



COLORADO

**Department of Health Care
Policy & Financing**

**MY 2023 HEDIS® Compliance Audit Report
Rocky Mountain Health Plans
Medicaid Prime**

September 2024

*This report was produced by Health Services Advisory Group, Inc., for the
Colorado Department of Health Care Policy & Financing.*





Table of Contents

Validation of Performance Measures.....	1
Executive Summary	1
Background	2
Performance Measure List.....	2
Technical Methods of Analysis.....	5
Validation Findings of Audit Process	7
Rocky Mountain Health Plans Medicaid Prime’s Compliance With IS Standards	8
Appendix A. Information Systems Standards.....	A-1
Appendix B. Audit Results and Rates	B-1

Executive Summary

The Colorado State Medicaid agency, the Department of Health Care Policy & Financing (the Department) contracts with Health Services Advisory Group, Inc. (HSAG), to perform the four mandatory external quality review (EQR) activities required by the Medicaid managed care regulations released May 6, 2016. Validation of the performance measures calculated and submitted by each managed care organization (MCO) is one of these mandatory activities as articulated in 42 Code of Federal Regulations (CFR) §438.358. The Department has contracted with HSAG, an external quality review organization (EQRO), to conduct the validation of performance measures for Rocky Mountain Health Plans Medicaid Prime (RMHP Prime), an MCO, based on measurement year (MY) 2023 data.

The Department opted to use selected National Committee for Quality Assurance (NCQA) Healthcare Effectiveness Data and Information Set (HEDIS®)¹ measures as the performance measures and calendar year 2023 as the measurement period for validation. Developed and maintained by NCQA, HEDIS is a set of performance measures broadly accepted in the managed care environment as an industry standard. Because RMHP Prime is required to calculate and submit the Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS) Core Set performance measures and undergo an NCQA HEDIS Compliance Audit™,² HSAG validated the results from the audits to meet the requirements articulated in the Medicaid managed care regulations. More specifically, HSAG's role in the validation of performance measures was to ensure that the validation activities were conducted as outlined in the CMS publication, *CMS External Quality Review (EQR) Protocols*, February 2023.³

The primary objectives of the performance measure validation process were to:

- Evaluate the accuracy of the performance measure data collected by RMHP Prime.
- Determine the extent to which the specific performance measures calculated by RMHP Prime (or on behalf of RMHP Prime) followed the specifications established for each performance measure.

For the current reporting period, RMHP Prime's information systems and processes were found adequate to meet NCQA's information systems (IS) standards and the HEDIS determination reporting requirements.

¹ HEDIS® is a registered trademark of the National Committee for Quality Assurance (NCQA).

² NCQA HEDIS Compliance Audit™ is a trademark of NCQA. The purpose of conducting a HEDIS audit is to ensure that rates submitted by RMHP Prime are reliable, valid, accurate, and can be compared to one another. For a brief overview of the NCQA HEDIS Compliance Audit, please refer to Appendix A.

³ Department of Health and Human Services, Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services. *External Quality Review (EQR) Protocols*, February 2023. Available at: <https://www.medicaid.gov/medicaid/quality-of-care/downloads/2023-eqr-protocols.pdf>. Accessed on: Aug 20, 2024.

Background

RMHP Prime underwent an NCQA HEDIS Compliance Audit through an NCQA-licensed audit organization of its choice and submitted the audited results and audit statement to HSAG. Since the audit was conducted in compliance with NCQA’s *HEDIS Measurement Year (MY) 2023 Compliance Audit: Standards, Policies, and Procedures, Volume 5* and the NCQA HEDIS Compliance Audit is consistent with the CMS Performance Measure Validation Protocol, the findings, and results from the NCQA HEDIS Compliance Audit can be reviewed, validated, and eventually accepted as findings for the validation of performance measures to meet the managed care requirements.

Performance Measure List

The NCQA-licensed audit organizations validated, at a minimum, a set of performance measures selected by the Department. The measures, which are listed in Table 1, are CMS Core Set measures that follow the definitions outlined in CMS’ *Core Set of Adult/Child Health Care Quality Measures for Medicaid Reporting Manual*, and the reporting method required by the Department.

Table 1—Health First Colorado MY 2023 Performance Measure Reporting Set⁴

Performance Measures	Reporting Methodology
<i>Avoidance of Antibiotic Treatment for Acute Bronchitis/Bronchiolitis—Ages 3 Months to 17 Years, Ages 18 to 64 Years, and Ages 65 Years and Older</i>	Administrative
<i>Antidepressant Medication Management—Effective Acute Phase Treatment and Effective Continuation Phase Treatment</i>	Administrative
<i>Asthma Medication Ratio—Ages 5 to 11 Years, Ages 12 to 18 Years, Ages 19 to 50 Years, and Ages 51 to 64 Years</i>	Administrative
<i>Breast Cancer Screening—Ages 52 to 64 Years and Ages 65 to 74 Years</i>	Administrative
<i>Controlling High Blood Pressure</i>	Administrative
<i>Contraceptive Care—Postpartum Women—Ages 15 to 20 Years and Ages 21 to 44 Years</i>	Administrative
<i>Cervical Cancer Screening</i>	Administrative
<i>Contraceptive Care—All Women—Ages 15 to 20 Years and Ages 21 to 44 Years</i>	Administrative
<i>Screening for Depression and Follow-Up Plan—Ages 12 to 17 Years, Ages 18 to 64 Years, and Ages 65 Years and Older</i>	Administrative
<i>Chlamydia Screening in Women—Ages 16 to 20 Years and Ages 21 to 24 Years</i>	Administrative

⁴ In Colorado, Medicaid is known as Health First Colorado (Colorado’s Medicaid Program).

