costs. As such, the Department used trends on the historically certified capitation rates to derive the capitation rate presented in Exhibit E. The methodology for determining the forecasted capitation rate is the subject of Exhibits F through H.

#### EXHIBIT F - BEHAVIORAL HEALTH RAE ENROLLMENT ADJUSTMENT

Historically, the Department would forecast RAE enrollment using the Medicaid caseload forecast minus select populations that are not eligible for behavioral health services. RAE enrollment was then multiplied by the weighted rates to estimate the total capitation expenditure. Recently the Department adjusted this estimate to account for the fact the members enrolled in the Program for All-Inclusive Care for the Elderly (PACE) do not receive a standard Behavioral Health Capitation and there is frequently a one-month lag between enrollment in Medicaid and enrollment in a RAE. However, there appear to be additional groups that did not receive a Behavioral Health capitation.

In this exhibit, the Department adjusts the rates to account for members who are spread across eligibility groups that typically receive a Behavioral Health capitation, but do not receive one because they are enrolled in PACE, live out-of-state, or are incarcerated. Historically, these groups account for most of the discrepancies between Medicaid enrollment and the number of Behavioral Health capitations paid.

#### EXHIBIT G - BEHAVIORAL HEALTH CAPITATION RATE TRENDS AND FORECASTS

As presented above, the expenditure forecast was derived by examining the trend on the capitation rate and then applying that trend to the monthly cost per client (i.e., the claims-based rate). For the purpose of trend analysis, the weighted capitation rate (weighted by the proportion of total claims within an eligibility category covered by an individual behavioral health organization) was examined. Exhibit G presents historical data as well as the forecasted weighted rates.

The weighted rate is presented along with the percentage change from the previous fiscal year. The multiple forecast trend models and the criteria for selecting the forecasted capitation rate point estimate are presented in Exhibit HH.

Based on the Department's calculations and rate-setting process and input from the regional accountable entities, the Department's actuaries certify a capitation rate for each RAE and eligibility type as the rate point estimate for each fiscal year.

It is important to note the overall weighted rate point estimate presented in the exhibit is weighted across two factors. First, the rate is weighted within an eligibility category (that is, weighted by the regional accountable entities' proportion of claims processed within that eligibility category). Second, that rate is then weighted across all eligibility categories (with the weight derived from the total number of claims processed within an eligibility category as a percentage of total claims processed across all eligibility categories). Because caseload can be increasing or decreasing independently of any

one capitation rate, the Weighted Behavioral Health Total rate may not be a clear indicator of the rate trends across all eligibility categories.

Exhibit G presents the weighted point estimate rates, and the trend of those rates is used for forecasting. The weighted point estimates differ from paid rates, which can change within the upper and lower bounds of the established rate range in response to new rate-setting processes and budget reduction measures. The paid rates, which are discussed below, are not presented in Exhibit G in order to allow for comparison across years and so as to not artificially inflate or deflate the rate trend and bias the estimated rate in future years.

#### **EXHIBIT H - FORECAST MODEL COMPARISONS**

Exhibit H produces the final capitation rate estimates that are used as the source of the expenditure calculations provided in Exhibit EE. This exhibit presents the final rate estimates in their entirety. The final rate estimates are a product of model selection (discussed below) and the necessary adjustments as presented in Exhibit F.

A series of forecast models are presented for each eligibility category. From the models or from historical changes, a point estimate is selected. Based on the point estimates, the adjustments presented in Exhibit F are then applied, and the final, adjusted point estimate is then used in the expenditure calculations of Exhibit E.

#### **Capitation Trend Models**

The forecasted capitation rates are the result of a point estimate selection from among several forecast trend models and historical information. These models are presented in Exhibit H and historical midpoint rates are presented in Exhibit GG.

For each eligibility category, four different trend model forecasts were performed: an average growth model, a constant growth model, a two-period moving average model, an exponential growth model, and a linear growth model. The average growth model examines the rate of change in the capitation rate and applies the average rate of change to the forecast period. The constant growth model assumes the most recent growth in rates will be duplicated in the coming years. The two-period moving average model projects the forecast period will see a change in the capitation rate that is the average of the last two changes in the capitation rate. The exponential growth model assumes the capitation rate is increasing faster as time moves forward (a best-fit exponential equation is applied to the historical data and trended into the future). The linear growth model is a regression model on time, fitting a linear equation line to the historical data and forecasting that line into the future. Each model in the exhibit also shows what the percent change would be

from the prior period. The Department's decisions for trend factors are informed, in part, by preliminary calculations from the actual rate setting process. Because those calculations remain preliminary, the Department does not explicitly use them in estimating trend factors.

Capitation rates are required to be actuarially sound and are built from a blend of historical rates and recent year encounter data (provider expenditure on services). The trend models, as presented in this exhibit, are an attempt to predict the final outcome of this rate setting process. The Department has used the trend models to establish a range of reasonable rate values and has selected trends by considering the various factors that impact the respective eligibility populations as well as the impact that encounter data will have on the rate setting process. As such, the Department believes the most recent years' experience is the most predictive of the likely current year and future year experiences.

