

# Nonquantitative Treatment Limit (NQTL) Survey of Regional Accountable Entities (2019)

## Background

Under the federal Mental Health Parity and Addiction Equity Act (MHPAEA), Colorado is required to conduct an analysis of the benefits offered to its Medicaid members and people enrolled in the state's Children's Health Insurance Program (CHIP). MHPAEA stipulates that the state and its contracted managed care plans must not limit behavioral health services in a manner that is more restrictive than that by which it limits physical health services. The analysis takes into account both quantitative limits on services, such as visit limits or co-pays, and nonquantitative treatment limits (NQTLs). NQTLs are "soft limits" applied to covered benefits, such as prior authorization requirements, as well as structural approaches to service delivery, such as the development of treatment provider networks.

This survey is designed to collect essential information about how Colorado's seven Regional Accountable Entities (RAE) apply NQTLs to the services they cover for Medicaid members. The Department has identified five NQTLs about which it requires additional information for the purposes of the mandatory parity analysis. These NQTLs may or may not be applied to services across five classifications of covered benefits: inpatient, outpatient, emergency, and pharmacy services. The questions contained in this survey have been developed to help the RAEs describe how they manage the full range of benefits they provide to Medicaid members throughout Colorado.

## Format of the Survey

The response section of this survey consists of five worksheets, each one representing an NQTL identified by the Department. Each sheet contains a series of questions about how the NQTLs are applied across four benefit classifications--inpatient, outpatient, emergency, and pharmacy services. Questions about NQTL application in the four classifications are repeated in four sections throughout each sheet. There is also a space for each RAE to identify itself in each section.

## Survey Responses

There are cells to the right of survey questions where RAEs can type in their responses. Responses to this survey should be very succinct and limited to the substance of the questions being asked. Many of the survey questions only require "yes" or "no" answers. Please limit the length and complexity of responses accordingly.

## Pharmacy Benefits

The Department is aware that the state, not RAEs, set pharmacy benefit policy through its prescription drug formulary and provider guidance. Nonetheless, this survey includes the same questions about NQTL application to benefits in the pharmacy classification as it does for the inpatient, outpatient, and emergency classifications. Wherever possible, RAEs should provide relevant and succinct answers to questions about pharmacy benefits, particularly when they illuminate availability and access for Medicaid members.

## MHPAEA or Survey-Related Terms

## Definition

### Nonquantitative Treatment Limit

Non-numerical limits on the scope of duration of benefits for treatment.

### Inpatient Services

Services in which:

- a) the patient is admitted to an institution, hospital, or facility for greater than 24 hours, or
- b) the patient receives residential care through a facility for greater than 24 hours, or
- c) the patient receives the specific benefit in an institutional setting which is not their usual place of residence (such as a licensed hospice facility) for greater than 24 hours, and
- d) the service is not a respite service

### Outpatient Services

Services in which the patient receives treatment

- a) without being admitted to an institution, per definition of 'Inpatient Services',  
**and**
- b) services are not emergent, per definition of 'Emergency Services'.

This includes the provision of equipment and supplies, such as DMEPOS, and services delivered in the home, school, and any settings that meet home and community based services characteristics.

This excludes services provided by a pharmacy.

### Emergency Services

Services which are specifically designated to be rendered on an emergent basis. Examples includes: 'behavioral emergency crisis services', 'hospital emergency room services', and 'emergency transportation'.

### Pharmacy Services

Services which can be provided by pharmacies.

**Prior Authorization**

Requirement that a provider must submit a request before performing a service and may only render it after receiving approval.

**Concurrent Review**

Requirement that services be periodically reviewed as they are being provided in order to continue authorization.

**Retrospective Review**

Protocol for approving coverage for a service after it has been delivered.

**Network Provider Admission**

Process of accepting treatment providers into the health plan's network of care professionals.

**Establishing Charges**

Methods for determining usual, customary, and reasonable charges for services.

Mental Health Parity and Addiction Equity Act (MHPAEA) Nonquantitative Treatment Limit (NQL) Analysis		RAE 1	RAE 2	RAE 3	RAE 4	RAE 5	RAE 6	RAE 7	FFS Medical/Surgical
<b>Regional Accountable Entity: All RAEs</b> <b>Benefit Classification: INPATIENT SERVICES</b>									
<b>NQL: Prior Authorization</b>									
<b>Prior Authorization:</b> Requirement that a provider must submit a request before performing a service and may only render it after receiving approval.									
<b>Process</b>									
Are all services in this classification prior authorized? List any services (by procedure code) in this classification to which prior authorization does not apply.		Yes	Yes	Yes, all inpatient services require prior authorization.	Yes	Yes, all inpatient services require prior authorization.	Yes	Yes	Yes
Are there exceptions to prior authorization requirements in certain circumstances? List the situations when exceptions are granted.		Yes. Providers who are at financial risk, have been trained on health plan guidelines and have agreed to adhere to them are exempt from prior authorization requirements.	Yes, for cases where there are questions around eligibility.	Yes. Occasionally a member's Health First Colorado (Colorado Medicaid) status/eligibility/RAE assignment is unknown at the time of admission. If a provider experiences issues with a member's eligibility that results in the inability to seek authorization prior to admission, Colorado Access will review for authorization in a concurrent or retrospective manner.	Yes, for cases where there are questions around eligibility.	Yes. Occasionally a member's Health First Colorado (Colorado Medicaid) status/eligibility/RAE assignment is unknown at the time of admission. If a provider experiences issues with a member's eligibility that results in the inability to seek authorization prior to admission, Colorado Access will review for authorization in a concurrent or retrospective manner.	Yes. Providers have one business day to request an authorization. If the member enters treatment as a John Doe, the provider is exempt from requesting prior auth until they identify who the member is and verify the member's Medicaid. Members who admit as ineligible for Medicaid also do not require prior authorization. In these cases, retro active requests for authorization are permitted.	Yes. Providers have one business day to request an authorization. If the member enters treatment as a John Doe, the provider is exempt from requesting prior auth until they identify who the member is and verify the member's Medicaid. Members who admit as ineligible for Medicaid also do not require prior authorization. In these cases, retro active requests for authorization are permitted.	Yes
Are prior authorizations performed by the direct treatment provider, or does the plan require secondary assessment to complete the authorization?		Direct treatment providers complete the prior authorization request and submit it to the health plan for those services subject to prior authorization. The health plan reviews the request and approves or denies it.	The prior approval is completed between the direct treatment provider and NHP, however we do require a secondary assessment to be completed by waived by the CMHC prior to authorization.	Most prior authorizations are performed by the direct treatment provider. Some hospital/hospital systems contract with an entity that performs evaluations on their behalf (e.g., HCAT for HealthOne, PEPS for Centura). Colorado Access accepts prior authorization requests from any of these entities. Colorado Access does not require any assessments from a secondary provider in order to request prior authorization.	The prior approval is completed between the direct treatment provider and NHP, however we do require a secondary assessment to be completed (or waived) by the CMHC prior to authorization.	Most prior authorizations are performed by the direct treatment provider. Some hospital/hospital systems contract with an entity that performs evaluations on their behalf (e.g., HCAT for HealthOne, PEPS for Centura). Colorado Access accepts prior authorization requests from any of these entities. Colorado Access does not require any assessments from a secondary provider in order to request prior authorization.	Direct Treatment Provider	Direct Treatment Provider	Direct Treatment Provider
What is the maximum amount of time allowed to issue a determination on a prior authorization request?		72 hours	72 hours (generally only takes that long if trying to identify placement)	Per state and federal regulations, expedited prior authorization decisions must be made in 72 hours or less. COA recognizes that this is typically an unreasonably long amount of time for someone in behavioral health crisis in need of inpatient care. Therefore, Colorado Access has an internal turnaround time requirement of 2 hours or less for inpatient prior authorizations.	72 hours (generally only takes that long if trying to identify placement)	Per state and federal regulations, expedited prior authorization decisions must be made in 72 hours or less. COA recognizes that this is typically an unreasonably long amount of time for someone in behavioral health crisis in need of inpatient care. Therefore, Colorado Access has an internal turnaround time requirement of 2 hours or less for inpatient prior authorizations.	72 Hours	72 Hours	Variable
<b>Strategy</b>									
What is the rationale for prior authorizing services in this classification?		Those services that are high cost, high risk or for which there is a potential for overutilization are subject to prior authorization.	Inpatient level of care is the most restrictive for members. We conduct Prior Authorization reviews to make sure that Member could not be safely treated at a lower level of care.	Colorado Access is committed to assuring that members receive the most appropriate and cost-effective service consistent with generally accepted medical standards of care. For inpatient stays, this means that acute care as an inpatient is necessary due to the kind of services the member is receiving or the severity of the member's condition, and that safe, cost-effective and adequate care cannot be received as an outpatient or in a less intensified medical setting.	Inpatient level of care is the most restrictive for members. We conduct Prior Authorization reviews to make sure that Member could not be safely treated at a lower level of care.	Colorado Access is committed to assuring that members receive the most appropriate and cost-effective service consistent with generally accepted medical standards of care. For inpatient stays, this means that acute care as an inpatient is necessary due to the kind of services the member is receiving or the severity of the member's condition, and that safe, cost-effective and adequate care cannot be received as an outpatient or in a less intensified medical setting.	To be able to provide care coordination to members and to help ensure that members are receiving the correct type of care for their clinical presentation.	To be able to provide care coordination to members and to help ensure that members are receiving the correct type of care for their clinical presentation.	To contain costs, provide only medically necessary care, and ensure criteria are met
Are prior authorization policies the same for both in-network and out-of-network providers?		Yes	Yes	Yes.	Yes	Yes.	Yes	Yes	Yes
If there is any change to prior authorization policies or procedures, does the plan update providers about the change? How often do these updates occur?		Yes. The health plan provides updates annually.	Clinical policies are reviewed on an annual basis but may be adjusted more frequently should a change be required. Any changes are communicated to provider through alerts, website updates and Manual updates.	Yes, any changes to the authorization process would be communicated to providers via the Colorado Access website, provider manual, and/or the provider newsletter. Procedural changes are rare; minor changes (e.g., an edit to the prior authorization request form) are not uncommon.	Yes. Clinical policies are reviewed on an annual basis but may be adjusted more frequently should a change be required. Any changes are communicated to provider through alerts, website updates and Manual updates.	Yes, any changes to the authorization process would be communicated to providers via the Colorado Access website, provider manual, and/or the provider newsletter. Procedural changes are rare; minor changes (e.g., an edit to the prior authorization request form) are not uncommon.	Yes. Thus far, there have not been any changes to these requirements but if changes are made in the future, providers will be updated.	Yes. Thus far, there have not been any changes to these requirements but if changes are made in the future, providers will be updated.	Yes. Providers notified via website
<b>Evidentiary Standards</b>									
Does the plan use evidence-based clinical decision support products (InterQual, Milliman, etc.) to determine whether to prior authorize services?		Yes	No	InterQual. Case-by-case review by a Colorado Access Medical Director for any request not meeting InterQual criteria.	No	InterQual. Case-by-case review by a Colorado Access Medical Director for any request not meeting InterQual criteria.	Yes	Yes	Yes
Does the plan use internally developed guidelines to determine whether to prior authorize services? If YES, how frequently are those guidelines updated?		No	Yes. We use proprietary standards which are posted to the website. These standards are reviewed at least annually.	No.	Yes. We use proprietary standards which are posted to the website. These standards are reviewed at least annually.	No.	No	No	Yes

