

## PDPPC Co-chair Position Survey Results

April 21, 2020

*Department staff (John Barry) sent online link to all current PDPPC voting members and called or attempted to call (made five attempts) all voting members on the PDPPC snail mail list.*

### **Question #1: Should the PDPPC Stakeholder Co-chair positions be limited to direct recipients of CDASS and IHSS?**

YES = 15 responses

NO = 7 responses

### **Question #2: Should the terms for the Co-chair positions be 2 years or 4 years?**

Two years = 11 responses

Four years = 12 responses

### **Question #3: Should there be term limits for the Co-chair positions?**

YES = 13 responses

NO = 11 responses

### **Question #4: If you think there should be term limits, how many terms do you think should be the limit?**

Two terms = 11 responses

Three terms = 2 responses

I DON'T THINK THERE SHOULD BE TERM LIMITS = 7 responses

## **COMMENTS SUBMITTED**

1. I think one of the two current Co-chairs needs to continue with a new Co-chair, so we have continuity. (one of each: CDASS and IHSS)
2. I think the ability to be a Co-chair should be open to case managers, ARs, recipients, family members, and providers
3. I would like see 4-year terms, but stagger it between the two Co-chairs so there's continuity always.
4. Co-chair positions should be direct recipients or ARs, not agency reps.
5. I am a firm believer that only people receiving CDASS and IHSS services should be in leadership positions for PDPPC. These are leadership positions that should be reserved

only for actual recipients of services. Authorized Reps and guardians don't have the necessary personal experience to lead the collaborative. Just like no provider agency should be in leadership positions.

6. Positions should be open to A/R as well as clients. Need overlap of terms for training. Notice?? required if leaving position. I recognize there is such sacrifice in taking on this responsibility, with your own limited time and personal health issues. Thank you both for listening, being our voice and representing us.
7. All should be asked every two years if they are happy with the chair and co-chair including the chair and co-chair. If they're happy with their position and their role, they and we play with a long term
8. Curt and Kevin are doing great
9. I think the Stakeholder Co-chairs should be limited to direct recipients or authorized representatives, in other words, not industry representatives. I think the first question is unclear.
10. The challenge is finding people who are willing to be a Co chair. They ultimately have no more authority than the collective group itself.