#### **EXHIBIT I - RECONCILIATIONS**

Capitation payments are made monthly throughout the year in the Medicaid Management Information System (MMIS). When members are determined to be eligible for benefits retroactively, retroactive capitation payments are made to the regional accountable entities through the MMIS. When members are determined to be ineligible for Medicaid benefits retroactively, recoupment of the capitation payments is completed separately. When known, this exhibit also shows the impact of the reconciliation process surrounding all populations.

Since FY 2018-19, the Department has paid incentive payments to the contracted behavioral health providers based on service performance and quality metrics of up to 5% of the total capitation expenditure paid from the previous fiscal year's services.

There was a risk corridor placed on the FY 2022-23 rates due to the uncertainty of the rate estimates. The risk corridor allows the risk of not setting an accurate rate to be split between the Department and the RAEs. Depending on how far off the rate is from the actual encounter-based rate, the Department may recoup money if the rates were set too high or pay out additional money if the rates were set too low. Exhibit I summarizes the expected fiscal impacts. The Substance Use Disorder benefit was implemented in January 2021, but the utilization has ramp up has been slower than anticipated.

#### **EXHIBIT J - ALTERNATIVE FINANCING POPULATIONS**

Exhibit J is a stand-alone exhibit designed to show the effect of the Colorado Health Care Affordability Act (HB 09-1293), Aligning Medicaid Eligibility for Children (SB 11-008), Eligibility for Pregnant Women in Medicaid (SB 11-250), and

Expanding Medicaid Eligibility in Colorado (SB 13-200) to the Behavioral Health Community Programs fund splits. This exhibit presents projected caseload and costs itemized by eligibility category for the current year and the request year. The exhibit also separates out the funding source and the calculation of federal match associated with each category. Note the caseloads shown are the average monthly number over each year and will fluctuate throughout the year.

Healthcare Affordability and Sustainability Fee Fund HB 09-1293, the "Colorado Health Care Affordability Act" provided funding to provide health care coverage for uninsured Coloradans in FY 2009-10 and beyond. The Department began collecting fees from hospitals in April 2010 for the Hospital Provider Fee cash fund and started extending benefits to expansion members in May 2010. In SB 17-267, The Hospital Provider Fee was changed to the Healthcare Affordability and Sustainability Fee Fund which provides for the costs of the following populations that impact the Behavioral Health budget:

#### MAGI Parents/Caretakers 60% to 68% FPL

Historically, clients who fell under the Expansion Parents to 133% FPL eligibility category (any client over 60% FPL) were considered expansion clients and the State's share of funding was provided through the Hospital Provider Fee Fund. The MAGI conversion has resulted in some clients with over 60% FPL falling into the MAGI Parents/Caretakers 60% to 68% FPL category. The State share of funding for these clients comes from the HAS Fee Fund, effective July 1, 2017, in compliance with statute.

The Department uses caseload figures from R-1 Medical Service Premiums, Exhibit J, and per capita costs for Low Income Adults in Exhibit D to forecast the total costs for this population.

#### MAGI Parents/Caretakers 69% to 133% FPL

The Health Care Expansion Fund originally provided funding for parents of children enrolled in Medicaid from approximately 24% to at least 60% of the federal poverty level. This expansion population receives standard Medicaid benefits. SB 13-200 extended this eligibility through 133% FPL, effective July 1, 2013; the Hospital Provider Fee Fund had funded this population up to 100% FPL in the interim before the Affordable Care Act's 100% enhanced federal match began and the population expanded to 133% FPL on January 1, 2014. On January 1, 2018, it fell to 94%. Then on January 1, 2019, it fell to 93%, and on January 1, 2020 it falls to 90%, where it will remain. Effective July 1, 2017, this population is financed with the HAS Fee for the State share of expenditure.

The Department uses caseload figures from R-1 Medical Service Premiums, Exhibit J, and per capita costs for Expansion Parents & Caretakers in Exhibit D to forecast the total costs for this population.

#### MAGI Adults

With the advent of SB 13-200, effective July 1, 2013, MAGI Adults are covered up to 133% FPL as of January 1, 2014. Similar to MAGI Parents/Caretakers 69% to 133% FPL, the Hospital Provider Fee Fund had funded this population in the interim before the population expanded and the enhanced federal match began on January 1, 2014. On January 1, 2018, it fell to 94%. Then on January 1, 2019 if fell to 93% and it will fall to 90% on January 1, 2020, where it will remain. Effective July 1, 2017, the State share of expenditure for this population is financed with the HAS Fee.

The Department uses caseload figures from R-1 Medical Service Premiums, Exhibit J, and per capita costs for MAGI Adults in Exhibit D to forecast the total costs for this population.

