

## **CCBHC Steering Committee Minutes August 25, 2025**

### **Agenda**

1. Rollcall
2. Review & Approve July Meeting Minutes
3. Subcommittee Updates
4. Recommendations/Decision Items

### **Roll Call**

Present: Melissa Eddleman, Mary Anna Sears proxy for Thomas Miller, Sara Reid, Steven Szapor, Charles Davis, Haley Leonard-Saunders, Nancy VanDeMark, Meghan Francone, and Mindy Klowden.

Absent: Jagruti Shah, and Lauren Snyder

### **Review & Approve July Meeting Minutes**

Minutes were emailed to members last week for review. One correction submitted via email. Mindy K. motioned to accept with correction, and Sara R. seconded.

Yay: CD, MAS, MK, SR, NVDM, ME, HL, MF

Nay: none

Minutes accepted as corrected.

### **Subcommittee Updates**

#### **Certification and Provider Readiness**

The certification subcommittee shared updates on scope of services to include the 9 required services in the demonstration. They presented that recommendation to the steering committee who voted to adopt that recommendation below.

Recommendation to adopt 9 required CCBHC services

Yay: SR, MF, HL, MAS, MK, ME, CD, SS, NVDM

Nay: none

Motion passes.

The team also presented a provider readiness assessment designed to help providers determine where they are in relation to CCBHC requirements. A PDF of the assessment was shared with participants. Adoption of this tool will be tabled until the next meeting to allow more time for review.

Finally, a memo was shared, in PDF form, about CCBHC demonstration implementation. It includes an introduction to the provider selection process and timelines.

### Prospective Payment System

The PPS team shared the recommendation to align triggering events with the 9 required services. The steering committee voted to adopt this strategy.

Recommendation to adopt the scope of services and trigger codes strategy.

Yay: SR, ME, MF, MAS, MK, NCDM, CD

Nay: none

Motion passes.

### Quality Measure and Data Collection

The Carina team was introduced. They shared their experience working with other departments and connectivity solutions. A simplified data architecture diagram was displayed and described.

The team also introduced the plan for phase 1 measure reporting which will include Time to Services (I-SERV) and Screening for Depression and Follow-up Plan (CDF-CH and CDF-AD). To discuss further in subcommittees.

### Comments:

A member of the public, Daniel Darting, shared his perspective on the origin of the legislation that mandated the planning grant application submission. In summary, he indicated the opinions of one organization do not represent all providers in the state and asked the committee for strong consideration of CCBHC and if it is best for the state of Colorado.

### Q&A:

From Nancy VanDeMark to Hosts and panelists:

Does any of the external data include SUD data protected under 42 CFR Part 2?

From Nancy VanDeMark to Hosts and panelists:

Even if there are exemptions for CCBHC, it creates challenges in really assessing quality or outcomes if SUD data is not included.

From Sara Reid to Everyone:

@Nancy- yes, SUD data is vital to understanding quality and outcome improvement! The CCBHC measures also include one required metric on alcohol use screening and brief counseling, as well as tobacco use screening and cessation intervention. These are only tip of the iceberg, of course.

From Mary Anna Sears (she/her), BHA to Everyone:

The proposed quality measures are on the agenda for review and discussion at the next Certification Subcommittee, as well.

From Meghan Morrissey HCPF (she/her) to Hosts and panelists:

we have a public forum this Wednesday as well:  
[https://us02web.zoom.us/webinar/register/WN\\_3kQsFqfaTnS-DX-efyL-wA#/registration](https://us02web.zoom.us/webinar/register/WN_3kQsFqfaTnS-DX-efyL-wA#/registration)

From Nancy VanDeMark to Hosts and panelists:

I agree with Daniel's comments.

From Sandra Arreola to Hosts and panelists:

We have brought in SUD data in the past, and are working on consent management to regulate sharing with other groups. We can accommodate the exemption for CCBHC work.

From Yolanda Alvarez-Montello to Everyone:

I believe that the CCBHC, although an additional requirement for reporting and assessing, it allows the state to pull more federal funding, from my limited understanding.

From Mary Anna Sears (she/her), BHA to Everyone:

Re-sharing Meg's comment to make sure everyone has it: there is also a public forum this Wednesday [https://us02web.zoom.us/webinar/register/WN\\_3kQsFqfaTnS-DX-efyL-wA#/registration](https://us02web.zoom.us/webinar/register/WN_3kQsFqfaTnS-DX-efyL-wA#/registration)

## **September Agenda**

1. Rollcall
2. Review & Approve August Minutes
3. Subcommittee Updates
4. Recommendations and Decisions