<b>Benefit Classification: OUTPATIENT SERVICES</b>
<b>NQL: Prior Authorization</b>
<b>Prior Authorization:</b> Requirement that a provider must submit a request before performing a service and may only render it after receiving approval.
<b>Process</b>



Are there exceptions to prior authorization requirements in certain circumstances? List the situations when exceptions are granted.		No. No emergency services are subject to prior authorization.	N/A	No. Emergency and Crisis services do not require prior authorization.	N/A	No. Emergency and Crisis services do not require prior authorization.	N/A	N/A	N/A
Are prior authorizations performed by the direct treatment provider, or does the plan require secondary assessment to complete the authorization?		Not applicable. No emergency services are subject to prior authorization.	N/A	N/A. Emergency and Crisis services do not require prior authorization.	N/A	N/A. Emergency and Crisis services do not require prior authorization.	N/A	N/A	N/A
What is the maximum amount of time allowed to issue a determination on a prior authorization request?		Not applicable. No emergency services are subject to prior authorization.	N/A	N/A. Emergency and Crisis services do not require prior authorization.	N/A	N/A. Emergency and Crisis services do not require prior authorization.	N/A	N/A	N/A
<b>Strategy</b>									
What is the rationale for prior authorizing services in this classification?		Not applicable. No emergency services are subject to prior authorization.	<b>We do not require PA for emergent services.</b>	N/A. Emergency and Crisis services do not require prior authorization.	<b>We do not require PA for emergent services.</b>	N/A. Emergency and Crisis services do not require prior authorization.	N/A	N/A	N/A
Are prior authorization policies the same for both in-network and out-of-network providers?		Not applicable. No emergency services are subject to prior authorization.	N/A	Yes - Emergency services can be provided by an in-network or out-of-network provider without authorization.	N/A	Yes - Emergency services can be provided by an in-network or out-of-network provider without authorization.	N/A	N/A	N/A
If there is any change to prior authorization policies or procedures, does the plan update providers about the change? How often do these updates occur?		Not applicable. No emergency services are subject to prior authorization.	Yes. Clinical policies are reviewed on an annual basis but may be adjusted more frequently should a change be required. Any changes are communicated to provider through alerts, website updates and Manual updates.	Emergency and Crisis services do not require prior authorization. However, should any changes occur, CDA would notify providers as necessary.	Yes. Clinical policies are reviewed on an annual basis but may be adjusted more frequently should a change be required. Any changes are communicated to provider through alerts, website updates and Manual updates.	Emergency and Crisis services do not require prior authorization. However, should any changes occur, CDA would notify providers as necessary.	Yes, we would update providers if there were to be changes, but there have not been any changes thus far.	Yes, we would update providers if there were to be changes, but there have not been any changes thus far.	N/A
<b>Evidentiary Standards</b>									
Does the plan use evidence-based clinical decision support products (Intersuit, Milliman, etc.) to determine whether to prior authorize services?		Not applicable. No emergency services are subject to prior authorization.	No	N/A. Emergency and Crisis services do not require prior authorization.	No	N/A. Emergency and Crisis services do not require prior authorization.	N/A	N/A	N/A
Does the plan use internally developed guidelines to determine whether to prior authorize services? If YES, How frequently are those guidelines updated?		Not applicable. No emergency services are subject to prior authorization.	Yes. We use proprietary standards which are posted to the website. Those standards are reviewed at least annually.	N/A. Emergency and Crisis services do not require prior authorization.	Yes. We use proprietary standards which are posted to the website. Those standards are reviewed at least annually.	N/A. Emergency and Crisis services do not require prior authorization.	N/A	N/A	N/A

**Benefit Classification: PHARMACY SERVICES**

**NQTL: Prior Authorization**  
**Prior Authorization:** Requirement that a provider must submit a request before performing a service and may only render it after receiving approval.

**Process**

Are all services in this classification prior authorized? List any services (by procedure code) in this classification to which prior authorization does not apply.	Not applicable	<b>The RAE does not perform PA for pharmacy services.</b>	N/A - Colorado Access is not responsible for pharmacy services for the RAE.	<b>The RAE does not perform PA for pharmacy services.</b>	N/A - Colorado Access is not responsible for pharmacy services for the RAE.	N/A, CCHA does not administer the pharmacy benefit	N/A, CCHA does not administer the pharmacy benefit	No
Are there exceptions to prior authorization requirements in certain circumstances? List the situations when exceptions are granted.	Not applicable	N/A	N/A - Colorado is not responsible for pharmacy services for the RAE.	N/A	N/A - Colorado is not responsible for pharmacy services for the RAE.	N/A	N/A	Yes
Are prior authorizations performed by the direct treatment provider, or does the plan require secondary assessment to complete the authorization?	Not applicable	N/A	N/A - Colorado Access is not responsible for pharmacy services for the RAE.	N/A	N/A - Colorado Access is not responsible for pharmacy services for the RAE.	N/A	N/A	Direct Treatment Provider
What is the maximum amount of time allowed to issue a determination on a prior authorization request?	Not applicable	N/A	N/A - Colorado Access is not responsible for pharmacy services for the RAE.	N/A	N/A - Colorado Access is not responsible for pharmacy services for the RAE.	N/A	N/A	Variable

<b>Strategy</b>									
What is the rationale for prior authorizing services in this classification?		Not applicable	N/A	N/A - Colorado Access is not responsible for pharmacy services for the RAE.	N/A	N/A - Colorado Access is not responsible for pharmacy services for the RAE.	N/A	N/A	To contain costs, provide only medical necessity care and ensure criteria are met.
Are prior authorization policies the same for both in-network and out-of-network providers?		Not applicable	N/A	N/A - Colorado Access is not responsible for pharmacy services for the RAE.	N/A	N/A - Colorado Access is not responsible for pharmacy services for the RAE.	N/A	N/A	Yes
If there is any change to prior authorization policies or procedures, does the plan update providers about the change? How often do these updates occur?		Not applicable	N/A	N/A - Colorado Access is not responsible for pharmacy services for the RAE.	N/A	N/A - Colorado Access is not responsible for pharmacy services for the RAE.	N/A	N/A	Providers notified via website.

<b>Evidentiary Standards</b>									
Does the plan use evidence-based clinical decision support products (Intersuit, Milliman, etc.) to determine whether to prior authorize services?		Not applicable	N/A	N/A - Colorado Access is not responsible for pharmacy services for the RAE.	N/A	N/A - Colorado Access is not responsible for pharmacy services for the RAE.	N/A	N/A	Yes
Does the plan use internally developed guidelines to determine whether to prior authorize services? If YES, How frequently are those guidelines updated?		Not applicable	N/A	N/A - Colorado Access is not responsible for pharmacy services for the RAE.	N/A	N/A - Colorado Access is not responsible for pharmacy services for the RAE.	N/A	N/A	Yes

Mental Health Parity and Addiction Equity Act (MHPAEA) Nonquantitative Treatment Limit (NQL) Analysis	RAE 1	RAE 2	RAE 3	RAE 4	RAE 5	RAE 6	RAE 7	FFS Medical/Surgical
--	-------	-------	-------	-------	-------	-------	-------	----------------------

<b>Regional Accountable Entity:</b> All RAEs	<b>Benefit Classification:</b> INPATIENT SERVICES
--	---

<b>NQL: Concurrent Review</b>
-------------------------------

**Concurrent Review:** Requirement that services be periodically reviewed as they are being provided in order to continue authorization.

<b>Process</b>
----------------

Are all services in this classification concurrently reviewed? List any services (by procedure code) in this classification to which concurrent review does not apply.		Yes	Yes	Yes.	Yes	Yes.	Yes	Yes	Concurrent review equivalent to prior authorization for medical surgical. Renewing treatment services is renewing a PAR, or submitting a new PAR to continue treatment.
Are there exceptions to concurrent review requirements in certain circumstances? List the situations when exceptions are granted.		No	Yes. For inpatient facilities contracted under a case rate agreement, concurrent reviews are conducted less frequently.	No	Yes. For inpatient facilities contracted under a case rate agreement, concurrent reviews are conducted less frequently.	No	No	No	Yes
How frequently is concurrent review required for services in this classification?		Upon admission, dependent on length of stay every 2 to 3 days.	It varies depending on the level of care and the facility in question.	The reviewer establishes a review period consistent with the member's clinical presentation and the member's anticipated discharge timeline. Most inpatient stays are reviewed every 2-3 days, but could be extended or shortened as needed.	It varies depending on the level of care and the facility in question.	The reviewer establishes a review period consistent with the member's clinical presentation and the member's anticipated discharge timeline. Most inpatient stays are reviewed every 2-3 days, but could be extended or shortened as needed.	Frequency varies by the member's clinical presentation, but typically reviews are required every 3 days.	Frequency varies by the member's clinical presentation, but typically reviews are required every 3 days.	Variable
Are concurrent reviews performed by the direct treatment provider, or does the plan require secondary assessment to complete the authorization?		Direct Treatment Provider	Direct treatment provider	Concurrent reviews occur between the current treatment provider and a Colorado Access reviewer. Colorado Access does not require assessment from any secondary reviewers.	Direct treatment provider	Concurrent reviews occur between the current treatment provider and a Colorado Access reviewer. Colorado Access does not require assessment from any secondary reviewers.	Direct treatment provider	Direct treatment provider	Both
What is the maximum amount of time allowed to issue a determination on a concurrent review request?		1 business day	72 hours when reviewing on last covered day	Per state and federal regulations, 1 business day.	72 hours when reviewing on last covered day	Per state and federal regulations, 1 business day.	72 hours	72 hours	Variable