Performance Measures	Reporting Methodology
<i>Concurrent Use of Opioids and Benzodiazepines</i>	Administrative
<i>Colorectal Cancer Screening</i>	Administrative
<i>Follow-Up After Emergency Department Visit for Substance Use—Ages 13 to 17 Years, Ages 18 to 64 Years, and Ages 65 Years and Older</i>	Administrative
<i>Follow-Up After Hospitalization for Mental Illness—Ages 6 to 17 Years, Ages 18 to 64 Years, and Ages 65 Years and Older</i>	Administrative
<i>Follow-Up After Emergency Department Visit for Mental Illness—7-Day Follow-Up and 30-Day Follow-Up—Ages 6 to 17 Years, Ages 18 to 64 Years, and Ages 65 Years and Older</i>	Administrative
<i>Hemoglobin A1c Control for Patients With Diabetes</i>	Administrative
<i>Diabetes Care for People With Serious Mental Illness—HbA1c Poor Control (>9.0%)</i>	Administrative
<i>Human Immunodeficiency Virus (HIV) Viral Load Suppression</i>	Administrative
<i>Initiation and Engagement of Substance Use Disorder (SUD) Treatment</i>	Administrative
<i>Use of Opioids at High Dosage in Persons Without Cancer</i>	Administrative
<i>Use of Pharmacotherapy for Opioid Use Disorder</i>	Administrative
<i>Plan All-Cause Readmissions</i>	Administrative
<i>Prenatal and Postpartum Care—Timeliness of Prenatal Care and Postpartum Care</i>	Administrative
<i>Diabetes Short-Term Complications Admission Rate</i>	Administrative
<i>Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Disease (COPD) or Asthma in Older Adults Admission Rate</i>	Administrative
<i>Heart Failure Admission Rate</i>	Administrative
<i>Asthma in Younger Adults Admission Rate</i>	Administrative
<i>Adherence to Antipsychotic Medications for Individuals With Schizophrenia</i>	Administrative
<i>Diabetes Screening for People With Schizophrenia or Bipolar Disorder Who Are Using Antipsychotic Medications</i>	Administrative
<i>Follow-Up Care for Children Prescribed Attention-Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder (ADHD) Medication—Initiation Phase and Continuation and Maintenance Phase</i>	Administrative
<i>Ambulatory Care—Emergency Department (ED) Visits</i>	Administrative
<i>Metabolic Monitoring for Children and Adolescents on Antipsychotics</i>	Administrative

Performance Measures	Reporting Methodology
<i>Use of First-Line Psychosocial Care for Children and Adolescents on Antipsychotics</i>	Administrative
<i>Childhood Immunization Status—Combinations 3, 7, and 10</i>	Administrative
<i>Developmental Screening in the First Three Years of Life</i>	Administrative
<i>Immunizations for Adolescents—Combination 1 (Meningococcal, Tdap) and Combination 2 (Meningococcal, Tdap, HPV)</i>	Administrative
<i>Lead Screening in Children</i>	Administrative
<i>Well-Child Visits in the First 30 Months of Life—Well-Child Visits in the First 15 Months—Six or More Well-Child Visits and Well-Child Visits for Age 15 Months—30 Months—Two or More Well-Child Visits</i>	Administrative
<i>Weight Assessment and Counseling for Nutrition and Physical Activity for Children/Adolescents—Body Mass Index (BMI) Percentile, Counseling for Nutrition, and Counseling for Physical Activity</i>	Administrative
<i>Child and Adolescent Well-Care Visits</i>	Administrative

Technical Methods of Analysis

The CMS Performance Measure Validation Protocol identifies key types of data that should be reviewed. As part of the validation process, HSAG aggregated several sources of HEDIS-related data to determine if the licensed organizations’ (LOs’) audit process met CMS requirements.

This performance measure validation report uses two primary sources—NCQA’s Interactive Data Submission System (IDSS) data output reports and the final audit reports (FARs)—to tabulate overall HEDIS reporting capabilities and functions for RMHP Prime. The IDSS contained the final HEDIS rates that were verified, reviewed, and locked by the LOs. The auditor-locking mechanism in the IDSS tool ensured that no information could be changed without the consent of NCQA and the auditor. The IDSS review process allowed the LOs to assess the reasonability of the rates submitted by RMHP Prime.

The following is a table identifying the key audit steps required by NCQA for the LO to conduct NCQA HEDIS Compliance Audits. The table also lists HSAG’s approach in validating the LO’s audit.

