

APM 2 Program Design Review Team

Meeting 5

April 24, 2024



Agenda

1. Welcome and Introductions
2. Meeting 4 Recap
3. Quality Target Setting & Reward Structure
4. Looking Ahead
5. Questions

1. Welcome and Introductions



Meet the APM 2 Team



Araceli Santistevan
APM 2 Lead



Dawson Larence
APM 2 Co-Lead



Suman Mathur
Design Review Team
Lead Facilitator



Taylor Kelley
Design Review Team
Supporting Facilitator



Kendra Neumann
Design Review Team
Supporting Facilitator



Hayley Dennison
APM 2 Support Team



Andy Wilson
APM 2 Support Team



Chelsea Finfer
APM 2 Support Team



Drew Lane
APM 2 Support Team



Janet Milliman
APM 2 Support Team

2. Meeting 4 Recap



Key Topics for the Design Review Team

1. **Goals and Objectives:** What are we trying to achieve?
2. **Quality Measurement and Quality Target Setting:** How will performance be measured for both informational and payment purposes?
3. **Cost Target Setting and Payment Methodology:** How will providers get paid?
4. **Performance Improvement:** What information do you need to be successful?
5. **Program Sustainability:** What types of support will be needed to sustain this program?

3. Quality Target Setting & Reward Structure



Why Is Target Setting Important?

Our focus for today


North Star
Each element relates to the HCPF North Star

APM 2 Goals
Linked back to the North Star: the "what (are you trying to achieve)"

Objectives
Supporting Objectives of APM 2 Goal: the "how"

Measures
How do we measure success of the APM 2 goals /objectives?

Target Setting
How do we set targets for each measure **tied to payment** that can help measure the success of APM 2 goals/objectives?



Quality Goals vs. Reward Structure

Quality Goals

Where the Department aims for performance in the future

(e.g., 90th percentile of a national Medicaid benchmark)

Reward Structure


The way in which financial incentives are structured to support achievement of the Department's Quality Goals

Discussing options for each of the reward structure components will be the focus of our conversation today

Guiding Principles for Reward Structure

1. **Supports High Performance**: The reward approach should reward those that are already high performers to stay at that level or, if possible, to improve and encourage those that are not high performers to continuously improve.
2. **Makes Rewards Achievable**: The reward structure supports a system where participants feel that achieving rewards is within reach based on where performance currently stands
3. **Scales the Size of the Reward to Effort**: Rewards should be reflective of the level of effort required to improve.
4. **Supports Predictability**: The level of anticipated reward needs to be predictable for period of time.
5. **Draws from Evidence-Based Observations**: The ability to improve and get closer to targets is supported by national, state and regional benchmarks when available.

Current Methodology: Close the Gap Calculation




Example: HCPF sets a goal of 70%. The primary care practice begins with a baseline of 40%. This leaves a performance gap of 30 percentage points. The practice improves by 3 percentage points in the next year. That earns the practice points for closing 10% of its performance gap.

Colorado Insurance Regulation 4-2-96: Aligned Quality Measure Set (Adult Measure Set)

Domain	Measure	CBE ID/Steward
Preventive Care	Breast Cancer Screening	2372 / NCQA
Preventive Care	Cervical Cancer Screening	0032 / NCQA
Preventive Care	Colorectal Cancer Screening	0034 / NCQA
Preventive Care	Screening for Depression and Follow-Up Plan	0418 / CMS
Chronic Conditions	Comprehensive Diabetes Care: HbA1c Poor Control (>9.0%)	0059 / NCQA
Chronic Conditions	Controlling High Blood Pressure	0018 / AHRQ
Patient Experience	Consumer Assessment of Healthcare Providers and Systems (CAHPS) Health Plan Adult Survey - OR - Person-Centered Primary Care Measure (PRO-PM)	0006 / AHRQ 3568 - American Board of Family Medicine


Source: [Colorado Insurance Regulation: Appendix 3 CCR 702-4-2-96-C Aligned Quality Measure Sets](#)

In our discussions, consider the following three potential measure scenarios:




Key Components of Any Reward Structure

Quality Goals



Reward Structure



4. Looking Ahead



What's Next

- **Next DRT Session:** Wednesday, May 8 from 11:00am - 1:00pm
- **Resources** available for your review:
 - [Team Charter](#)
 - [APM 2 Program Resources](#)
 - APM 101 Videos
 - [APM 1](#)
 - [APM 2](#)
- **Questions?** Please email us
at HCPF_VBPStakeholderEngagement@state.co.us

Upcoming DRT Meeting Topics

Date	DRT Session	APM Framework Component	PACK DRT Session Topic (Subcomponent)
Feb 6	1	DRT Overview	Sessions, expectations, background
Feb 28	2	Goals and Objectives	Feedback on goals
Mar 13	3	Quality Measurement and Quality Target Setting	Feedback on quality measures and targets as well as operationalization
Mar 27	4	Payment	Feedback and proposed considerations for attribution method
Apr 24 - Today!	5	Quality Measurement and Quality Target Setting	Feedback on quality target setting methodology
May 8	6	Payment	Overall process of payment and target setting
May 22	7	Payment	Feedback, pros and cons for risk adjustment methodology considerations
June 12	8	Payment	Overall process of reconciliation
June 26	9	Performance Improvement	Actionable insights, provide must-haves, nice-to-haves
July 10	10	Program Sustainability	Prioritize types of support



Questions?