<b>Strategy</b>
-----------------

What is the rationale for applying concurrent review to services in this classification?			Inpatient level of care is the most restrictive for members. We conduct Concurrent reviews to make sure that Member could not be safely treated at a lower level of care. Concurrent reviews are also critical in successful discharge coordination.	Colorado Access is committed to assuring that members receive the most appropriate and cost-effective service consistent with generally accepted medical standards of care. For inpatient stays, this means that acute care as an inpatient is necessary due to the kind of services the member is receiving or the severity of the member's condition, and that safe, cost-effective and adequate care cannot be received as an outpatient or in a less intensified medical setting.	Inpatient level of care is the most restrictive for members. We conduct Concurrent reviews to make sure that Member could not be safely treated at a lower level of care. Concurrent reviews are also critical in successful discharge coordination.	Colorado Access is committed to assuring that members receive the most appropriate and cost-effective service consistent with generally accepted medical standards of care. For inpatient stays, this means that acute care as an inpatient is necessary due to the kind of services the member is receiving or the severity of the member's condition, and that safe, cost-effective and adequate care cannot be received as an outpatient or in a less intensified medical setting.		To be able to provide care coordination to members and to help ensure that members are receiving the correct type of care for their clinical presentation.	To be able to provide care coordination to members and to help ensure that members are receiving the correct type of care for their clinical presentation.	To contain costs, provide only medical necessity care and ensure criteria are met.
Are concurrent review policies the same for both in-network and out-of-network providers?		Yes	Yes, however a SCA is needed for out-of-network providers	Yes.	Yes, however a SCA is needed for out-of-network providers	Yes.	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
If there is any change to concurrent review policies or procedures, does the plan update providers about the change?		If RMHP changed its policy about concurrent review, it would update providers.	Yes. Clinical policies are reviewed on an annual basis but may be adjusted more frequently should a change be required. Any changes are communicated to provider through alerts, website updates and Manual updates.	Yes, any changes to the authorization process would be communicated to providers via the Colorado Access website, provider manual, and/or the provider newsletter. Procedural changes are rare; minor changes (e.g., an edit to the prior authorization request form) are not uncommon.	Yes. Clinical policies are reviewed on an annual basis but may be adjusted more frequently should a change be required. Any changes are communicated to provider through alerts, website updates and Manual updates.	Yes, any changes to the authorization process would be communicated to providers via the Colorado Access website, provider manual, and/or the provider newsletter. Procedural changes are rare; minor changes (e.g., an edit to the prior authorization request form) are not uncommon.	Yes	Yes	Yes, via website.	

<b>Evidentiary Standards</b>
------------------------------

Does the plan use nationally recognized evidence-based clinical decision support products (InterQual, Milliman, etc.) to make decisions regarding concurrent review?		Yes	No	InterQual. Case-by case review by a Colorado Access Medical Director for any request not meeting InterQual criteria.	No	InterQual. Case-by case review by a Colorado Access Medical Director for any request not meeting InterQual criteria.	Yes	Yes	No
Does the plan use internally developed guidelines to determine whether to concurrently review services? IF YES: How frequently are those guidelines updated?		No	Yes We use proprietary standards which are posted to the website. Those standards are reviewed at least annually.	No.	Yes We use proprietary standards which are posted to the website. Those standards are reviewed at least annually.	No.	No	No	Yes

<b>Benefit Classification:</b> OUTPATIENT SERVICES	
--	--

<b>NQL: Concurrent Review</b>
-------------------------------

**Concurrent Review:** Requirement that services be periodically reviewed as they are being provided in order to continue authorization.

<b>Process</b>
----------------

Are all services in this classification concurrently reviewed? List any services (by procedure code) in this classification to which concurrent review does not apply.	No More intensive outpatient services require concurrent review for authorization. These services include: - Psych Testing - ECT - PhP (MH Only) - IOP (Both MH and SUD) - Day Treatment	No. Those services which are considered "routine" outpatient do not require authorization. Those include: 0510, 0521, 0529, 90791, 90792, 90832, 90834, 90837, 90839, 90846, 90847, 90849, 90853, 96372, H0001, H0002, H0004, H0005, H0006, H0018, H0020, H0023, H0025, H0031-34, H0036-38, H2000, H2014-18, H2021, H2022, H2027, H2030, H2031, S3005, S9445, S9453, S9454, T1007, T1017, T1019, T1023 and all E&M codes.	No. Only bed-based services require concurrent review: acute treatment unit services (ATU), and residential services.	No. Many services which are considered "routine" outpatient do not require authorization. Those include: 0510, 0521, 0529, 90792, 90839, 90849, 90853, 96372, H0001, H0002, H0004, H0005, H0006, H0018, H0020, H0023, H0025, H0031-34, H0036-38, H2000, H2014-18, H2021, H2022, H2027, H2030, H2031, S3005, S9445, S9453, S9454, T1007, T1017, T1019, T1023 and all E&M codes. Routine outpatient services covered under the Short Term Behavioral Health Benefit are subject to authorization after the first 6 visits.	No. Only bed-based services require concurrent review: acute treatment unit services (ATU), and residential services.	No; 90785, 90832, 90833, 90834, 90836, 90837, 90838, 90846, 90847, 90849, 90853, 90875, 90876, 96116, 96121, 96130-96139, 96372, 97535, g1076, h0006, h0020, h0033, h0034, h0035, h0045, h2014, h2023-h2032, s3005, s9445, s9485, t1005, t1017, 90791, 90792, 90839, 90940, 98966-h0001-h0005, h0023, h0025, h0031, h2000, h2011, s9453, s9454, t1007, t1023, 99241-99245, 99201-99443, 90833-90838	No; 90785, 90832, 90833, 90834, 90836, 90837, 90838, 90846, 90847, 90849, 90853, 90875, 90876, 96116, 96121, 96130-96139, 96372, 97535, g1076, h0006, h0020, h0033, h0034, h0035, h0045, h2014, h2023-h2032, s3005, s9445, s9485, t1005, t1017, 90791, 90792, 90839, 90940, 98966-h0001-h0005, h0023, h0025, h0031, h2000, h2011, s9453, s9454, t1007, t1023, 99241-99245, 99201-99443, 90833-90838	No
Are there exceptions to concurrent review requirements in certain circumstances? List the situations when exceptions are granted.	No	No	No.	No	No.	No	No	No
How frequently is concurrent review required for services in this classification?	For those outpatient services that require concurrent review, frequency is every 3-5 days based on severity of symptoms	That varies depending on the particular service and how quickly authorized units are exhausted.	The reviewer establishes a review period consistent with the member's clinical presentation, the member's anticipated discharge timeline, and the program from which the member is receiving services. For example, ATU services are reviewed every 2-3 days similar to inpatient, short-term residential services are typically reviewed every 3-5 days, and long-term residential services are typically reviewed every 30 days. These timeframes are adjusted on a case-by-case basis.	That varies depending on the particular service and how quickly authorized units are exhausted.	The reviewer establishes a review period consistent with the member's clinical presentation, the member's anticipated discharge timeline, and the program from which the member is receiving services. For example, ATU services are reviewed every 2-3 days similar to inpatient, short-term residential services are typically reviewed every 3-5 days, and long-term residential services are typically reviewed every 30 days. These timeframes are adjusted on a case-by-case basis.	Frequency varies by the member's clinical presentation, but typically reviews are required every one - three weeks.	Frequency varies by the member's clinical presentation, but typically reviews are required every one - three weeks.	Variable
Are concurrent reviews performed by the direct treatment provider, or does the plan require secondary assessment to complete the authorization?	Direct Treatment Provider	Direct treatment provider	Concurrent reviews occur between the current treatment provider and a Colorado Access reviewer. Colorado Access does not require assessment from any secondary reviewers.	Direct treatment provider	Concurrent reviews occur between the current treatment provider and a Colorado Access reviewer. Colorado Access does not require assessment from any secondary reviewers.	Direct treatment provider	Direct treatment provider	Direct Treatment Provider
What is the maximum amount of time allowed to issue a determination on a concurrent review request?	10 days	10 calendar days	Per state and federal regulations, 1 business day.	10 calendar days	Per state and federal regulations, 1 business day.	10 days	10 days	Variable

**Strategy**

What is the rationale for applying concurrent review to services in this classification?	The outpatient services needing concurrent review are considered to be more complex than typical outpatient services. There is also the need to ensure that medical necessity is met for these levels of care.	The outpatient services that do require authorization are generally considered non-routine or more complex interventions such as IOP, in-home services, respite, ECT or psych testing where we want to establish medical necessity.	Colorado Access is committed to assuring that members receive the most appropriate and cost-effective service consistent with generally accepted medical standards of care. This means that the care is necessary and that safe, cost-effective and adequate care cannot be received as an outpatient or in a less intensified setting.	The outpatient services that do require authorization are generally considered non-routine or more complex interventions such as IOP, in-home services, respite, ECT or psych testing where we want to establish medical necessity.	Colorado Access is committed to assuring that members receive the most appropriate and cost-effective service consistent with generally accepted medical standards of care. This means that the care is necessary and that safe, cost-effective and adequate care cannot be received as an outpatient or in a less intensified setting.	To be able to provide care coordination to members and to help ensure that members are receiving the correct type of care for their clinical presentation.	To be able to provide care coordination to members and to help ensure that members are receiving the correct type of care for their clinical presentation.	To contain costs, provide only medical necessity care and ensure criteria are met.
Are concurrent review policies the same for both in-network and out-of-network providers?	Yes	Yes. Out of network providers have to secure a single case agreement for services.	Yes.	Yes. Out of network providers have to secure a single case agreement for services.	Yes.	No	No	Yes
If there is any change to concurrent review policies or procedures, does the plan update providers about the change?	If RMHP changed its policy about concurrent review, it would update providers.	Yes. Clinical policies are reviewed on an annual basis but may be adjusted more frequently should a change be required. Any changes are communicated to provider through alerts, website updates and Manual updates.	Yes, any changes to the authorization process would be communicated to providers via the Colorado Access website, provider manual, and/or the provider newsletter. Procedural changes are rare; minor changes (e.g., an edit to the prior authorization request form) are not uncommon.	Yes. Clinical policies are reviewed on an annual basis but may be adjusted more frequently should a change be required. Any changes are communicated to provider through alerts, website updates and Manual updates.	Yes, any changes to the authorization process would be communicated to providers via the Colorado Access website, provider manual, and/or the provider newsletter. Procedural changes are rare; minor changes (e.g., an edit to the prior authorization request form) are not uncommon.	Yes	Yes	Yes, Providers notified via website

**Evidentiary Standards**

Does the plan use nationally recognized evidence-based clinical decision support products (InterQual, Milliman, etc.) to make decisions regarding concurrent review?	Yes	No	InterQual. Case-by case review by a Colorado Access Medical Director for any request not meeting InterQual criteria.	No	InterQual. Case-by case review by a Colorado Access Medical Director for any request not meeting InterQual criteria.	Yes	Yes	Yes
Does the plan use internally developed guidelines to determine whether to concurrently review services? IF YES: How frequently are those guidelines updated?	No	Yes We use proprietary standards which are posted to the website. Those standards are reviewed at least annually.	No.	Yes We use proprietary standards which are posted to the website. Those standards are reviewed at least annually.	No.	No	No	Yes

**Benefit Classification: EMERGENCY SERVICES**

**NQTL: Concurrent Review**

**Concurrent Review:** Requirement that services be periodically reviewed as they are being provided in order to continue authorization.