Table 2—Description of Data Sources Reviewed

Key Steps According to NCQA’s HEDIS Compliance Audit	HSAG’s Approach on Validating the LO’s Audit Results
Initial Visit/Meeting —The initial conference call or meeting between the LOs and RMHP Prime staff.	HSAG verified that key HEDIS topics such as timelines and virtual review dates were addressed by the LOs.
Roadmap Review —This review provided the LOs with background information on policies, processes, and data in preparation for virtual review validation activities. RMHP Prime was required to complete the Roadmap to provide the audit team with the necessary information to begin review activities.	HSAG looked for evidence in the final report that the LOs conducted a thorough review of all components of the Roadmap.
Source Code Review —Source code review is used to determine compliance with the performance measure definitions, including accurate numerator and denominator identification, sampling, and algorithmic compliance (to determine if rate calculations were performed correctly, medical record and administrative data were combined appropriately, and numerator events were counted accurately). This process is not necessary if RMHP Prime uses a vendor who participates in the NCQA Measure Certification ⁵ process.	If the MCO used a software vendor to produce HEDIS rates, HSAG used the FAR and measure certification letter to assess whether or not the software vendor achieved full measure certification status by NCQA for the reported HEDIS measures. HSAG ensured that the LOs reviewed the programming language for calculating the HEDIS measures if such a vendor was not used. The Department required RMHP Prime to report the HEDIS and non-HEDIS measures; however, they were not required to be audited. Therefore, source code review for the non-HEDIS measures was not conducted by the MCO’s LO.

⁵ NCQA Measure CertificationSM is a service mark of the National Committee for Quality Assurance (NCQA).

Key Steps According to NCQA’s HEDIS Compliance Audit	HSAG’s Approach on Validating the LO’s Audit Results
<p>Consumer Assessment of Healthcare Providers and Systems (CAHPS®)⁶ Survey Vendor and Sample Frame Validation—A certified survey vendor must be used if RMHP Prime performed a CAHPS survey as part of HEDIS reporting.</p>	<p>HSAG verified that the LO performed detailed validations on the CAHPS Sample Frame if RMHP Prime performed a CAHPS survey as part of HEDIS reporting. If RMHP Prime used a survey vendor to perform the CAHPS surveys, HSAG verified that an NCQA-Certified survey vendor was used.</p>
<p>Supplemental Data Validation—If RMHP Prime used any supplemental data for reporting, the LO was to validate the supplemental data according to NCQA’s guideline.</p>	<p>HSAG verified whether the LO was following the NCQA-required approach while validating the supplemental databases.</p>
<p>Convenience Sample Validation—The auditor reviews a small number of processed medical records to uncover potential problems in the process that may require corrective action early in the medical record review (MRR) process. A convenience sample must be prepared unless the auditor determines that the MCO is exempt. NCQA allows organizations to be exempt from the convenience sample if they participated in a HEDIS audit the previous year and passed MRR validation, and if the current MRR process has not changed significantly from the previous year and the organization does not report hybrid measures that the auditor determines to be at risk of inaccurate reporting.</p>	<p>HSAG did not review this step since the State requires administrative rates only.</p>
<p>Medical Record Review Validation (MRRV)—The LOs are required to perform a more extensive validation of medical records reviewed, which is conducted late in the abstraction process. This validation ensures that the review process was executed as planned and that the results are accurate.</p>	<p>HSAG did not review this step since the State requires administrative rates only.</p>
<p>IDSS Review—RMHP Prime is required to complete NCQA’s IDSS for the submission of audited rates to NCQA. The auditor finalizes the IDSS by completing the audit review and entering an audit result. This process verifies that the auditor validated all activities that culminated in a rate by RMHP Prime. The auditor locks the IDSS so that no information can be changed.</p>	<p>HSAG verified that the LOs completed the IDSS review process.</p>

⁶ CAHPS® is a registered trademark of the Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality (AHRQ).

Validation Findings of Audit Process

Table 3 identifies the key elements used by RMHP Prime’s LO while conducting its MY 2023 NCQA HEDIS Compliance Audit. These key elements were reviewed by HSAG during validation activities. As presented in Table 3, a checkmark indicates that the LO reviewed the HEDIS activities, which confirmed that HEDIS methodology was being followed. Some activities are identified as being compliant by inserting the name of the company RMHP Prime contracted with to perform the required tasks.

Table 3—Validation Activities for RMHP Prime

Licensed Organization	DTS Group
Initial Visit Call/Meeting	✓
Roadmap Review	✓
Software Vendor	Inovalon, Inc.
Source Code/Certified Measure Review	✓
Survey Vendor	Press Ganey/SPH Analytics
CAHPS Sample Frame Validation	✓
Supplemental Data Validation	✓
Medical Record Review	✓
IDSS Review	✓

Table 3 indicates that the audit conducted for RMHP Prime included all of the listed validation activities. HSAG also determined that the data collected and reported for the Department-selected measures followed NCQA HEDIS methodology. Therefore, any rates and audit results are determined to be valid, reliable, and accurate.