#### Non-Newly Eligible

Medicaid expansion clients who were eligible for Medicaid prior to 2009 are not eligible for the enhanced expansion federal medical assistance percentage (FMAP) that began January 1, 2014. Clients who may be eligible for Medicaid through Home- and Community-Based Services waivers due to a disability are required to provide asset information to be determined eligible for Medicaid waiver services. With Medicaid expansion, clients who may have been eligible but did not provide asset information can still be eligible under different eligibility categories, such as MAGI Adults. It is difficult for the State to prove whether these clients would have been eligible for Medicaid services prior to 2009, had they provided their asset information at that time. For this reason, some clients under expansion categories are not eligible for the full enhanced expansion FMAP. Instead, with the approval of a resource proxy for the non-newly eligible, 75% of expenditure receives expansion FMAP while the remaining 25% receives the standard FMAP, funded from the HAS Fee Fund. The Department has incorporated the resource proxy in this request.

The Department uses caseload figures from R-1 Medical Service Premiums, Exhibit J, and per capita costs for MAGI Adults in Exhibit D to forecast the total costs for this population.

#### Buy-In for Disabled Individuals

This expansion allows for individuals with disabilities with income up to 450% of the federal poverty level to pay premiums to purchase Medicaid benefits. Eligibility for the working adults with disabilities with income up to 450% of the FPL began in March 2012, with eligibility to children with disabilities with income up to 300% of the FPL following in June 2012. The Department does not have an implementation timeframe for non-working adults with disabilities at this time.

The Department uses caseload figures from R-1 Medical Service Premiums, Exhibit J, and per capita costs for Disabled Individuals in Exhibit D to forecast the total costs for this population.

#### Continuous Eligibility for Children

HB 09-1293, the Colorado Health Care Affordability Act of 2009, established continuous eligibility for twelve months for children on Medicaid, even if the family experiences an income change during any given year, contingent on available funding. The Department implemented continuous eligibility for children in March 2014 and has the authority to use the HAS Fee Cash Fund to fund the state share of continuous eligibility for Medicaid children. Because this population is not an expansion population, it receives standard FMAP. Previously, the Department showed this adjustment in funding as a General Fund offset under Cash Funds Financing.

The Department uses caseload figures from R-1 Medical Service Premiums, Exhibit J, and per capita costs for Eligible Children in Exhibit D to forecast the total costs for this population.

Aligning Medicaid Eligibility for Children and Eligibility for Pregnant Women in Medicaid

SB 11-008, "Aligning Medicaid Eligibility for Children," extended Medicaid eligibility to up to 133% of the FPL for all children under the age of 19. Formerly, the eligibility limit for children ages six through 18 was 100% of the FPL and 133% of the FPL for children five and under. The bill shifted impacted children from the CHP+ to Medicaid beginning January 1, 2013. As with most of the Healthcare Affordability and Sustainability Fee populations, the Department assumed the per-capita costs for this expansion population would be the same as for the traditional population since the majority of behavioral health expenditure is paid through the capitation program.

SB 11-250, "Eligibility for Pregnant Women in Medicaid," extended Medicaid eligibility from 133% to 185% of the FPL for all pregnant women. This bill shifted impacted women from CHP+ Medicaid on January 1, 2013. The Department assumes the expenditure for these women will continue to have per-capita costs that will be the same as for the traditional population.

#### EXHIBIT K - MEDICAID BEHAVIORAL HEALTH FEE-FOR-SERVICE PAYMENTS

Medicaid Behavioral Health Fee-for-Service Payments is a separate budget line item in Behavioral Health Community Programs. Expenditures for this line are calculated in Exhibit K. The data from Exhibit K also appear in Exhibit B, where the fund splits relating to the fee-for-service payments are calculated.

The Medicaid Behavioral Health Fee-for-Service Payments appropriation allows Medicaid members not enrolled in a regional accountable entity to receive behavioral health services or enrolled Medicaid members to receive behavioral health services not covered by the regional accountable entities. The services are not covered either because the client

is not enrolled in a regional accountable entity regional accountable entity or the services are outside the scope of the regional accountable entity contract. Medicare crossover claims are included in the fee-for-service category; these are regional accountable entity regional accountable entity covered services for members enrolled in a regional accountable entity who are eligible for both Medicare and Medicaid.

Fee-for-service providers include, but are not limited to hospitals, psychiatrists, psychologists, primary care physicians, and behavioral health centers. The State also reimburses providers through fee-for-service if either the diagnosis or the procedure is not included in the RAE contract or the patient is not enrolled in a RAE.

#### EXHIBIT L - GLOBAL REASONABLENESS TEST FOR BEHAVIORAL HEALTH CAPITATION PAYMENTS

The Global Reasonableness Test presented in Exhibit L compares the percent change between behavioral health capitation expenditures as reported in Exhibit D and forecasted in Exhibit E.