**Process**

Are all services in this classification concurrently reviewed? List any services (by procedure code) in this classification to which concurrent review does not apply.	No	No. Concurrent review is conducted for CSU if the stay is over 5 days.	No. Emergency and Crisis services do not require authorization.	No. Concurrent review is conducted for CSU if the stay is over 5 days.	No. Emergency and Crisis services do not require authorization.	No emergency services require prior authorization	No emergency services require prior authorization. We are currently not reviewing CSU's for concurrent review	N/A
Are there exceptions to concurrent review requirements in certain circumstances? List the situations when exceptions are granted.	No Exceptions	N/A	No. Emergency and Crisis services do not require authorization.	N/A	No. Emergency and Crisis services do not require authorization.	N/A	N/A	N/A

How frequently is concurrent review required for services in this classification?		Not applicable. RMHP does not conduct concurrent review for emergency services.	N/A	N/A - Emergency and Crisis services do not require authorization.	N/A	N/A - Emergency and Crisis services do not require authorization.	N/A	N/A	N/A
Are concurrent reviews performed by the direct treatment provider, or does the plan require secondary assessment to complete the authorization?		Not applicable. RMHP does not conduct concurrent review for emergency services.	N/A	N/A - Emergency and Crisis services do not require authorization.	N/A	N/A - Emergency and Crisis services do not require authorization.	N/A	N/A	N/A
What is the maximum amount of time allowed to issue a determination on a concurrent review request?		Not applicable. No emergency services are subject to prior authorization.	72 hours, if applicable	N/A - Emergency and Crisis services do not require authorization.	72 hours, if applicable	N/A - Emergency and Crisis services do not require authorization.	N/A	N/A	N/A

**Strategy**

What is the rationale for applying concurrent review to services in this classification?		Not applicable. RMHP does not conduct concurrent review for emergency services.	We do not authorize emergent services.	N/A - Emergency and Crisis services do not require authorization.	We do not authorize emergent services.	N/A - Emergency and Crisis services do not require authorization.	N/A	N/A	N/A
Are concurrent review policies the same for both in-network and out-of-network providers?		Yes	N/A	N/A - Emergency and Crisis services do not require authorization.	N/A	N/A - Emergency and Crisis services do not require authorization.	N/A	N/A	N/A
If there is any change to concurrent review policies or procedures, does the plan update providers about the change?		If RMHP changed its policy about concurrent review, it would update providers.	Yes. Clinical policies are reviewed on an annual basis but may be adjusted more frequently should a change be required. Any changes are communicated to provider through alerts, website updates and Manual updates.	Emergency and Crisis services do not require authorization. However, should any changes occur, COA would notify providers as necessary.	Yes. Clinical policies are reviewed on an annual basis but may be adjusted more frequently should a change be required. Any changes are communicated to provider through alerts, website updates and Manual updates.	Emergency and Crisis services do not require authorization. However, should any changes occur, COA would notify providers as necessary.	N/A	N/A	N/A

**Evidentiary Standards**

Does the plan use nationally recognized evidence-based clinical decision support products (Interqual, Milliman, etc.) to make decisions regarding concurrent review?		Not applicable. No emergency services are subject to prior authorization.	No	N/A - Emergency and Crisis services do not require authorization.	No	N/A - Emergency and Crisis services do not require authorization.	N/A	N/A	N/A
Does the plan use internally developed guidelines to determine whether to concurrently review services? IF YES: How frequently are those guidelines updated?		Not applicable. No emergency services are subject to prior authorization.	Yes We use proprietary standards which are posted to the website. Those standards are reviewed at least annually.	N/A - Emergency and Crisis services do not require authorization.	Yes We use proprietary standards which are posted to the website. Those standards are reviewed at least annually.	N/A - Emergency and Crisis services do not require authorization.	N/A	N/A	N/A

**Benefit Classification: PHARMACY**

**NQTL: Concurrent Review**

**Concurrent Review:** Requirement that services be periodically reviewed as they are being provided in order to continue authorization.

**Process**

Are all services in this classification concurrently reviewed? List any services (by procedure code) in this classification to which concurrent review does not apply.		Not applicable	The RAE does not perform UM for pharmacy services.	N/A - Colorado Access is not responsible for pharmacy services for the RAE.	The RAE does not perform UM for pharmacy services.	N/A - Colorado Access is not responsible for pharmacy services for the RAE.	N/A; CCHA does not administer the pharmacy benefit.	N/A; CCHA does not administer the pharmacy benefit.	No
Are there exceptions to concurrent review requirements in certain circumstances? List the situations when exceptions are granted.		Not applicable	N/A	N/A - Colorado Access is not responsible for pharmacy services for the RAE.	N/A	N/A - Colorado Access is not responsible for pharmacy services for the RAE.	N/A	N/A	Yes
How frequently is concurrent review required for services in this classification?		Not applicable	N/A	N/A - Colorado Access is not responsible for pharmacy services for the RAE.	N/A	N/A - Colorado Access is not responsible for pharmacy services for the RAE.	N/A	N/A	Variable
Are concurrent reviews performed by the direct treatment provider, or does the plan require secondary assessment to complete the authorization?		Not applicable	N/A	N/A - Colorado Access is not responsible for pharmacy services for the RAE.	N/A	N/A - Colorado Access is not responsible for pharmacy services for the RAE.	N/A	N/A	Direct Treatment Provider
What is the maximum amount of time allowed to issue a determination on a concurrent review request?		Not applicable	N/A	N/A - Colorado Access is not responsible for pharmacy services for the RAE.	N/A	N/A - Colorado Access is not responsible for pharmacy services for the RAE.	N/A	N/A	Variable

**Strategy**

What is the rationale for applying concurrent review to services in this classification?		Not applicable	N/A	N/A - Colorado Access is not responsible for pharmacy services for the RAE.	N/A	N/A - Colorado Access is not responsible for pharmacy services for the RAE.	N/A	N/A	To contain costs, provide only medical necessity care and ensure criteria are met.
Are concurrent review policies the same for both in-network and out-of-network providers?		Not applicable	N/A	N/A - Colorado Access is not responsible for pharmacy services for the RAE.	N/A	N/A - Colorado Access is not responsible for pharmacy services for the RAE.	N/A	N/A	Yes
If there is any change to concurrent review policies or procedures, does the plan update providers about the change?		Not applicable	N/A	N/A - Colorado Access is not responsible for pharmacy services for the RAE.	N/A	N/A - Colorado Access is not responsible for pharmacy services for the RAE.	N/A	N/A	Yes, Provider notified via website

**Evidentiary Standards**

Does the plan use nationally recognized evidence-based clinical decision support products (Interqual, Milliman, etc.) to make decisions regarding concurrent review?		Not applicable	N/A	N/A - Colorado Access is not responsible for pharmacy services for the RAE.	N/A	N/A - Colorado Access is not responsible for pharmacy services for the RAE.	N/A	N/A	Yes
Does the plan use internally developed guidelines to determine whether to concurrently review services? IF YES: How frequently are those guidelines updated?		Not applicable	N/A	N/A - Colorado Access is not responsible for pharmacy services for the RAE.	N/A	N/A - Colorado Access is not responsible for pharmacy services for the RAE.	N/A	N/A	Yes