Rocky Mountain Health Plans Medicaid Prime’s Compliance With IS Standards

In addition to ensuring that data were captured, reported, and presented in a uniform manner, HSAG evaluated RMHP Prime’s IS capabilities for accurate HEDIS reporting. HSAG reviewed RMHP Prime’s FAR for its LO’s assessments of IS capabilities, specifically focused on those aspects of RMHP Prime’s systems that could have impacted the HEDIS Medicaid reporting set.

For the purpose of HEDIS compliance auditing, the terms “information system” and “IS” are used broadly to include the computer and software environment, data collection procedures, and abstraction of medical records for hybrid measures. The IS evaluation includes a review of any manual processes that may have been used for HEDIS reporting as well. The LO determined if RMHP Prime had the automated systems, information management practices, processing environment, and control procedures to capture, access, translate, analyze, and report each HEDIS measure.

In accordance with NCQA’s *HEDIS MY 2023 Compliance Audit: Standards, Policies, and Procedures, Volume 5*, the LO evaluated IS compliance with NCQA’s IS standards. These standards detail the minimum requirements RMHP Prime’s IS systems should meet, as well as criteria that any manual processes used to report HEDIS information must meet. For circumstances in which a particular IS standard was not met, the LO rated the impact on HEDIS reporting capabilities and, particularly, any measure that could be impacted. RMHP Prime may not be fully compliant with many of the IS standards but may still be able to report the selected measures.

For the current reporting period, RMHP Prime’s information systems and processes were found adequate to meet NCQA’s IS standards and the HEDIS determination reporting requirements. The section that follows provides a summary of RMHP Prime’s key findings for each IS standard as noted in its FAR. A more in-depth explanation of NCQA’s IS standards is provided in Appendix A of this report.

Table 4—Summary of RMHP Prime’s Compliance With IS Standards

NCQA’s IS Standards	IS Standards Compliance Findings Based on HSAG’s Review of the HEDIS MY 2023 FAR
<p>IS A—Administrative Data</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Data conform with industry standards and measure requirements. • Data are complete and accurate. • Membership information system enables measurement. Data completeness is continually assessed, and all contracted vendors involved in medical claims processing are monitored. 	<p>The auditor determined RMHP Prime was compliant with IS Standard A for administrative data.</p> <p>The auditor determined that RMHP Prime only accepted industry standard codes on industry standard forms. The auditor determined that RMHP Prime had policies and procedures in place for submitted enrollment and provider data. Data elements required for reporting were captured. Adequate validation processes were in place, ensuring data accuracy.</p> <p>All data elements required for HEDIS reporting were adequately captured.</p>

NCQA’s IS Standards	IS Standards Compliance Findings Based on HSAG’s Review of the HEDIS MY 2023 FAR
<p>IS M—Medical Record Review Processes</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Forms capture all fields relevant to measure reporting. Electronic transmission procedures conform to industry standards and have necessary checking procedures to ensure data accuracy (logs, counts, receipts, hand-off, and sign-off). Retrieval and abstraction of data from medical records is reliably and accurately performed. Data entry processes are timely and accurate and include sufficient edit checks to ensure accurate entry of submitted data in the files for measure reporting. The organization continually assesses data completeness and takes steps to improve performance. The organization regularly monitors vendor performance against expected performance standards. 	<p>RMHP Prime was compliant with IS Standard M for MRR processes.</p> <p>The auditor determined that the data collection tool used by the MCO was able to capture all data fields necessary for HEDIS reporting. Sufficient validation processes were in place to ensure data accuracy. However, HSAG did not review this step since the State requires administrative rates only</p>
<p>IS C—Clinical and Care Delivery Data</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Data capture is complete. Data conform with industry standards. Transaction file data are accurate. Organization confirms ingested data meet expectations for data quality. 	<p>RMHP Prime was compliant with IS Standard C for clinical and care delivery data.</p> <p>The auditor reviewed the transaction file for the HEDIS repository and observed that it contained all data fields required for HEDIS reporting. In addition, the auditor interviewed staff to confirm appropriate quality processes for the data source and to determine if primary source verification was needed on all supplemental data that were in nonstandard form.</p>
<p>IS R—Data Management and Reporting</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> The organization’s data management enables measurement. Data extraction and loads are complete and accurate. Data transformation and integration is accurate and valid. Data quality and governance are components of the organization’s data management. Oversight and controls ensure correct implementation of measure reporting software. 	<p>RMHP Prime was compliant with IS Standard R for data management and reporting.</p> <p>File consolidation and data extractions were performed by RMHP Prime’s staff members. Data were verified for accuracy at each data merge point.</p> <p>The auditor indicated that the MCO used an NCQA-certified measure vendor for data production and rate calculation.</p>

Appendix A. Information Systems Standards

Overview of the NCQA HEDIS Compliance Audit

Developed and maintained by NCQA, HEDIS is a set of performance data broadly accepted in the managed care environment as an industry standard. Organizations seeking NCQA accreditation or wishing to publicly report their HEDIS performance results undergo an NCQA HEDIS Compliance Audit through an NCQA-licensed audit organization. The audits are conducted in compliance with NCQA's *HEDIS MY 2023 Compliance Audit: Standards, Policies, and Procedures, Volume 5*. The purpose of conducting a HEDIS audit is to ensure that rates submitted by the organizations are reliable, valid, accurate, and can be compared to one another.