Mental Health Parity and Addiction Equity Act (MHPAEA) Nonquantitative Treatment Limit (NQTL) Analysis		RAE 1	RAE 2	RAE 3	RAE 4	RAE 5	RAE 6	RAE 7	FFS Medical/Surgical
Regional Accountable Entity: All RAEs Benefit Classification: INPATIENT SERVICES									
NQTL: Retrospective Review									
Retrospective Review: Protocol for approving coverage for a service after it has been delivered.									
Process									
Is there a <b>time limit</b> on how far in the past services can be retrospectively reviewed? If so, what is that limit?		Yes. PAR providers have a timely filing limits of 180 days. Exception: NonPAR is case by case based on when the facility identifies the Member has Medicaid. Exception: Retroenrollment.	120 days	120 days	120 days	120 days	Yes; the standard timeline is 30 days but the timeline may be extended on a case-by-case basis	Yes; the standard timeline is 30 days but the timeline may be extended on a case-by-case basis	2 years
Are all <b>services</b> in this classification subject to retrospective review? List any services in this classification (by procedure code) to which retrospective review does not apply.		Yes	Yes	Yes.	Yes	Yes.	Yes	Yes	Yes
Are there <b>exceptions</b> to retrospective review in certain circumstances, including extension of time limits? List the situations when exceptions are granted.		No	Yes. An exception was made for the recent "IMD clean-up" project between the RAEs, HCPF and the 7 IMDs.	Not typically. Any extenuating circumstance would be reviewed on a case-by-case basis.	Yes. An exception was made for the recent "IMD clean-up" project between the RAEs, HCPF and the 7 IMDs.	Not typically. Any extenuating circumstance would be reviewed on a case-by-case basis.	There are extensions when members become retro actively eligible for Medicaid. The provider has 30 days from the date that they learn of the eligibility to submit a retrospective review request.	There are extensions when members become retro actively eligible for Medicaid. The provider has 30 days from the date that they learn of the eligibility to submit a retrospective review request.	Yes
What is the maximum amount of <b>time</b> allowed to issue a determination on a retrospective review request?		30 days	30 calendar days	Per state and federal regulations, within 30 days of the receipt of the request.	30 calendar days	Per state and federal regulations, within 30 days of the receipt of the request.	30 days	30 days	None
Strategy									
What is the <b>rationale</b> for retrospectively reviewing services in this classification?		To ensure that appropriate level of care and quality services are provided.	Inpatient level of care is the most restrictive for members. We conduct retrospective reviews to make sure that Member could not be safely treated at a lower level of care.	Colorado Access is committed to assuring that members receive the most appropriate and cost-effective service consistent with generally accepted medical standards of care. For inpatient stays, this means that acute care as an inpatient is necessary due to the kind of services the member is receiving or the severity of the member's condition, and that safe, cost-effective and adequate care cannot be received as an outpatient or in a less intensified medical setting.	Inpatient level of care is the most restrictive for members. We conduct retrospective reviews to make sure that Member could not be safely treated at a lower level of care.	Colorado Access is committed to assuring that members receive the most appropriate and cost-effective service consistent with generally accepted medical standards of care. For inpatient stays, this means that acute care as an inpatient is necessary due to the kind of services the member is receiving or the severity of the member's condition, and that safe, cost-effective and adequate care cannot be received as an outpatient or in a less intensified medical setting.	To be able to provide care coordination to members and to help ensure that members are receiving the correct type of care for their clinical presentation.	To be able to provide care coordination to members and to help ensure that members are receiving the correct type of care for their clinical presentation.	Medical Necessity
Are retrospective review policies the same for both <b>in-network and out-of-network</b> providers?		No. If PAR provider does not obtain prior authorization we would deny it. If it is an out of network provider we would review.	Yes	Yes.	Yes	Yes.	Yes	Yes	Yes
If there is any <b>change</b> to retrospective review policies or procedures, does the plan <b>update</b> providers about the change?		Yes	Yes. Clinical policies are reviewed on an annual basis but may be adjusted more frequently should a change be required. Any changes are communicated to provider through alerts, website updates and Manual updates.	Yes, any changes to the authorization process would be communicated to providers via the Colorado Access website, provider manual, and/or the provider newsletter. Procedural changes are rare; minor changes (e.g., an edit to the prior authorization request form) are not uncommon.	Yes. Clinical policies are reviewed on an annual basis but may be adjusted more frequently should a change be required. Any changes are communicated to provider through alerts, website updates and Manual updates.	Yes, any changes to the authorization process would be communicated to providers via the Colorado Access website, provider manual, and/or the provider newsletter. Procedural changes are rare; minor changes (e.g., an edit to the prior authorization request form) are not uncommon.	Yes	Yes	Yes, updates via website
Evidentiary Standards									

Does the plan use <b>evidence-based clinical decision support products</b> (Interqual, Milliman, etc.) to make decisions regarding retrospective review?		Yes	No	InterQual. Case-by case review by a Colorado Access Medical Director for any request not meeting InterQual criteria.	No	InterQual. Case-by case review by a Colorado Access Medical Director for any request not meeting InterQual criteria.	Yes	Yes	Yes
Does the plan use <b>internally developed guidelines</b> to determine whether to prior retrospectively review services? IF YES: How <b>frequently</b> are those guidelines updated?		No	Yes We use proprietary standards which are posted to the website. Those standards are reviewed at least annually.	No.	Yes We use proprietary standards which are posted to the website. Those standards are reviewed at least annually.	No.	No	No	Yes

<b>Benefit Classification: OUTPATIENT SERVICES</b>									
<b>NQL: Retrospective Review</b>									
Retrospective Review: Protocol for approving coverage for a service after it has been delivered.									
<b>Process</b>									

Is there a <b>time limit</b> on how far in the past services can be retrospectively reviewed? If so, what is that limit?		Yes. PAR providers have a timely filing limits of 180 days. Exception: NonPAR is case by case based on when the facility identifies the Member has Medicaid. Exception: Retroenrollment.	<b>30 days</b>	120 days	<b>30 days</b>	120 days	<b>Yes; the standard is 30 days but the timeline may be extended on a case-by-case basis</b>	<b>Yes; the standard is 30 days but the timeline may be extended on a case-by-case basis</b>	2 years
--	--	--	----------------	----------	----------------	----------	--	--	---------

Are <b>all services</b> in this classification subject to retrospective review? List any services in this classification (by procedure code) to which retrospective review does not apply.		Yes. We review on a case by case basis.	<b>No. Those services which are considered "routine" outpatient do not require authorization. Those include: 0510, 0521, 0529, 90791, 90792, 90832, 90834, 90837, 90839, 90846, 90847, 90849, 90853, 96372, H0001, H0002, H0004, H0005, H0006, H0018, H0020, H0023, H0025, H0031-34, H0036-38, H2000, H2014-18, H2021, H2022, H2027, H2030, H2031, S3005, S9445, S9453, S9454, T1007, T1017, T1019, T1023 and all E&amp;M codes.</b>	Yes.	<b>No. Many services which are considered "routine" outpatient do not require authorization. Those include: 0510, 0521, 0529, 90792, 90839, 90849, 90853, 96372, H0001, H0002, H0004, H0005, H0006, H0018, H0020, H0023, H0025, H0031-34, H2000, H2014-18, H2021, H2022, H2030, H2031, S3005, S9445, S9453, S9454, T1007, T1017, T1019, T1023 and all E&amp;M codes. Routine outpatient services covered under the Short Term Behavioral Health Benefit are subject to authorization after the first 6 visits.</b>	Yes.	No; 90785, 90832, 90833, 90834, 90836, 90837, 90838, 90846, 90847, 90849, 90853, 90875, 90876, 96116, 96121, 96130-96139, 96372, 97535, g1076, h0006, h0020, h0033, h0034, h0035, h0045, h2014, h2023-h2032, s3005, s9445, s9485, t1005, t1017, 90791, 90792, 90839, 90940, 98966-98968, h0001-h0005, h0023, h0025, h0031, h0032, h2000, h2011, s9453, s9454, t1007, t1023, 99241-99245, 99201-99443, 90833-90838	No; 90785, 90832, 90833, 90834, 90836, 90837, 90838, 90846, 90847, 90849, 90853, 90875, 90876, 96116, 96121, 96130-96139, 96372, 97535, g1076, h0006, h0020, h0033, h0034, h0035, h0045, h2014, h2023-h2032, s3005, s9445, s9485, t1005, t1017, 90791, 90792, 90839, 90940, 98966-98968, h0001-h0005, h0023, h0025, h0031, h0032, h2000, h2011, s9453, s9454, t1007, t1023, 99241-99245, 99201-99443, 90833-90838	Yes
--	--	---	--	------	--	------	---	---	-----

Are there <b>exceptions</b> to retrospective review in certain circumstances, including extension of time limits? List the situations when exceptions are granted.		Yes. Exception: NonPAR is case by case based on when the facility identifies the Member has Medicaid. Exception: Retroenrollment.	<b>Yes. Exceptions are reviewed by the Utilization Management Director, Provider Relations Director and VP of Operations for extenuating circumstances.</b>	Not typically. Any extenuating circumstance would be reviewed on a case-by-case basis.	<b>Yes. Exceptions are reviewed by the Utilization Management Director, Provider Relations Director and VP of Operations for extenuating circumstances.</b>	Not typically. Any extenuating circumstance would be reviewed on a case-by-case basis.	<b>There are extensions when members become retro actively eligible for Medicaid. The provider has 30 days from the date that they learn of the eligibility to submit a retrospective review request.</b>	<b>There are extensions when members become retro actively eligible for Medicaid. The provider has 30 days from the date that they learn of the eligibility to submit a retrospective review request.</b>	Yes
--	--	---	---	--	---	--	---	---	-----

What is the maximum amount of <b>time</b> allowed to issue a determination on a retrospective review request?		30 calendar days	<b>30 calendar days</b>	Per state and federal regulations, within 30 days of the receipt of the request.	<b>30 calendar days</b>	Per state and federal regulations, within 30 days of the receipt of the request.	<b>30 days</b>	<b>30 days</b>	None
---	--	------------------	-------------------------	--	-------------------------	--	----------------	----------------	------

<b>Strategy</b>									
-----------------	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--

What is the <b>rationale</b> for retrospectively reviewing services in this classification?		To ensure that appropriate level of care and quality services are provided.	The outpatient services that do require authorization are generally considered non-routine or more complex interventions such as IOP, in-home services, respite, ECT or psych testing where we want to establish medical necessity.	Colorado Access is committed to assuring that members receive the most appropriate and cost-effective service consistent with generally accepted medical standards of care. This means that the care is necessary and that safe, cost-effective and adequate care cannot be received as an outpatient or in a less intensified setting.	The outpatient services that do require authorization are generally considered non-routine or more complex interventions such as IOP, in-home services, respite, ECT or psych testing where we want to establish medical necessity.	Colorado Access is committed to assuring that members receive the most appropriate and cost-effective service consistent with generally accepted medical standards of care. This means that the care is necessary and that safe, cost-effective and adequate care cannot be received as an outpatient or in a less intensified setting.	To be able to provide care coordination to members and to help ensure that members are receiving the correct type of care for their clinical presentation.	To be able to provide care coordination to members and to help ensure that members are receiving the correct type of care for their clinical presentation.	Medical Necessity
---	--	---	---	---	---	---	--	--	-------------------

Are retrospective review policies the same for both <b>in-network</b> and <b>out-of-network</b> providers?		No. If PAR provider does not obtain prior authorization we would deny it. If it is an out of network provider we would review.	Yes	Yes.	Yes	Yes.	No	No	Yes
If there is any <b>change</b> to retrospective review policies or procedures, does the plan <b>update providers</b> about the change?		Yes	Yes. Clinical policies are reviewed on an annual basis but may be adjusted more frequently should a change be required. Any changes are communicated to provider through alerts, website updates and Manual updates.	Yes, any changes to the authorization process would be communicated to providers via the Colorado Access website, provider manual, and/or the provider newsletter. Procedural changes are rare; minor changes (e.g., an edit to the prior authorization request form) are not uncommon.	Yes. Clinical policies are reviewed on an annual basis but may be adjusted more frequently should a change be required. Any changes are communicated to provider through alerts, website updates and Manual updates.	Yes, any changes to the authorization process would be communicated to providers via the Colorado Access website, provider manual, and/or the provider newsletter. Procedural changes are rare; minor changes (e.g., an edit to the prior authorization request form) are not uncommon.	Yes	Yes	Yes, updates via website
<b>Evidentiary Standards</b>									
Does the plan use <b>evidence-based clinical decision support products</b> (Interqual, Milliman, etc.) to make decisions regarding retrospective review?		Yes	No	InterQual. Case-by case review by a COA Medical Director for any request not meeting InterQual criteria.	No	InterQual. Case-by case review by a COA Medical Director for any request not meeting InterQual criteria.	Yes	Yes	Yes
Does the plan use <b>internally developed guidelines</b> to determine whether to prior retrospectively review services? IF YES: How <b>frequently</b> are those guidelines updated?		No	Yes We use proprietary standards which are posted to the website. Those standards are reviewed at least annually.	No.	Yes We use proprietary standards which are posted to the website. Those standards are reviewed at least annually.	No.	No	No	Yes

<b>Benefit Classification: EMERGENCY SERVICES</b>									
<b>NQL: Retrospective Review</b>									
Retrospective Review: Protocol for approving coverage for a service after it has been delivered.									
<b>Process</b>									
Is there a <b>time limit</b> on how far in the past services can be retrospectively reviewed? If so, what is that limit?		Not applicable. Emergency services are not subject to retrospective review.	<b>We do not conduct UM review for emergent services.</b>	N/A - Emergency and Crisis services do not require authorization.	<b>We do not conduct UM review for emergent services.</b>	N/A - Emergency and Crisis services do not require authorization.	<b>N/A; Emergency services do not require review</b>	<b>N/A; Emergency services do not require review</b>	N/A
Are <b>all services</b> in this classification subject to retrospective review? List any services in this classification (by procedure code) to which retrospective review does not apply.		Not applicable. Emergency services are not subject to retrospective review.	<b>We do not conduct UM review for emergent services.</b>	N/A - Emergency and Crisis services do not require authorization.	<b>We do not conduct UM review for emergent services.</b>	N/A - Emergency and Crisis services do not require authorization.	No; review are not required	No; review are not required	N/A
Are there <b>exceptions</b> to retrospective review in certain circumstances, including extension of time limits? List the situations when exceptions are granted.		No	<b>N/A</b>	N/A - Emergency and Crisis services do not require authorization.	<b>N/A</b>	N/A - Emergency and Crisis services do not require authorization.	<b>N/A</b>	<b>N/A</b>	N/A
What is the maximum amount of <b>time</b> allowed to issue a determination on a retrospective review request?		Not applicable. Emergency services are not subject to retrospective review.	<b>N/A</b>	N/A - Emergency and Crisis services do not require authorization.	<b>N/A</b>	N/A - Emergency and Crisis services do not require authorization.	<b>N/A</b>	<b>N/A</b>	N/A
<b>Strategy</b>									
What is the <b>rationale</b> for retrospectively reviewing services in this classification?		Not applicable. Emergency services are not subject to retrospective review.	<b>N/A</b>	N/A - Emergency and Crisis services do not require authorization.	<b>N/A</b>	N/A - Emergency and Crisis services do not require authorization.	<b>N/A</b>	<b>N/A</b>	N/A
Are retrospective review policies the same for both <b>in-network</b> and <b>out-of-network</b> providers?		Not applicable. Emergency services are not subject to retrospective review.	<b>Yes, as applicable</b>	N/A - Emergency and Crisis services do not require authorization.	<b>Yes, as applicable</b>	N/A - Emergency and Crisis services do not require authorization.	<b>Yes</b>	<b>Yes</b>	N/A

If there is any <b>change</b> to retrospective review policies or procedures, does the plan <b>update providers</b> about the change?		If RMHP changed its policy about retrospective review for emergency services, it would update providers.	Yes. Clinical policies are reviewed on an annual basis but may be adjusted more frequently should a change be required. Any changes are communicated to provider through alerts, website updates and Manual updates.	Emergency and Crisis services do not require authorization. However, COA would notify providers as necessary.	Yes. Clinical policies are reviewed on an annual basis but may be adjusted more frequently should a change be required. Any changes are communicated to provider through alerts, website updates and Manual updates.	Emergency and Crisis services do not require authorization. However, COA would notify providers as necessary.	N/A	N/A	N/A
<b>Evidentiary Standards</b>									
Does the plan use <b>evidence-based clinical decision support products</b> (Interqual, Milliman, etc.) to make decisions regarding retrospective review?		Not applicable. Emergency services are not subject to retrospective review.	No	N/A - Emergency and Crisis services do not require authorization.	No	N/A - Emergency and Crisis services do not require authorization.	N/A	N/A	N/A
Does the plan use <b>internally developed guidelines</b> to determine whether to prior retrospectively review services? IF YES: How <b>frequently</b> are those guidelines updated?		Not applicable. Emergency services are not subject to retrospective review.	We use proprietary standards which are posted to the website. Those standards are reviewed at least annually.	N/A - Emergency and Crisis services do not require authorization.	We use proprietary standards which are posted to the website. Those standards are reviewed at least annually.	N/A - Emergency and Crisis services do not require authorization.	N/A	N/A	N/A

**Benefit Classification: PHARMACY SERVICES**

**NQTL: Retrospective Review**

**Retrospective Review:** Protocol for approving coverage for a service after it has been delivered.

**Process**

Is there a <b>time limit</b> on how far in the past services can be retrospectively reviewed? If so, what is that limit?		Not applicable. Pharmacy services are not subject to retrospective approval review.	<b>The RAE does not perform UM on pharmacy services.</b>	N/A - Colorado Access is not responsible for pharmacy services for the RAE.	<b>The RAE does not perform UM on pharmacy services.</b>	N/A - Colorado Access is not responsible for pharmacy services for the RAE.	<b>N/A; CCHA does not administer the pharmacy benefit.</b>	<b>N/A; CCHA does not administer the pharmacy benefit.</b>	2 years
Are <b>all services</b> in this classification subject to retrospective review? List any services in this classification (by procedure code) to which retrospective review does not apply.		Not applicable.	N/A	N/A - Colorado Access is not responsible for pharmacy services for the RAE.	N/A	N/A - Colorado Access is not responsible for pharmacy services for the RAE.	N/A	N/A	Yes
Are there <b>exceptions</b> to retrospective review in certain circumstances, including extension of time limits? List the situations when exceptions are granted.		Not applicable.	N/A	N/A - Colorado Access is not responsible for pharmacy services for the RAE.	N/A	N/A - Colorado Access is not responsible for pharmacy services for the RAE.	N/A	N/A	Yes
What is the maximum amount of <b>time</b> allowed to issue a determination on a retrospective review request?		Not applicable.	N/A	N/A - Colorado Access is not responsible for pharmacy services for the RAE.	N/A	N/A - Colorado Access is not responsible for pharmacy services for the RAE.	N/A	N/A	None

**Strategy**

What is the <b>rationale</b> for retrospectively reviewing services in this classification?		Not applicable.	N/A	N/A - Colorado Access is not responsible for pharmacy services for the RAE.	N/A	N/A - Colorado Access is not responsible for pharmacy services for the RAE.	N/A	N/A	Medical Necessity
Are retrospective review policies the same for both <b>in-network and out-of-network</b> providers?		Not applicable.	N/A	N/A - Colorado Access is not responsible for pharmacy services for the RAE.	N/A	N/A - Colorado Access is not responsible for pharmacy services for the RAE.	N/A	N/A	Yes
If there is any <b>change</b> to retrospective review policies or procedures, does the plan <b>update providers</b> about the change?		Not applicable.	N/A	N/A - Colorado Access is not responsible for pharmacy services for the RAE.	N/A	N/A - Colorado Access is not responsible for pharmacy services for the RAE.	N/A	N/A	Yes, via the website

**Evidentiary Standards**

Does the plan use <b>evidence-based clinical decision support products</b> (Interqual, Milliman, etc.) to make decisions regarding retrospective review?		Not applicable.	N/A	N/A - Colorado Access is not responsible for pharmacy services for the RAE.	N/A	N/A - Colorado Access is not responsible for pharmacy services for the RAE.	N/A	N/A	Yes
Does the plan use <b>internally developed guidelines</b> to determine whether to prior retrospectively review services? IF YES: How <b>frequently</b> are those guidelines updated?		Not applicable.	N/A	N/A - Colorado Access is not responsible for pharmacy services for the RAE.	N/A	N/A - Colorado Access is not responsible for pharmacy services for the RAE.	N/A	N/A	Yes

<b>Mental Health Parity and Addiction Equity Act (MHPAEA) Nonquantitative Treatment Limit (NQTL) Analysis</b>	RAE 1	RAE 2	RAE 3	RAE 4	RAE 5	RAE 6	RAE 7	FFS Medical/Surgical
---	-------	-------	-------	-------	-------	-------	-------	----------------------

**Regional Accountable Entity: All RAEs**      **Benefit Classification: INPATIENT SERVICES**

**NQTL: Network Provider Admission**

**Network Provider Admission:** Process of accepting treatment providers into the health plan's network of care professionals.

**Process**

Does the plan have an <b>internal credentialing committee</b> that makes decisions about admitting providers into the network?		RMHP utilizes regional peer review committees that review applications and make recommendations to RMHP Medical Directors.	Yes	We follow NCQA Credentialing Standards, and providers that serve members in the inpatient setting are not in the scope of credentialing.	Yes	We follow NCQA Credentialing Standards, and providers that serve members in the inpatient setting are not in the scope of credentialing.	Yes	Yes	No
Are there any <b>exceptions</b> to the normal provider admission process for the plan for certain types of providers?		Certain providers that are working under the supervision of a credentialed provider do not require credentialing by RMHP.	No	N/A	No	N/A	No	No	No
Is there an <b>appeals process</b> in place for providers who apply for admission into the network and are denied?		Yes	Yes	No, there is not an appeals process for providers denied initial credentialing. We do offer an appeals process for providers that are termed from the network during the recredentialing process.	Yes	No, there is not an appeals process for providers denied initial credentialing. We do offer an appeals process for providers that are termed from the network during the recredentialing process.	Yes	Yes	No

**Strategy**

Does the plan conduct a <b>needs assessment</b> for the population you serve and use that as the basis for establishing a network provider admission strategy?		RMHP does an access and availability analysis on an annual basis. Within our service area RMHP rarely will limit admission of qualified providers, but may limit providers who are not located in the service area who are not filling a need.	<b>We use the Network Adequacy reports</b>	Yes, periodically.	<b>We use the Network Adequacy reports</b>	Yes, periodically.	Yes	Yes	No
--	--	--	--	--------------------	--	--------------------	-----	-----	----

**Evidentiary Standards**

Does the plan use <b>National Committee for Quality Assurance (NCQA)</b> guidelines for admitting providers into the network?		Yes-We are NCQA accredited.	Yes	We follow NCQA Credentialing Standards, and providers that serve members in the inpatient setting are not in the scope of credentialing.	Yes	We follow NCQA Credentialing Standards, and providers that serve members in the inpatient setting are not in the scope of credentialing.	Yes	Yes	Yes
Does the plan use <b>URAC accreditation</b> criteria for admitting providers into the network?		No-we are NCQA accredited.	No	No.	No	No.	No	No	No
Does the plan use <b>primary source data</b> from individuals applying to the network (licensure, certifications, malpractice history, liability insurance, etc.) when making admission decisions?		Yes	Yes	N/A	Yes	N/A	Yes	Yes	Yes

**Benefit Classification: OUTPATIENT SERVICES**

**NQTL: Network Provider Admission**

**Network Provider Admission:** Process of accepting treatment providers into the health plan's network of care professionals.