During the HEDIS audit, data management processes were reviewed using findings from the NCQA HEDIS Record of Administration, Data Management, and Processes (Roadmap) review; interviews with key staff members; and a review of queries and output files. Data extractions from systems used to house production files and generate reports were reviewed, including a review of data included in the samples for the selected measures. Based on validation findings, the LOs produced an initial written report identifying any perceived issues of noncompliance, problematic measures, and recommended opportunities for improvement. The LOs also produced a final report with updated text and findings based on comments on the initial report.

The FAR included information on the organization's IS capabilities; each measure's reportable results; MRRV results; the results of any corrected programming logic, including corrections made to numerators, denominators, or sampling used for final measure calculation; and opportunities and recommendations for improvement of data completeness, data integrity, and health outcomes.

Information Systems Standards

Listed below are the Information Systems Standards published in NCQA's *HEDIS MY 2023 Compliance Audit: Standards, Policies, and Procedures, Volume 5*.

IS A—Administrative Data

IS A1 Data conform with industry standards and measure requirements.

IS A2 Data are complete and accurate.

IS A3 Membership information system enables measurement.

Rationale

The organization must capture all clinical information pertinent to the delivery of services to provide a basis for calculating measures. The audit process ensures that the organization consistently captures

sufficient clinical information. Principal among these practices and critical for computing clinical measures is consistent use of standardized codes to describe medical events, including nationally recognized schemes to capture diagnosis, procedure, Diagnosis Related Group (DRG), and Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders (DSM) codes. Standardized coding improves the comparability of measures through common definition of identical clinical events. The organization must cross-reference nonstandard coding schemes at the specific diagnosis and service level to attain equivalent meaning. The integrity of measures requires using standard forms, controlling receipt processes, editing and verifying data entry, and implementing other control procedures that promote completeness and accuracy in receiving and recording medical information. The transfer of information from medical charts to the organization's databases should be subject to the same standards for accuracy and completeness.

IS M—Medical Record Review Processes

- IS M1 Forms capture all fields relevant to measure reporting. Electronic transmission procedures conform to industry standards and have necessary checking procedures to ensure data accuracy (logs, counts, receipts, hand-off, and sign-off).
- IS M2 Retrieval and abstraction of data from medical records is reliably and accurately performed.
- IS M3 Data entry processes are timely and accurate and include sufficient edit checks to ensure accurate entry of submitted data in the files for measure reporting.
- IS M4 The organization continually assesses data completeness and takes steps to improve performance.
- IS M5 The organization regularly monitors vendor performance against expected performance standards.

Rationale

MRRV ensures that record abstraction performed by or on behalf of the entity meets standards for sound processes and that abstracted data are accurate. Validation includes not only an over-read of abstracted medical records, but also a review of MRR tools, policies, and procedures related to data entry and transfer, and training materials developed by or on behalf of the entity.

IS C—Clinical and Care Delivery Data

- IS C1 Data capture is complete.
- IS C2 Data conform with industry standards.
- IS C3 Transaction file data are accurate.
- IS C4 Organization confirms ingested data meet expectations for data quality.

Rationale

Organizations may use a supplemental database to collect and store data, which is then used to augment rates. These databases must be scrutinized closely since they can be standard, nonstandard, or member reported. The auditor must determine whether sufficient control processes are in place related to data collection, validation of data entry into the database, and use of these data. Mapping documents and file layouts may be reviewed as well, to determine compliance with this standard. Beginning with HEDIS 2014, NCQA provided new validation requirements for auditing supplemental data to ensure that all data included for reporting are complete and have required supporting documentation. Specific member information includes age, gender, benefits, product line (commercial, Medicaid, and Medicare), and the dates that define periods of membership so gaps in enrollment can be determined.

IS R—Data Management and Reporting

- IS R1 The organization's data management enables measurement.
- IS R2 Data extraction and loads are complete and accurate.
- IS R3 Data transformation and integration is accurate and valid.
- IS R4 Data quality and governance are components of the organization's data management.
- IS R5 Oversight and controls ensure correct implementation of measure reporting software.

Rationale

Prior to data integration and reporting, it is essential that data transfer, consolidation, and control procedures support the integrity of the measure reporting. The organization's quality assurance practices and backup procedures serve as an organizational infrastructure supporting all information systems. The practices and procedures promote accurate and timely information processing and data protection in the event of a disaster. Calculating rates requires data from multiple sources. The systems used to assemble the data and to make the required calculations should be carefully constructed and tested. Data needed to calculate measures are produced by the organization's information systems and may be directly or indirectly affected by IS practices and procedures.

Appendix B. Audit Results and Rates

This appendix presents the audited rates in the IDSS and Custom Reporting Template as submitted by RMHP Prime. According to the Department’s required data collection methodology, the rates displayed in Table B-2 reflect administrative data-only rates and for some of the measures were not the final, reported, hybrid rates in RMHP Prime’s IDSS. In addition, for measures with multiple indicators (e.g., *Well-Child Visits in the First 30 Months of Life*), more than one rate is required for reporting. It is possible that RMHP Prime may have received an “NA” designation for an indicator due to a small denominator within the measure but still have received an “R” designation for the total population.

Table B-1—HEDIS Audit Results

Audit Finding	Description	Audit Result
For Measures		
The rate or numeric result for a HEDIS measure is reportable. The measure was fully or substantially compliant with HEDIS specifications or had only minor deviations that did not significantly bias the reported rate.	Reportable	<i>R</i>
HEDIS specifications were followed but the denominator was too small to report a valid rate.	Denominator <30	<i>NA</i>
The MCO did not offer the health benefits required by the measure.	No Benefit (Benefit Not Offered)	<i>NB</i>
The MCO chose not to report the measure.	Not Reported	<i>NR</i>
The MCO was not required to report the measure.	Not Required	<i>NQ</i>
The rate calculated by the MCO was materially biased.	Biased Rate	<i>BR</i>
The MCO reported a measure that was not audited.	Unaudited	<i>UN</i>

Table B-2—RMHP Prime’s Rates and Audit Results

Measure	MY 2023 Rate	Audit Result
Measures		
Avoidance of Antibiotic Treatment for Acute Bronchitis/Bronchiolitis		
<i>Ages 3 Months to 17 Years</i>	NA	R
<i>Ages 18 to 64 Years</i>	54.39%	R
<i>Ages 65 Years and Older</i>	NA	R
Antidepressant Medication Management		
<i>Effective Acute Phase Treatment—Ages 18 to 64</i>	67.42%	R
<i>Effective Acute Phase Treatment—Ages 65 Years and Older</i>	NA	R
<i>Effective Continuation Phase Treatment—Ages 18 to 64</i>	48.41%	R
<i>Effective Continuation Phase Treatment—Ages 65 Years and Older</i>	NA	R
Asthma Medication Ratio		
<i>Ages 5 to 11 Years</i>	NA	R
<i>Ages 12 to 18 Years</i>	NA	R
<i>Ages 19 to 50 Years</i>	53.05%	R
<i>Ages 51 to 64 Years</i>	74.00%	R
Breast Cancer Screening		
<i>Ages 52 to 64 Years</i>	50.87%	R
<i>Ages 65 to 74 Years</i>	51.08%	R
Controlling High Blood Pressure		
<i>Ages 18 to 64 Years</i>	41.89%	R
<i>Ages 65 to 85 Years</i>	46.00%	R
Contraceptive Care—Postpartum Women		
<i>Ages 15 to 20 Years—Most or Moderately Effective Contraception—3 Days</i>	NA	UN
<i>Ages 15 to 20 Years—Most or Moderately Effective Contraception—90 Days</i>	NA	UN
<i>Ages 15 to 20 Years—Long-Acting Reversible Contraception—3 Days</i>	NA	UN
<i>Ages 15 to 20 Years—Long-Acting Reversible Contraception—90 Days</i>	NA	UN
<i>Ages 21 to 44 Years—Most or Moderately Effective Contraception—3 Days</i>	4.09%	UN
<i>Ages 21 to 44 Years—Most or Moderately Effective Contraception—90 Days</i>	38.56%	UN
<i>Ages 21 to 44 Years—Long-Acting Reversible Contraception—3 Days</i>	0.27%	UN
<i>Ages 21 to 44 Years—Long-Acting Reversible Contraception—90 Days</i>	14.31%	UN
Cervical Cancer Screening	46.96%	UN
Contraceptive Care—All Women		
<i>Ages 15 to 20 Years—Most or Moderately Effective Contraception</i>	30.83%	UN