**Process**

Does the plan have an <b>internal credentialing committee</b> that makes decisions about admitting providers into the network?		RMHP utilizes regional peer review committees that review applications and make recommendations to RMHP Medical Directors.	<b>Yes</b>	Yes. The Credentialing Committee meets once a month to review files that do not meet criteria. The Medical Director signs off on clean files.	<b>Yes</b>	Yes. The Credentialing Committee meets once a month to review files that do not meet criteria. The Medical Director signs off on clean files.	<b>Yes</b>	<b>Yes</b>	<b>No</b>
Are there any <b>exceptions</b> to the normal provider admission process for the plan for certain types of providers?		Certain providers that are working under the supervision of a credentialed provider do not require credentialing by RMHP.	<b>No</b>	There are no exceptions in the credentialing process.	<b>No</b>	There are no exceptions in the credentialing process.	<b>No</b>	<b>No</b>	<b>No</b>
Is there an <b>appeals process</b> in place for providers who apply for admission into the network and are denied?		Yes	<b>Yes</b>	No, there is not an appeals process for providers denied initial credentialing. We do offer an appeals process for providers that are termed from the network during the recredentialing process.	<b>Yes</b>	No, there is not an appeals process for providers denied initial credentialing. We do offer an appeals process for providers that are termed from the network during the recredentialing process.	<b>Yes</b>	<b>Yes</b>	<b>No</b>

**Strategy**

Does the plan conduct a <b>needs assessment</b> for the population you serve and use that as the basis for establishing a network provider admission strategy?		RMHP does an access and availability analysis on an annual basis. Within our service area RMHP rarely will limit admission of qualified providers, but may limit providers who are not located in the service area who are not filling a need.	<b>We use the Network Adequacy reports</b>	Yes, periodically.	<b>We use the Network Adequacy reports</b>	Yes, periodically.	<b>Yes</b>	<b>Yes</b>	<b>No</b>
--	--	--	--	--------------------	--	--------------------	------------	------------	-----------

**Evidentiary Standards**

Does the plan use <b>National Committee for Quality Assurance (NCQA)</b> guidelines for admitting providers into the network?		Yes. We are NCQA accredited.	<b>Yes</b>	Yes, we follow NCQA Credentialing Standards.	<b>Yes</b>	Yes, we follow NCQA Credentialing Standards.	<b>Yes</b>	<b>Yes</b>	<b>Yes</b>
Does the plan use <b>URAC accreditation</b> criteria for admitting providers into the network?		No. We are NCQA accredited.	<b>No</b>	No.	<b>No</b>	No.	<b>No</b>	<b>No</b>	<b>No</b>
Does the plan use <b>primary source data</b> from individuals applying to the network (licensure, certifications, malpractice history, liability insurance, etc.) when making admission decisions?		Yes	<b>Yes</b>	Yes, we follow NCQA Credentialing Standards, and therefore primary source verify these items.	<b>Yes</b>	Yes, we follow NCQA Credentialing Standards, and therefore primary source verify these items.	<b>Yes</b>	<b>Yes</b>	<b>Yes</b>

**Benefit Classification: EMERGENCY SERVICES**

**NQTL: Network Provider Admission**

**Network Provider Admission:** Process of accepting treatment providers into the health plan's network of care professionals.

**Process**

Does the plan have an <b>internal credentialing committee</b> that makes decisions about admitting providers into the network?		RMHP utilizes regional peer review committees that review applications and make recommendations to RMHP Medical Directors.	<b>Providers do not have to be credentialed to provide emergent services.</b>	We follow NCQA Credentialing Standards, and providers that serve members in emergency setting are not in the scope of credentialing.	<b>Providers do not have to be credentialed to provide emergent services.</b>	We follow NCQA Credentialing Standards, and providers that serve members in emergency setting are not in the scope of credentialing.	<b>N/A; CCHA does not credential Emergency Departments</b>	<b>N/A; CCHA does not credential Emergency Departments</b>	<b>No</b>
Are there any <b>exceptions</b> to the normal provider admission process for the plan for certain types of providers?		Certain providers that are working under the supervision of a credentialed provider do not require credentialing by RMHP.	<b>Providers do not have to be credentialed to provide emergent services.</b>	N/A	<b>Providers do not have to be credentialed to provide emergent services.</b>	N/A	<b>N/A</b>	<b>N/A</b>	<b>No</b>
Is there an <b>appeals process</b> in place for providers who apply for admission into the network and are denied?		Yes	<b>N/A</b>	N/A	<b>N/A</b>	N/A	<b>N/A</b>	<b>N/A</b>	<b>No</b>

Strategy									
Does the plan conduct a <b>needs assessment</b> for the population you serve and use that as the basis for establishing a network provider admission strategy?		RMHP does an access and availability analysis on an annual basis. Within our service area RMHP rarely will limit admission of qualified providers, but may limit providers who are not located in the service area who are not filling a need.	<b>We use the Network Adequacy reports</b>	Yes, periodically.	<b>We use the Network Adequacy reports</b>	Yes, periodically.	N/A	N/A	No

Evidentiary Standards									
Does the plan use <b>National Committee for Quality Assurance (NCQA)</b> guidelines for admitting providers into the network?		Yes. We are NCQA accredited.	<b>Yes</b>	We follow NCQA Credentialing Standards, and providers that serve members in emergency setting are not in the scope of credentialing.	<b>Yes</b>	We follow NCQA Credentialing Standards, and providers that serve members in emergency setting are not in the scope of credentialing.	N/A	N/A	Yes
Does the plan use <b>URAC accreditation</b> criteria for admitting providers into the network?		No. We are NCQA accredited.	<b>No</b>	No.	<b>No</b>	No.	N/A	N/A	No
Does the plan use <b>primary source data</b> from individuals applying to the network (licensure, certifications, malpractice history, liability insurance, etc.) when making admission decisions?		Yes	<b>Yes</b>	N/A	<b>Yes</b>	N/A	N/A	N/A	Yes

<b>Benefit Classification: PHARMACY SERVICES</b>
<b>NQTL: Network Provider Admission</b>
<b>Network Provider Admission:</b> Process of accepting treatment providers into the health plan's network of care professionals.
<b>Process</b>

Does the plan have an <b>internal credentialing committee</b> that makes decisions about admitting providers into the network?		Not Applicable	<b>We do not credential pharmacies.</b>	We follow NCQA Credentialing Standards, and pharmacy services are not in the scope of credentialing.	<b>We do not credential pharmacies.</b>	We follow NCQA Credentialing Standards, and pharmacy services are not in the scope of credentialing.	<b>N/A; CCHA does not credential pharmacies</b>	<b>N/A; CCHA does not credential pharmacies</b>	No
Are there any <b>exceptions</b> to the normal provider admission process for the plan for certain types of providers?		Not Applicable	<b>N/A</b>	N/A	<b>N/A</b>	N/A	<b>N/A</b>	<b>N/A</b>	No
Is there an <b>appeals process</b> in place for providers who apply for admission into the network and are denied?		Not Applicable	<b>N/A</b>	N/A	<b>N/A</b>	N/A	<b>N/A</b>	<b>N/A</b>	No

Strategy									
Does the plan conduct a <b>needs assessment</b> for the population you serve and use that as the basis for establishing a network provider admission strategy?		Not Applicable	<b>N/A</b>	Yes, periodically.	<b>N/A</b>	Yes, periodically.	<b>N/A</b>	<b>N/A</b>	No

Evidentiary Standards									
Does the plan use <b>National Committee for Quality Assurance (NCQA)</b> guidelines for admitting providers into the network?		Not Applicable	<b>N/A</b>	We follow NCQA Credentialing Standards, and pharmacy services are not in the scope of credentialing.	<b>N/A</b>	We follow NCQA Credentialing Standards, and pharmacy services are not in the scope of credentialing.	<b>N/A</b>	<b>N/A</b>	No
Does the plan use <b>URAC accreditation</b> criteria for admitting providers into the network?		Not Applicable	<b>N/A</b>	No.	<b>N/A</b>	No.	<b>N/A</b>	<b>N/A</b>	No
Does the plan use <b>primary source data</b> from individuals applying to the network (licensure, certifications, malpractice history, liability insurance, etc.) when making admission decisions?		Not Applicable	<b>N/A</b>	N/A	<b>N/A</b>	N/A	<b>N/A</b>	<b>N/A</b>	Yes