Measure	MY 2023 Rate	Audit Result
<i>Ages 15 to 20 Years—Long-Acting Reversible Contraception</i>	6.77%	UN
<i>Ages 21 to 44 Years—Most or Moderately Effective Contraception</i>	19.41%	UN
<i>Ages 21 to 44 Years—Long-Acting Reversible Contraception</i>	4.28%	UN
Screening for Depression and Follow-Up Plan		
<i>Ages 12 to 17 Years</i>	7.86%	UN
<i>Ages 18 to 64 Years</i>	8.32%	UN
<i>Ages 65 Years and Older</i>	2.41%	UN
Chlamydia Screening in Women		
<i>Ages 16 to 20 Years</i>	38.96%	R
<i>Ages 21 to 24 Years</i>	45.20%	R
Concurrent Use of Opioids and Benzodiazepines		
<i>Ages 18 to 64 Years</i>	9.90%	UN
<i>Ages 65 Years and Older</i>	20.00%	UN
Colorectal Cancer Screening		
<i>Ages 46 to 50 Years</i>	21.81%	R
<i>Ages 51 to 65 Years</i>	40.34%	R
<i>Ages 66 Years and Older</i>	35.36%	R
Follow-Up After Emergency Department Visit for Substance Use		
<i>Ages 13 to 17 Years—7-Day Follow-Up</i>	NA	R
<i>Ages 13 to 17 Years—30-Day Follow-Up</i>	NA	R
<i>Ages 18 to 64 Years—7-Day Follow-Up</i>	23.45%	R
<i>Ages 18 to 64 Years—30-Day Follow-Up</i>	36.86%	R
<i>Ages 65 Years and Older—7-Day Follow-Up</i>	NA	R
<i>Ages 65 Years and Older—30-Day Follow-Up</i>	NA	R
Follow-Up After Hospitalization for Mental Illness		
<i>Ages 6 to 17 Years—7-Day Follow-Up</i>	NA	R
<i>Ages 6 to 17 Years—30-Day Follow-Up</i>	NA	R
<i>Ages 18 to 64 Years—7-Day Follow-Up</i>	27.16%	R
<i>Ages 18 to 64 Years—30-Day Follow-Up</i>	48.32%	R
<i>Ages 65 Years and Older—7-Day Follow-Up</i>	NA	R
<i>Ages 65 Years and Older—30-Day Follow-Up</i>	NA	R
Follow-Up After Emergency Department Visit for Mental Illness		
<i>Ages 6 to 17 Years—7-Day Follow-Up</i>	NA	R
<i>Ages 6 to 17 Years—30-Day Follow-Up</i>	NA	R
<i>Ages 18 to 64 Years—7-Day Follow-Up</i>	33.24%	R
<i>Ages 18 to 64 Years—30-Day Follow-Up</i>	47.96%	R
<i>Ages 65 Years and Older—7-Day Follow-Up</i>	NA	R
<i>Ages 65 Years and Older—30-Day Follow-Up</i>	NA	R

Measure	MY 2023 Rate	Audit Result
Hemoglobin A1c Control for Patients With Diabetes		
<i>Ages 18 to 64 Years—HbA1c Control (<8.0%)</i>	44.11%	R
<i>Ages 65 to 75 Years—HbA1c Control (<8.0%)</i>	50.18%	R
Diabetes Care for People With Serious Mental Illness—HbA1c Poor Control (>9.0%)		
<i>Ages 18 to 64 Years</i>	40.91%	UN
<i>Ages 65 to 75 Years</i>	NA	UN
HIV Viral Load Suppression		
<i>Ages 18 to 64 Years</i>	0.00%	UN
<i>Ages 65 Years and Older</i>	NA	UN
Initiation and Engagement of Substance Use Disorder Treatment		
<i>Initiation of SUD Treatment—Ages 18 to 64 Years—Alcohol Use Disorder</i>	37.41%	R
<i>Initiation of SUD Treatment—Ages 18 to 64 Years—Opioid Use Disorder</i>	58.94%	R
<i>Initiation of SUD Treatment—Ages 18 to 64 Years—Other Substance Use Disorder</i>	34.87%	R
<i>Initiation of SUD Treatment—Ages 18 to 64 Years—Total SUD Treatment</i>	38.85%	R
<i>Engagement of SUD Treatment—Ages 18 to 64 Years—Alcohol Use Disorder</i>	13.56%	R
<i>Engagement of SUD Treatment—Ages 18 to 64 Years—Opioid Use Disorder</i>	34.44%	R
<i>Engagement of SUD Treatment—Ages 18 to 64 Years—Other Substance Use Disorder</i>	11.59%	R
<i>Engagement of SUD Treatment—Ages 18 to 64 Years—Total SUD Treatment</i>	15.17%	R
<i>Initiation of SUD Treatment—Ages 65 Years and Older—Alcohol Use Disorder</i>	43.75%	R
<i>Initiation of SUD Treatment—Ages 65 Years and Older—Opioid Use Disorder</i>	NA	R
<i>Initiation of SUD Treatment—Ages 65 Years and Older—Other Substance Use Disorder</i>	30.30%	R
<i>Initiation of SUD Treatment—Ages 65 Years and Older—Total SUD Treatment</i>	40.63%	R
<i>Engagement of SUD Treatment—Ages 65 Years and Older—Alcohol Use Disorder</i>	2.08%	R
<i>Engagement of SUD Treatment—Ages 65 Years and Older—Opioid Use Disorder</i>	NA	R
<i>Engagement of SUD Treatment—Ages 65 Years and Older—Other Substance Use Disorder</i>	0.00%	R

Measure	MY 2023 Rate	Audit Result
<i>Engagement of SUD Treatment—Ages 65 Years and Older—Total SUD Treatment</i>	1.04%	R
Use of Opioids at High Dosage in Persons Without Cancer		
<i>Ages 18 to 64 Years*</i>	2.77%	UN
<i>Ages 65 Years and Older*</i>	NA	UN
Use of Pharmacotherapy for Opioid Use Disorder		
<i>Rate 1: Total</i>	71.99%	UN
<i>Rate 2: Buprenorphine</i>	37.84%	UN
<i>Rate 3: Oral Naltrexone</i>	3.19%	UN
<i>Rate 4: Long-Acting, Injectable Naltrexone</i>	0.37%	UN
<i>Rate 5: Methadone</i>	36.98%	UN
Plan All-Cause Readmissions		
<i>Observed/Expected Ratio</i>	0.88	R
<i>Observed Rate</i>	8.98%	R
<i>Expected Rate</i>	10.20%	R
<i>Outlier Rate</i>	28.23	R
Prenatal and Postpartum Care		
<i>Timeliness of Prenatal Care</i>	46.54%	R
<i>Postpartum Care</i>	52.81%	R
Diabetes Short-Term Complications Admission Rate		
<i>Ages 18 to 64 Years</i>	7.62	UN
<i>Ages 65 Years and Older</i>	0.00	UN
COPD or Asthma in Older Adults Admission Rate		
<i>Ages 40 to 64 Years</i>	5.47	UN
<i>Ages 65 Years and Older</i>	0.00	UN
Heart Failure Admission Rate		
<i>Ages 18 to 64 Years</i>	5.81	UN
<i>Ages 65 Years and Older</i>	0.00	UN
Asthma in Younger Adults Admission Rate		
<i>Ages 18 to 39 Years</i>	0.34	R
Adherence to Antipsychotic Medications for Individuals With Schizophrenia	57.42%	R
Diabetes Screening for People With Schizophrenia or Bipolar Disorder Who Are Using Antipsychotic Medications	80.66%	R
Follow-Up Care for Children Prescribed Attention-Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder (ADHD) Medication		
<i>Initiation Phase</i>	NA	R
<i>Continuation and Maintenance Phase</i>	NA	R

Measure	MY 2023 Rate	Audit Result
Ambulatory Care—Emergency Department (ED) Visits (Per 1,000 Member Months)		
Less than Age 1 Year	NA	R
Ages 1 to 9 Years	32.39	R
Ages 10 to 19 Years	42.52	R
Ages 0 to 19 Years	40.95	R
Metabolic Monitoring for Children and Adolescents on Antipsychotics		
Ages 1 to 11 Years—Blood Glucose Testing	NA	R
Ages 1 to 11 Years—Cholesterol Testing	NA	R
Ages 1 to 11 Years—Blood Glucose and Cholesterol Testing	NA	R
Ages 12 to 17 Years—Blood Glucose Testing	NA	R
Ages 12 to 17 Years—Cholesterol Testing	NA	R
Ages 12 to 17 Years—Blood Glucose and Cholesterol Testing	NA	R
Ages 1 to 17 Years—Blood Glucose Testing	NA	R
Ages 1 to 17 Years—Cholesterol Testing	NA	R
Ages 1 to 17 Years—Blood Glucose and Cholesterol Testing	NA	R
Use of First-Line Psychosocial Care for Children and Adolescents on Antipsychotics		
Ages 1 to 11 Years	NA	R
Ages 12 to 17 Years	NA	R
Ages 1 to 17 Years	NA	R
Childhood Immunization Status		
Combination 3	NA	R
Combination 7	NA	R
Combination 10	NA	R
Developmental Screening in the First Three Years of Life		
Age 1	NA	UN
Age 2	NA	UN
Age 3	NA	UN
Total Ages 1 to 3 Years	NA	UN
Immunizations for Adolescents		
Combination 1 (Meningococcal, Tdap)	58.82%	R
Combination 2 (Meningococcal, Tdap, HPV)	26.47%	R
Lead Screening in Children	NA	R
Well-Child Visits in the First 30 Months of Life		
Well-Child Visits in the First 15 Months—Six or More Well-Child Visits	NA	R
Well-Child Visits for Age 15 Months–30 Months—Two or More Well-Child Visits	NA	R

Measure	MY 2023 Rate	Audit Result
<i>Weight Assessment and Counseling for Nutrition and Physical Activity for Children/Adolescents</i>		
<i>BMI Percentile—Ages 3 to 11 Years</i>	20.97%	R
<i>BMI Percentile—Ages 12 to 17 Years</i>	14.95%	R
<i>BMI Percentile—Ages 3 to 17 Years</i>	17.16%	R
<i>Counseling for Nutrition—Ages 3 to 11 Years</i>	37.10%	R
<i>Counseling for Nutrition—Ages 12 to 17 Years</i>	28.04%	R
<i>Counseling for Nutrition—Ages 3 to 17 Years</i>	31.36%	R
<i>Counseling for Physical Activity—Ages 3 to 11 Years</i>	29.03%	R
<i>Counseling for Physical Activity—Ages 12 to 17 Years</i>	21.50%	R
<i>Counseling for Physical Activity—Ages 3 to 17 Years</i>	24.26%	R
<i>Child and Adolescent Well-Care Visits</i>		
<i>Ages 3 to 11 Years</i>	55.56%	R
<i>Ages 12 to 17 Years</i>	42.00%	R
<i>Ages 18 to 21 Years</i>	20.62%	R
<i>Ages 3 to 21 Years</i>	28.72%	R

* For this indicator, a lower rate indicates better performance.