Mental Health Parity and Addiction Equity Act (MHPAEA) Nonquantitative Treatment Limit (NQL) Analysis		RAE 1	RAE 2	RAE 3	RAE 4	RAE 5	RAE 6	RAE 7	FFS Medical/Surgical
Regional Accountable Entity: All RAEs Benefit Classification: INPATIENT SERVICES									
NQL: Establishing Charges									
Establishing Charges: Methods for determining usual, customary, and reasonable charges for services.									
Process									
Does the plan have an internal process for establishing charges for services, or is that role delegated to a vendor?		RMHP does this process internally.	Internal process	Colorado Access uses an internally developed Standard Rate Guide with reimbursement rates. We do not delegate this service.	Internal process	Colorado Access uses an internally developed Standard Rate Guide with reimbursement rates. We do not delegate this service.	Internal Process	Internal Process	Yes
How frequently does the plan update its charges for services in this classification?		RMHP reviews this process in accordance with fee schedules changes published by the state and our contractual rate setting process.	There is no set schedule. Rates are reviewed based on market changes, provider requests, etc.	Our reimbursement rates are adjusted annually when Medicare and Medicaid adjust their rates, when applicable. We are in the process of developing new IP rates and methodology for this category this year.	There is no set schedule. Rates are reviewed based on market changes, provider requests, etc.	Our reimbursement rates are adjusted annually when Medicare and Medicaid adjust their rates, when applicable. We are in the process of developing new IP rates and methodology for this category this year.	When necessary due to per diem and DRG updates	When necessary due to per diem and DRG updates	DRGs are updated and per diems are updated on ad hoc basis
Strategy									
What is the rationale for the plan's approach to establishing charges.		RMHP reimburses providers in a manner that is fair and within the budget requirements of the plan. Our strategy is to benchmark to market standard, reflect available budget, offer value based reimbursement for value-based care.	Inpatient rates are set based on market trends, utilization and quality review.	We want to pay our providers a fair rate so that they are encouraged to participate in the Medicaid network and provide services to Colorado Access's Health First Colorado (Colorado Medicaid) Members. We are working on a new value-based reimbursement to ensure that Colorado Access meets the RAE key performance indicators for regions 3 and 5, which in turn will result in improved patient care.	Inpatient rates are set based on market trends, utilization and quality review.	We want to pay our providers a fair rate so that they are encouraged to participate in the Medicaid network and provide services to Colorado Access's Health First Colorado (Colorado Medicaid) Members. We are working on a new value-based reimbursement to ensure that Colorado Access meets the RAE key performance indicators for regions 3 and 5, which in turn will result in improved patient care.	Past and present market costs, as well as the Medicaid fee schedule	Past and present market costs, as well as the Medicaid fee schedule	Approved State Plan Amendment
Does the plan consider attracting an adequate network of providers when developing its approach to establishing charges?		Yes.	Yes	Yes. Establishing fair rates has allowed us to have an expansive network.	Yes	Yes. Establishing fair rates has allowed us to have an expansive network.	Yes	Yes	No
Evidentiary Standards									
Does the plan use Colorado's Medicaid Fee-for-Service (FFS) rate schedule to determine how much it will charge for services?		RMHP uses the Medicaid Fee for Service rate in accordance with the budget and prior utilization to determine allowed charges.	No	N/A	No	N/A	Yes	Yes	Yes
Does the plan use Colorado's Relative Value Units (RVU) table as criteria for establishing charges?		RMHP uses Colorado's RVU table along with the budget and past utilization to determine allowed charges.	No	N/A	No	N/A	No	No	No

Benefit Classification: OUTPATIENT SERVICES
NQL: Establishing Charges

Establishing Charges: Methods for determining usual, customary, and reasonable charges for services.									
Process									
Does the plan have an <b>internal process</b> for establishing charges for services, or is that role delegated to a vendor?		RMHP does this process internally.	<b>Internal process</b>	Internal process.	<b>Internal process</b>	Internal process.	<b>Internal Process</b>	<b>Internal Process</b>	<b>Yes</b>
How <b>frequently</b> does the plan update its charges for services in this classification?		RMHP reviews this process in accordance with fee schedules changes published by the state and our contractual rate setting process.	<b>There is no set schedule. Rates are reviewed based on market changes, provider requests, etc.</b>	They are automatically updated annually when CMS and Health First Colorado (Colorado Medicaid) update. Updates were made in 2018/2019 for Community Mental Health Centers. We are performing a more extensive reimbursement update for non-Community Mental Health Center behavioral health providers in 2019.	<b>There is no set schedule. Rates are reviewed based on market changes, provider requests, etc.</b>	They are automatically updated annually when CMS and Health First Colorado (Colorado Medicaid) update. Updates were made in 2018/2019 for Community Mental Health Centers. We are performing a more extensive reimbursement update for non-Community Mental Health Center behavioral health providers in 2019.	<b>When necessary due to fee schedule updaets</b>	<b>When necessary due to fee schedule updaets</b>	<b>Annual Review</b>
Strategy									
What is the <b>rationale</b> for the plan's approach to establishing charges.		RMHP reimburses providers in a manner that is fair and within the budget requirements of the plan. Our strategy is to benchmark to market standard, reflect available budget, offer value based reimbursement for value-based care.	Outpatient rates are set based on market trends, utilization and quality review.	To provide fair rates to providers, and encourage providers to meet the RAE key performance indicator measures.	Outpatient rates are set based on market trends, utilization and quality review.	To provide fair rates to providers, and encourage providers to meet the RAE key performance indicator measures.	Past and present market costs, as well as the Medicaid fee schedule	Past and present market costs, as well as the Medicaid fee schedule	Approved State Plan Amendment
Does the plan consider <b>attracting an adequate network of providers</b> when developing its approach to establishing charges?		Yes.	Yes	Yes.	Yes	Yes.	Yes	Yes	Yes
Evidentiary Standards									
Does the plan use Colorado's <b>Medicaid Fee-for-Service (FFS)</b> rate schedule to determine how much it will charge for services?		RMHP uses the Medicaid Fee for Service rate in accordance with the budget and prior utilization to determine allowed charges.	No	Yes, partially.	No	Yes, partially.	Yes	Yes	Yes
Does the plan use Colorado's <b>Relative Value Units (RVU)</b> table as criteria for establishing charges?		RMHP uses Colorado's RVU table along with the budget and past utilization to determine allowed charges.	Yes. Only for CMHCs outpatient MH services not for IPN providers or SUD services.	Yes, partially.	Yes. Only for CMHCs outpatient MH services not for IPN providers or SUD services.	Yes, partially.	Yes, taken into consideration for CMHCs	Yes, taken into consideration for CMHCs	Yes

Benefit Classification: EMERGENCY SERVICES									
NQTL: Establishing Charges									
Establishing Charges: Methods for determining usual, customary, and reasonable charges for services.									
Process									
Does the plan have an <b>internal process</b> for establishing charges for services, or is that role delegated to a vendor?		RMHP does this process internally.	<b>Internal process</b>	Internal process only.	<b>Internal process</b>	Internal process only.	<b>Internal Process</b>	<b>Internal Process</b>	<b>Yes</b>
How <b>frequently</b> does the plan update its charges for services in this classification?		RMHP reviews this process in accordance with fee schedules changes published by the state and our contractual rate setting process.	<b>There is no set schedule. Rates are reviewed based on market changes, provider requests, etc.</b>	Annually if there are changes to RBRVS or Health First Colorado (Colorado Medicaid).	<b>There is no set schedule. Rates are reviewed based on market changes, provider requests, etc.</b>	Annually if there are changes to RBRVS or Health First Colorado (Colorado Medicaid).	<b>As Needed</b>	<b>As Needed</b>	Rates review annually
Strategy									

What is the <b>rationale</b> for the plan's approach to establishing charges.		RMHP reimburses providers in a manner that is fair and within the budget requirements of the plan. Our strategy is to benchmark to market standard, reflect available budget, offer value based reimbursement for value-based care.	Emergency rates are set based on market trends, utilization and quality review.	To attract and maintain providers, and to pay a fair rate.	Emergency rates are set based on market trends, utilization and quality review.	To attract and maintain providers, and to pay a fair rate.	We negotiate emergency rates based on market costs	We negotiate emergency rates based on market costs	Approved State Plan Amendment
Does the plan consider <b>attracting an adequate network of providers</b> when developing its approach to establishing charges?		Yes.	Yes	Yes.	Yes	Yes.	N/A	N/A	Yes

**Evidentiary Standards**

Does the plan use Colorado's <b>Medicaid Fee-for-Service (FFS)</b> rate schedule to determine how much it will charge for services?		RMHP uses the Medicaid Fee for Service rate in accordance with the budget and prior utilization to determine allowed charges.	No	Yes, partially.	No	Yes, partially.	No	No	Yes
Does the plan use Colorado's <b>Relative Value Units (RVU)</b> table as criteria for establishing charges?		RMHP uses Colorado's RVU table along with the budget and past utilization to determine allowed charges.	No	Yes, partially.	No	Yes, partially.	No	No	Yes

**Benefit Classification: PHARMACY SERVICES**

**NQTL: Establishing Charges**

**Establishing Charges:** Methods for determining usual, customary, and reasonable charges for services.

**Process**

Does the plan have an <b>internal process</b> for establishing charges for services, or is that role delegated to a vendor?		Not Applicable	<b>The RAE does not reimburse pharmacy services so therefore does not contract with pharmacies.</b>	N/A - Colorado Access is not responsible for pharmacy services for the RAE.	<b>The RAE does not reimburse pharmacy services so therefore does not contract with pharmacies.</b>	N/A - Colorado Access is not responsible for pharmacy services for the RAE.	<b>N/A; CCHA does not pay pharmacy claims</b>	<b>N/A; CCHA does not pay pharmacy claims</b>	Yes
How <b>frequently</b> does the plan update its charges for services in this classification?		Not Applicable	<b>N/A</b>	N/A - Colorado Access is not responsible for pharmacy services for the RAE.	<b>N/A</b>	N/A - Colorado Access is not responsible for pharmacy services for the RAE.	<b>N/A</b>	<b>N/A</b>	Variable

**Strategy**

What is the <b>rationale</b> for the plan's approach to establishing charges.		Not Applicable	<b>N/A</b>	N/A - Colorado Access is not responsible for pharmacy services for the RAE.	<b>N/A</b>	N/A - Colorado Access is not responsible for pharmacy services for the RAE.	<b>N/A</b>	<b>N/A</b>	Approved State Plan Amendment
Does the plan consider <b>attracting an adequate network of providers</b> when developing its approach to establishing charges?		Not Applicable	<b>N/A</b>	N/A - Colorado Access is not responsible for pharmacy services for the RAE.	<b>N/A</b>	N/A - Colorado Access is not responsible for pharmacy services for the RAE.	<b>N/A</b>	<b>N/A</b>	No

**Evidentiary Standards**

Does the plan use Colorado's <b>Medicaid Fee-for-Service (FFS)</b> rate schedule to determine how much it will charge for services?		Not Applicable	<b>N/A</b>	N/A - Colorado Access is not responsible for pharmacy services for the RAE.	<b>N/A</b>	N/A - Colorado Access is not responsible for pharmacy services for the RAE.	<b>N/A</b>	<b>N/A</b>	No
Does the plan use Colorado's <b>Relative Value Units (RVU)</b> table as criteria for establishing charges?		Not Applicable	<b>N/A</b>	N/A - Colorado Access is not responsible for pharmacy services for the RAE.	<b>N/A</b>	N/A - Colorado Access is not responsible for pharmacy services for the RAE.	<b>N/A</b>	<b>N/A</b>	No